

Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)

Process and Technology Improvement Grants (PTIGs)

Fiscal Year 2025 Request for Applications (RFA)

Assistance Listing Number (ALN): 10.580

Release Date: July 7, 2025

Application Due Date: August 6, 2025; 11:59 PM, Eastern Daylight Time

Anticipated Award Date: September 2025

This information is being collected to assist the Food and Nutrition Service in evaluating applications for the Process and Technology Improvement Grant. This is a voluntary collection and FNS will use the information to rank and fund applicants for the Process and Technology Improvement Grant. This collection does not request any personally identifiable information under the Privacy Act of 1974. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0584-0512. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 50 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Policy Support, 1320 Braddock Place, 5th Floor, Alexandria, VA 22314 ATTN: PRA (0584-0512). Do not return the completed form to this address.

APPLICATION CHECKLIST

This Application Checklist provides a list of the required actions and documents that applicants must complete. However, the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) expects that applicants will read the entire Request for Application (RFA) prior to the submission of their application and comply with all requirements outlined in the solicitation. The Application Checklist is for applicant use only and applicants should not submit it as part of the Application Package.

Complete the following at least four weeks prior to submission:

- □ Obtain a Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) number;
- **D** Register the UEI number in the System for Award Management (SAM); and,
- □ Register in <u>Grants.gov</u>.

When preparing your application, ensure:

- Application format and narrative meet the requirements included in <u>Section 4 "Application</u> <u>Content Information."</u> This includes page limits, priorities outlined in <u>Section 5 "Application</u> <u>Review Information"</u>, and all necessary attachments.
- □ Your application meets the objective areas outlined in <u>Section 1 "Program Description</u>."

When preparing the application's budget, include the following information:

- □ Cost Allocation
 - □ If other programs will benefit from this project, as the costs supported by the grant will only fund the SNAP aspects of the project.
- □ Personnel
 - □ Includes all key employees proposed to be paid for by the grant.
 - □ Identifies employees by name, position, and title.
 - □ The percentage of time the Project Director will devote to the project in full-time equivalents (FTEs).
 - □ Your organization's fringe benefit rate and amount, as well as the basis for the computation.
 - $\hfill\square$ Explain the type of fringe benefits covered with Federal funds.
- □ Travel
 - □ Itemized travel expenses (including type of travel), travel justification, and basis for lodging estimate.
- Equipment & Supplies
 - □ Types of equipment and supplies, justifications, and estimates, ensuring that the budget is in line with the project description.
- Contractual and Consultant Costs
 - □ Identify the need for a contractor in the proposal and justify the cost in the budget narrative.
 - □ Information for all contracts and justification for any sole-source contracts.
 - Applicants required to issue a bid have provided a narrative explaining the requirement and provided a reasonable estimate for contractual and consultant costs.
- Indirect Cost Information
 - □ Indirect cost information (either a copy of a Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) or if no agreement exists and the applicant has never received for a NICRA, they may charge up to 15% de minimis). If the applicant is requesting the de minimis rate or not requesting indirect costs, please indicate this in the budget narrative.

□ Other

- □ Itemize and explain any other reasonable costs associated with this project.
- $\hfill\square$ Attach the budget narrative table as a spreadsheet appendix.

As part of the application, applicants must certify:

- They will use grant funds for a new project and **not** for ongoing costs to carry out an existing project.
- \square No more than 25% of the required grant funds support outreach activities.

When **submitting** your application, ensure you have submitted the following, which are available as fillable PDFs on Grants.gov:

- □ SF-424 <u>Application for Federal Assistance</u>
- SF-424A Budget Information and Instruction Form
- SF-424B Assurances for Non-Construction Programs
- SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities
- FNS-906 Grant Program Accounting System & Financial Capability Questionnaire
- AD-3030 <u>Representations Regarding Felony Conviction and Tax Delinquent Status for</u> <u>Corporate Applicants</u>
 - This document is only required if your organization is a corporation.
- Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (PDF Upload using the "Add Attachments" button under SF-424 item #15)

When applicable, application packages must include the following documents:

- □ All non-profit organizations must include their 501(c)(3) determination letter issued by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS)
- □ Letter(s) of commitment
- □ Letter(s) of endorsement

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AP	PLICATION CHECKLIST	2
1.	PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES	6
E	xecutive Summary	6
F	Program Description	6
F	iscal Year 2025 Key Objectives	6
	Waivers	8
	Advanced Automation and Artificial Intelligence (AI)	8
	Major Changes	8
2.	FEDERAL AWARD INFORMATION	9
	Allowable Costs	9
	Funding Restrictions	. 10
	Pre-Award Costs	. 10
3.	ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION	. 10
E	Eligible Applicants	. 10
I	neligible Applicants	. 11
	Cost Sharing or Matching Considerations	. 11
	Number of Applications and Partnership Criteria	. 11
F	Pre-Award Screening Requirements	. 11
A	Acknowledgement of USDA Support	. 12
4.	APPLICATION CONTENT INFORMATION	. 12
(Content and Form of Application Submissions	. 12
	Application Evaluation	. 12
	Required Grant Application Forms	. 15
5.	APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION	. 15
E	valuation of Grant Application Criteria	. 15
	Review Criteria	. 15
	Evaluation Factors and Criteria	. 16
	Bonus Points (up to nine points total)	. 20
F	Review and Selection Process	. 20

6.	SUBMISSION INFORMATION	
	Submission Date	20
	Preparing for Electronic Submission Through Grants.gov	21
	How to Submit an Application via Grants.gov	22
	Grants.gov Receipt Requirements and Proof of Timely Submission	22
	Intergovernmental Review	23
	Additional Information on Grants.gov and the Registration Process:	23
7.	FEDERAL AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION	23
	Federal Award Notice	23
	Administrative and National Policy Requirements	23
	Confidentiality of an Application	23
	Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information	24
	Conflict of Interest and Confidentiality of the Review Process	25
	Administrative Regulations	25
	Code of Federal Regulations and Other Government Requirements	27
	Reporting Requirements	
	Financial Reports	
	Performance Progress Reports (PPR)	
8.	FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY CONTACT	29
9.	OTHER INFORMATION	
	Debriefing Requests	
A	PPENDIX A: TIPS FOR PROPOSAL WRITERS	
A	PPENDIX B: RFA BUDGET NARRATIVE CHECKLIST	
A	PPENDIX C: FNS-908 PERFORMANCE PROGRESS REPORT (PPR)	
A	ppendix D: GLOSSARY OF ADVANCED AUTOMATION TERMS	

1. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION AND OBJECTIVES

Executive Summary

The purpose of the Fiscal Year (FY) 2025 Process and Technology Improvement Grants (PTIGs) is to support efforts by State agencies and their community-based and faith-based partners to develop and implement projects that use technology to improve the quality and efficiency of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) application and eligibility determination systems. Section 11(t) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (Public Law 116-94), as amended, "Grants for Simplified Application and Eligibility Determination Systems and Improved Access to Benefits", authorizes the Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) to award up to \$5 million in grants that allow applicants to "develop and implement supplemental nutrition assistance program simplified application and eligibility determination systems."

FNS intends to award up to \$5 million in grants to eligible entities and each applicant may apply for between \$20,000 to \$2 million in funding. <u>Eligible entities</u> include State agencies administering SNAP; State or local governments; agencies providing health or welfare services; public health or educational entities; or private nonprofit entities, such as community-based or faith-based organizations, food banks, or other emergency feeding organizations. Unless the applicant is a State agency submitting an application on its own, a <u>letter of commitment or letter of</u> <u>endorsement from the relevant State SNAP agency must be included in the application package</u> to be considered for funding. Throughout this Request for Applications (RFA), the term "State agencies" refers to the agencies of the 50 States, the District of Columbia, the Virgin Islands, and Guam that administer SNAP. These awards are contingent upon the availability of funds.

Program Description

Section 11(t) of the <u>Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (Public Law 116-94)</u>, as amended, "Grants for Simplified Application and Eligibility Determination Systems and Improved Access to Benefits", authorizes FNS to award up to \$5 million in grants that allow applicants to "develop and implement supplemental nutrition assistance program simplified application and eligibility determination systems."

The purpose of the FY 2025 PTIGs is to support efforts by State agencies and their communitybased and faith-based partners to develop and implement projects that use technology to improve the quality and efficiency of SNAP application and eligibility determination systems. This RFA is a funding opportunity for Fiscal Year 2025. Funds from this RFA are for new projects. Please see the <u>Eligible Entities</u> subsection below for a list of eligible entities.

Fiscal Year 2025 Key Objectives

Application proposals must include **at least one** of the three objectives identified below. Proposals may contain more than one objective. As noted in <u>Section 4</u>, applicants must map their proposed activities and indicators measuring success to the objective(s) using the "<u>Activities/Indicators</u> <u>Tracker</u>." If awarded, grantees will be required to use the <u>FNS-908 Performance Progress Report</u> to report on progress towards activities that align with the required objective(s) listed on the "<u>Activities/Indicators Tracker</u>." Carefully considering proposed activities and indicators will prepare grantees for their performance progress reporting requirements if awarded.

The project examples aligned with each objective listed below are only suggestions. A PTIG project may assist State agencies in making investments in systems to improve efficiency, provide excellent customer service, and meet Federal standards as they face operational challenges. FNS welcomes other projects that support these objectives and encourages applicants to propose *innovative* ideas to solve both common and unique problems associated with the SNAP application and eligibility processes.¹

- 1. Modernize SNAP customer service and client communication to improve efficiency, transparency, and accuracy in application processing and eligibility determinations. Proposals will use technology-based tools to improve the customer experience and allow households to manage their cases. Proposals may include activities such as:
 - Creating or improving client self-service options, such as scripted chat bots or "virtual agents" to answer questions in alignment with FNS' <u>guidance</u> on the use of non-merit personnel,² updating personal information via a client portal, or tracking their application status online;
 - Improving communication with applicants and participants with electronic notices (eNotices) and text messaging reminders;
 - Ensuring applications, verification requirements, notices, and other client communications are clear, in plain language, and accompanied by guides or instructions for easy understanding;
 - Reducing technology-based barriers to improve service delivery, such as expanding mobile document upload options, or expanding partnerships with local agencies; or
 - Developing and/or enhancing mobile responsive websites, mobile applications, online applications, and online portals to streamline self-service options.
- 2. Improve administrative infrastructure and day-to-day SNAP operations in processing applications and determining eligibility. Proposals will employ technology to improve service levels, and accurate and timely case processing, as required by law. Proposals may include activities such as:
 - Increasing State call center capacity, such as by adding phone lines, improving automated telephone systems like phone trees, establishing or enhancing telephonic interview and telephonic signature capabilities, or implementing Interactive Voice Response (IVR) technology or "virtual agents";²
 - Improving paper document processing using intelligent or optical character recognition (I/OCR), or robotic process automation (RPA) technology to process no-change periodic reports, automate data match evaluation and clearance (as allowable),;
 - Developing workload management dashboards or data analysis tools to monitor workload, case status, outstanding tasks, timeliness, and other key metrics;
 - Creating a hard-coded or scripted policy chat bot that would give eligibility workers answers to SNAP policy questions; or
 - Enhancing eligibility systems and applications, such as using an Application Programming Interface (API) or software bot to automatically register an

¹ Links to FNS resources related to these examples and other potential projects are in <u>Appendix A: Tips for Proposal</u> <u>Writers</u>.

² See <u>Appendix E: Glossary of Advanced Automation Terms</u> for more information.

application in the eligibility system, eliminate duplicate entry, or prepopulate eligibility systems from an online application.

- 3. Invest in technology and systems to coordinate application and eligibility determination processes, including verification practices, between SNAP and other Federal, State, and local assistance programs. Proposals will improve administrative efficiency, as allowable by program requirements, when processing combined applications. Proposals may include activities such as:
 - Developing combined applications and partnerships across State-operated assistance programs to increase administrative efficiency and alignment between programs;
 - Enhancing cross-program enrollment through integrated mobile applications, online portals, and automated technologies for data population such as Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) or robotic process automation (RPA);
 - Improving data sharing and verification between SNAP and other Federal, State, and local assistance programs within the State agency; or
 - Creating a technology improvement plan to enhance or develop accurate crossenrollment or administration between SNAP and other programs, as permitted by law.

Waivers

Please note that applicants may not predicate PTIG projects on waivers of SNAP regulations. Applicants must ensure their projects are allowable under current SNAP regulations and guidance.

Advanced Automation and Artificial Intelligence (AI)

FNS will **only** fund projects containing advanced automation technologies acknowledged as major changes in the "<u>Use of Advanced Automation in SNAP</u>" memo. FNS will **not** fund projects containing advanced automation technologies that go beyond those mentioned in the major changes section of the memo. Applicants must **clearly** outline the type, scope, and use of advanced automation that will be involved in their projects in the application.³

Major Changes

The final rule, SNAP Review of Major Changes in Program Design and Management Evaluation Systems, published January 19, 2016, amended the SNAP regulations to implement section 4116 of the Food, Conservation and Energy Act of 2008 (FCEA). Section 4116 of the FCEA, Review of Major Changes in Program Design, requires USDA to identify standards for major changes in operations of state agencies' administration of SNAP. <u>Major change</u> requirements intend to prevent adverse effects on access and integrity when State agencies change their SNAP benefit delivery processes and procedures. If FNS approves a PTIG application to be a PTIG project, the PTIG approval will serve as the major change notification.⁴ Applicants should indicate whether or not their project proposal qualifies as a major change in the <u>executive summary</u>. Applicants who are unsure whether their project proposal qualifies as a major change should contact their State or Regional Office, as appropriate.

³ See <u>Appendix E: Glossary of Advanced Automation Terms</u> for more information.

⁴ Review of Major Changes in Program Design and Management Evaluation Systems, <u>https://www.fns.usda.gov/snap/fr-011916</u>

Bonus Points

Applications may receive up to nine total <u>bonus points</u> based on the following criteria:

- Up to three bonus points may be awarded to proposals that are particularly data driven;
- Up to three bonus points may be awarded to proposals that have never received a PTIG;
- Up to three bonus points may be awarded to proposals that approach solutions from a human-centered design (HCD) perspective.

Eligibility

Any eligible entity that received a PTIG award in either of the last two fiscal years (FY 2023 or FY 2024) **is ineligible to apply** and receive a PTIG this fiscal year (FY 2025). This restriction only applies to the lead entity on the award (i.e., the recipient whose name appears in block 9 of the awarded project's FNS-529) and not to partner organizations or government agencies on a particular project. See section on <u>Eligible Applicants</u> for more information.

2. FEDERAL AWARD INFORMATION

The following information provides applicants with information to help make informed decisions about proposal submissions.

- Total amount of funding expected to award: \$5,000,000
- Anticipated number of awards: Not to exceed 12 awards
- Minimum award amount (award floor): \$20,000
- Maximum award amount (award ceiling): \$2,000,000
- Anticipated award announcement date: September 2025
- Anticipated period of performance: September 2025 through September 2028
- Application due date: August 6, 2025; 11:59 PM, Eastern Daylight Time

Please note:

- Grant awards are subject to the availability of funding and/or appropriations of funds.
- FNS reserves the right to use this RFA and results of this competition to award additional grants this or the subsequent fiscal year, should additional funds become available.

Allowable Costs

- Funds from this RFA are for new projects only. New projects may be an enhancement upon an existing PTIG project but must contain new project activities and outcomes.
- Equipment and Supplies: Expenditures for equipment (i.e., items of personal property having a useful life of more than one year and a cost of \$10,000 or more) are allowable expenses with prior approval by FNS. FNS reserves the right to approve/disapprove these expenditures based on needs as expressed by the proposed project. Supplies do not require a separate specific prior approval outside of the budget/proposal approval process.
- If the activities funded under this grant are part of a larger eligibility system project with total projected costs exceeding \$6 million, the applicant must submit, and FNS must approve, an Advance Planning Document (APD) prior to the expenditure of these grant funds. Guidance on the APD process is available at www.fns.usda.gov/apd/.

• PTIG-funded projects may benefit other governmental programs; however, grant funds must only support SNAP's share of the project costs and must be properly allocated.

Funding Restrictions

- PTIG funds cannot fund the ongoing cost of carrying out an existing project.
- Applicants may not budget more than 25% of grant funds towards SNAP outreach activities. PTIGs cannot fund the drafting or printing of informational materials, pure application assistance, screening/pre-qualifying applicants, or other similar activities.

Pre-Award Costs

FNS will not award pre-award costs for these grant projects.

All costs proposed in the budget and detailed in the budget narrative must be allowable, reasonable, necessary, and allocable. Refer to <u>2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E – Cost Principles</u> for a detailed description of all allowable and unallowable costs.

3. ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

Eligible Applicants

The entities eligible to receive grants under this competition are:

- The 53 State agencies that administer SNAP;⁵
- State or local governments;⁶
- Public and private agencies providing health or welfare services;
- Indian Tribal Organizations (ITOs);⁷
- Public health or educational entities; and
- Private non-profit entities such as community-based or faith-based organizations, food banks, or other emergency feeding organizations.⁸

Unless the applicant is a State agency submitting an application on its own, a <u>letter of</u> <u>commitment or letter of endorsement</u> from the relevant State SNAP agency must be included in the application package to be considered for funding.

⁵ State agencies should have the necessary approvals of State officials (such as councils or legislatures) of funding <u>prior to submitting the application</u>. Applicants should also acknowledge in their application that they have obtained all necessary approvals for funding.

⁶ State and local governments should have the necessary approvals of State officials (such as councils or legislatures) of funding <u>prior to submitting the application</u>. Applicants should also acknowledge in their application that they have obtained all necessary approvals for funding.

⁷ If an ITO applies for a PTIG, they must apply in partnership with their SNAP State Agency and provide a <u>letter of endorsement</u> from their State SNAP agency. Alternatively, the State agency can apply for a PTIG project with an ITO, and the ITO would need to provide a <u>letter of commitment</u>.

⁸ Non-profit organizations must submit a copy of the IRS Determination Letter, form 501(c)(3) or proof of application for exempt status under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, and a list of their Board of Directors, if applicable. If any other non-profit status applies, i.e., 501©(4) please describe here. All corporations, including nonprofit corporations, must complete an <u>AD-3030</u>.

Ineligible Applicants

FNS will deem entities that do not meet the eligibility definitions ineligible and remove them from competition without further consideration. In cases where an applicant is deemed nonresponsive for failing to submit required elements or documents associated with this RFA, they will be considered ineligible and removed from consideration.

Additionally, any eligible entity that received a PTIG award in either of the last two fiscal years (FY 2023 or FY 2024) **is ineligible to apply for** and receive a PTIG this fiscal year (FY 2025). This restriction only applies to the lead entity on the award (i.e., the recipient whose name appears in block 9 of the awarded project's FNS-529) and not to partner organizations or government agencies on a particular project.

Cost Sharing or Matching Considerations

There is no cost sharing or matching required for this grant.

Number of Applications and Partnership Criteria

For the purposes of this grant competition, State agencies may submit more than one application each, or enter into more than one partnership, as explained in the <u>Letters of Commitment</u> subsection below. However, if the State agency submits more than one application, the State agency must make explicit in each application its relationship to any others. State agencies that submit more than one application should carefully consider the allocation of time stated in each application. State agencies with more than one partner organization may also consider submitting a single application designed to make sub-grants to the partner organizations. Proposals forwarded by any given State agency should request funding for distinct, non-overlapping activities. Additionally, if a State agency submits more than one application or is part of more than one partnership the State agency must ensure that costs are clearly allocated. For example, if a State agency submits more than one proposal and is using the same project manager under both project concepts, the budget must reflect proper cost allocation.

FNS has designed this grant competition to allow State agencies to work in concert with nongovernmental entities that wish to apply for this grant through <u>letters of commitment or</u> <u>endorsement</u>. Additional information is in <u>Section 4, "Application Content Information"</u>.

Pre-Award Screening Requirements

In reviewing applications in any discretionary grant competition, prior to making a Federal award, Federal awarding agencies, in accordance with 2 CFR 200.205, must review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualifications or financial integrity information. Additionally, Federal awarding agencies are required to have a framework in place for evaluating the risks posed by applicants before they receive Federal awards. The FNS review of risk posed by applicants will be based on the following:

- SAM.gov, the System for Award Management, the Official U.S. Government system that consolidated the capabilities of Central Contractor Registration (CCR)/Federal Registerer (FedReg), Online Representations & Certifications Applications (OCRA); Excluded Parties List System (EPLS);
- FNS Risk Assessment Questionnaire (FNS-906)
 - Applicants must complete the <u>Grant Program Accounting System & Financial</u> <u>Capability Questionnaire</u> (FNS-906) that allows FNS to evaluate aspects of the

applicant's financial stability, quality of management systems, and history of performance, reports and findings from audits. The questionnaire contains a number of questions that may be an indicator of potential risk.

The evaluation of the information obtained from the designated systems and the risk assessment questionnaire may result in FNS imposing special conditions or additional oversight requirements that correspond to the degree of risk assessed.

Acknowledgement of USDA Support

• As outlined in 2 CFR 415.2, grant recipients shall include acknowledgement of USDA FNS support on any publications written or published with grant support and, if feasible, on any publication reporting the results of, or describing, a grant-supported activity. Grant recipients *may* be asked to host USDA officials for a site visit during their grant award. All reasonable costs associated with the site visit will be paid for by USDA and are not expected to be included in grant budgets.

4. APPLICATION CONTENT INFORMATION

Content and Form of Application Submissions

FNS strongly encourages eligible applicants interested in applying to this program to adhere to the following applicant format. The proposed project plan should be presented on 8 ½" x 11" white paper with at least 1-inch margins on the top and bottom. The application should be 25 pages or less, single-spaced and in 12-point font, not including the cover sheet, executive summary, table of contents, resumes, letter of commitment(s) or endorsement(s), budget narrative(s), appendices, and required forms. Applicants must number all pages, excluding the form pages.

Special Instructions:

- FNS will deem applications missing <u>Evaluation Criteria</u> sections or the required supporting documents, forms, and certification for funding as nonresponsive and will remove them from further consideration.
- Applicants must submit <u>letters of endorsement and/or letters of commitment</u> with the application through Grants.gov. FNS will not consider letters submitted via email.
- FNS reserves the right to request clarification on any application submitted in response to this solicitation.

Application Evaluation

The review committee will evaluate proposals against the criteria below and score them based on how well they address the requirements in <u>Section 5 "Application Review Information"</u>. Proposals can receive up to 100 points, plus 9 bonus points. The <u>Application Checklist</u> will assist applicants in meeting the necessary requirements.

Every proposal must include the following sections: Cover Sheet, Table of Contents, Executive Summary, Project Narrative (including Project Design; Organizational Experience, Staff Capability, and Management; Evaluation; and Budget Appropriateness and Economic Efficiency), and the <u>Activities/Indicator Tracker</u>. Details on requirements for each section are below.

Cover Sheet

The cover page should include the following:

- 1. Grant program title;
- 2. Applicant's name and mailing address;
- 3. Project title and subproject title (if applicable);
- 4. Project summary (a one to two sentence description of project);
- 5. Primary contact's name, job title, phone number, and e-mail address; and
- 6. Between one and three secondary contacts' name(s), job title(s), phone number(s), and email address(es).

Table of Contents

Proposals must include a table of contents that mirrors the outline included in this section of the RFA and include relevant topic page numbers.

Executive Summary

The executive summary should clearly describe the proposed project activities and anticipated outcomes that would result if the proposal were to be funded, including:

- 1. The SNAP-specific problem that prompted the applicant to submit a PTIG application;
- 2. How exactly the project proposed in this grant application solves the problem identified;
- 3. Identify the State agency and/or eligible entities involved in the project;
- 4. Amount of funding requested;
- 5. Which objective area(s) the proposal addresses and whether the submission includes the bonus point area(s);
- 6. The proposed activities and anticipated outcomes that would result from the project;
- 7. Which SNAP processes the project will improve; and
- 8. Whether or not the project qualifies as a major change.

Note: The executive summary should not exceed one page.

Project Narrative

The project narrative should clearly identify what the applicant is proposing and how it will address a solution, the expected results and/or benefits, and how it will meet the RFA program scope and objectives. The proposed project methodology should describe the project design, address program specific methodology needs, procedures, timelines, impact and evaluation, monitoring/oversight, and the organization's project staffing. For additional details, please see Section 5 "Application Review Information" below.

Activities/Indicators Tracker

Proposed activities and indicators measuring success must be mapped to the <u>Program</u> <u>Objective(s)</u> listed in the application. Please use the format below and add additional Objectives, Activities, and Indicators as needed. One or more objectives may be listed. However, for each objective there must be at least one proposed activity and at least one proposed indicator. Activities are the elements of the project that will lead to the success of your identified objective. Indicators are defined as any metric you anticipate will be able to be tracked during the period of performance of the grant.

Example Activities/Indicators Tracker

Objective #	2. Improve administrative infrastructure and day-to-day SNAP operations in processing applications and determining eligibility.
Activity	Hire and train call center staff
Indicator(s)	Number of call center staff hired and trained
Activity	Data collection and analysis of wait time, calls abandoned, dropped calls
Indicator(s)	Number of calls impacted
Activity	IVR System Implementation
Indicator(s)	Number (or percent) of phases of implementation completed

Letters of Commitment or Endorsement

Unless the applicant is a State agency submitting an application on its own, applicants must include a letter of commitment or letter of endorsement addressed to the <u>FNS Grants Officer</u> in the application package.

Letters of Commitment

State agencies partnering with another organization(s) must submit a *letter(s)* of commitment from its partner(s). The letter(s) of commitment must:

- Be specific to the proposed project;
- Describe the organization's role in the project;
- Specify the amount of time in FTEs the partner organization intends to commit to the project; and
- Be on the respective organization's letterhead and signed by an authorizing individual.

Letters of Endorsement

Applicants other than a State agency, including local or county governments, must submit a *letter of endorsement from the State agency*. The State agency's letter of endorsement must demonstrate that the State agency strongly endorses and/or is involved in the proposed project and:

- Be specific to the proposed project;
- Express support for the proposed project;
- Describe how the proposed project will support the State agency's current or planned technology and/or business process improvement efforts and priorities; and
- Explain the project's projected impact on the State agency's eligibility system;
- Acknowledge whether the project creates any additional work for the State agency and identify the State agency's capacity to address the additional work; and
- Be on the respective State agency's letterhead and signed by an authorizing official.

Application Budget Narrative

The budget narrative, formatted as a table, should correspond with the proposed project narrative and application budget. If the budget narrative is not formatted as a spreadsheet (e.g. Microsoft Excel, .csv, etc.), then it must be accompanied by a spreadsheet with all costs listed and which aligns to the categories on the SF-424. The narrative must justify and support the bona fide needs of the budget's direct cost. The budget and budget narrative must be in line with the proposed project description. If the budget includes indirect costs, the applicant must provide a copy of its most recently approved Federal indirect cost rate agreement. Budget categories in this table must

match those listed on the SF-424A form. The budget table <u>must be formatted to fit on an 8.5 x</u> <u>11-inch page, with a font no smaller than 11-point Times New Roman</u>. All funding requests must be in whole dollars.

Indirect Cost Rate

A current **Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA)**, negotiated with a cognizant Federal agency, should be used to charge indirect costs. Indirect costs may not exceed the negotiated rate. If a NICRA is used, the percentage and base should be indicated. If the applicant does not have a current Federal negotiated indirect cost rate (including provisional rate) they may elect to charge a de minimis rate of up to 15 percent of modified total direct costs (MTDC). In this instance, the applicant must indicate they are requesting the de minimis rate. An applicant may elect not to charge indirect costs and instead use all grant funds for direct costs. If indirect costs are not charged, the phrase "none requested" should be stated in the budget narrative. For questions related to the indirect cost rate, please work with the <u>Federal Awarding</u> <u>Agency Contact</u>.

Note: Each organization is assigned to a single federal agency (by the Office of Management and Budget) that acts on behalf of all federal agencies in indirect cost rate negotiations and is referred to as the "cognizant agency."

Required Grant Application Forms

The required Grants.gov forms may be previewed in the <u>Forms Repository</u>. These forms are part of the grants.gov Workspace application.

- Non-Construction Grant Projects Forms: <u>SF-424 Family</u>
 - Application and Instruction for Federal Assistance (SF-424)
 - Budget Information and Instructions (SF-424A)
 - Assurance-Non-Construction Programs (SF-424B)
- <u>SF-LLL (Disclosure of Lobbying Activities)</u>
 - Indicate on your form whether your organization intends to conduct lobbying activities. If you organization does not intend to lobby, write "Not Applicable" in boxes required to be completed.
- AD-3030 <u>Representations Regarding Felony Conviction and Tax Delinquent Status for</u> <u>Corporate Applicants</u>
- Additional Required Forms and Information
 - Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) number. Please see below for further information.
 - SAM registration. Please see below for further information.

5. APPLICATION REVIEW INFORMATION

Evaluation of Grant Application Criteria

Review Criteria

FNS will pre-screen all applications to ensure the applicants are eligible entities and are in compliance with all Program regulations. FNS will not approve any waivers from Program regulations for any projects submitted in response to this solicitation.

Evaluation Factors and Criteria

FNS will review all complete applications competitively and score them against the criteria listed below, including:

- Project design (35 points)
- Impact and evaluation (30 points)
- Budget and economic efficiency (20 points)
- Organizational experience, staff capability, and management (15 points)
- Bonus points (up to 9 points)

Total points: 109

1. Project Design (35 points)

Problem Analysis

- The proposal clearly defines the problem facing the SNAP certification and eligibility determination process.
- The proposal clearly defines the solution and provides evidence or compelling justification that the proposed project will solve or ameliorate the identified problem.
 - Proposals may include any consultation with stakeholders, advocacy groups, public comment, research, studies, or real-world examples.
- Project proposals containing more than one sub-project must demonstrate how the subprojects are related to each other and the overarching proposal's goals. Additionally, applicants must provide a funding breakdown for each sub-project within the <u>Budget</u> section.

Implementation

- The proposal clearly articulates how the applicant will implement this project.
- The proposal includes a project timeline that outlines proposed tasks and demonstrates that the applicant allotted sufficient time for each activity.

Sustainability

• The proposal shows that the applicant has a plan for sustaining the project after the grant period of performance ends.

2. Impact & Evaluation (30 points)

Impact

- The proposal must:
 - Clearly quantify the proposed project's direct effect on the SNAP application and eligibility determination processes;
 - Provide measurable evidence that the proposed changes will benefit the applicant, recipient, or caseworkers; and
 - Identify how the proposed project will impact one or more of the following aspects of SNAP and propose how to evaluate the impacts.
 - Timeliness
 - Program integrity
 - Payment accuracy
 - Program access
 - Application screening
 - Verification

- Administrative cost
- Interviews
- Recertification
- Client reporting
- Application intake
- Notices

- Error rate
- Proposed Activities and Indicators measuring success must be mapped to the objective(s) listed in the <u>Fiscal Year 2025 Key Objectives</u> using the <u>Activities/Indicators Tracker</u>.
- The proposal should include a description of how the project integrates with and supports the State agency's current or planned process improvement and/or technology initiatives.

Evaluation

FNS expects applicants to conduct their own evaluation independent of the <u>Activities/Indicators</u> <u>Tracker</u> that includes evaluating overall success of the project at completion. The proposed evaluation plan should describe how the applicant plans to measure the project's impact and:

- Include information from the Activities/Indicators Tracker;
- Reflect how the applicant proposed to measure the impact of the proposed project;
- Be comprehensive, specific, and data driven;
- Identify how the applicant proposes to measure the impact of one or more of the above SNAP processes;
- Include clear measures which assess whether the proposed project intervention addresses the problem identified;
- Detail who will measure the goals of the project;
- Detail what criteria the applicant will evaluate and how the applicant will evaluate the criteria; and,
- Define the intervals at which the applicant will conduct evaluation during the grant period of performance.

3. Organizational Experience, Staff Capability, and Management (15 points)

Oversight

- The proposal describes a plan for effective and consistent oversight by qualified project managers throughout the project.
- The proposal includes an organizational chart for the project, including names of key personnel where applicable.

Communication

• The proposal includes an internal communication plan and, if applicable, a communication plan for communicating externally with partner organizations.

Staff

- The proposal identifies the project director or manager and other key staff.
- The proposal includes resumes and project job descriptions that demonstrate that staff have the appropriate technical and experiential backgrounds to implement the project.
- If staff are hired for this project, the proposal includes proposed job descriptions for these positions.
- The proposal addresses contingency plans in the event of key staff departures.

Time Commitment

- The proposal identifies key staff and outlines the amount of time key staff will devote to the project, expressed as a percentage of Full Time Equivalents (FTE).
- If applicable, the proposal identifies the amount of time key staff will spend managing partnering organizations.

Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

• Proposals must delineate how all data and client <u>personally identifiable information (PII)</u> would be protected in accordance with Section 11(e)(8) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, Federal regulations at 7 CFR 272.1(c), and the Privacy Act of 1974.

4. Budget Appropriateness and Economic Efficiency (20 points)

Applications must include the required budget forms outlined in <u>Application Checklist</u> and a lineitem budget narrative, formatted as a table with amounts in whole dollars, to receive the full point value for this criterion. If a discrepancy exists between the total funding request submitted on SF-424, SF-424A, and budget or budget narrative, FNS will <u>only</u> consider and evaluate the estimated funding request contained on SF-424.

Cost Allocation

Where necessary, identify programs other than SNAP that may benefit from the implementation of the project and allocate project costs across the benefiting programs to demonstrate that this grant is only going to fund SNAP's share of project costs. For instance, if a project aimed to improve a joint application for SNAP and Medicaid, and SNAP represents 80 percent of applications received, the PTIG funding request should be 80 percent of the total project cost.

Budget Narrative

A well-written budget narrative provides line-item details for all of the activities under the award and justifies the proposed project expenditures and assists reviewers during the proposal review process. The budget narrative must meet the criteria noted in <u>Section 4, Application Content</u> <u>Information</u> and should:

- Include a table that lists all line-item amounts in whole dollars;
- Include all items listed on the checklist at the beginning of this RFA;
- Correspond with the proposed project narrative and application budget;
- Provide enough detail for reviewers to easily understand how costs were determined and derived and adequately justified based on current industry costs/standards or estimates from vendor(s) when possible. Applicants should obtain information on costs from applicable organizations or from online sources;
- Align with the requested amounts in the submitted SF-424A; and,
- Include separate tables for each sub-project, if applicable.

No Sub-Projects Example:

Line Item	Cost
Personnel: (Person A: 0.25 FTE x \$150,000/year x 2 years)	\$ 75,000
Fringe Benefits: (Person A: 20% annual salary)	\$ 15,000
Travel	\$0
Equipment: (2 widgets at \$5,000 each)	\$ 10,000
Supplies	\$0
Contractual / Consultant Costs: (3,200 hours of work at \$125/hour)	\$ 400,000
Indirect Costs	\$0
Other	\$0
Total	\$500,000

Sub-Projects Example:

Line Item	Sub-Project 1 Cost	Sub-Project 2 Cost
Personnel	\$ O	\$ 75,000
(Sub-Project 2: Person A: 0.25 FTE x		
\$150,000/year x 2 years)		
Fringe Benefits	\$ O	\$ 15,000
(Sub-Project 2: Person A: 20% annual salary)		
Travel	\$ O	\$ 0
Equipment	\$ O	\$ 10,000
Supplies	\$ O	\$ 0
Contractual / Consultant Costs	\$ 300,000	\$ 200,000
(Sub-Project 1: 2,400 hours x \$125 / hour)		
(Sub-Project 2: 1,600 hours x \$125 / hour)		
Indirect Costs	\$ O	\$ 0
Other	\$ O	\$ 0
Sub-Grant Total	\$300,000	\$300,000
Project Total		\$600,000

Indirect Cost Rate

Applicants should use a current Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA), negotiated with a cognizant Federal negotiating agency, to charge indirect costs. The Office of Management and Budget assigns each organization to a single federal agency that acts on behalf of all federal agencies in indirect cost rate negotiations and is referred to as the "cognizant agency." Indirect costs may not exceed the negotiated rate.

• If an applicant uses a NICRA, applicants should indicate the percentage and base and provide a copy of the approved NICRA with the application.

Efficiency

• The proposal must demonstrate that the anticipated results are commensurate with the cost of the project.

Contractual and Consultant Costs

- Proposals that include hiring a consultant or contractor must provide the following information:
 - Consultant's name and description of service;
 - Itemized list of all direct costs and fees;
 - The number of personnel, including position titles;
 - Specialty and specialized qualifications as appropriate to the salary;
 - Number of estimated hours times hourly wage for each staff member; and
 - All expenses and fees directly related to the proposed services to be rendered for the project.
- FNS requires applicants that are required to issue a bid to include a narrative explaining the requirement and a detailed description of contractor responsibilities / consultant responsibilities / anticipated tasks and a reasonable estimate of contractual and consultant costs.

Bonus Points (up to nine points total)

- FNS will award up to three bonus points to proposals that are particularly data driven. This can include conducting surveys, interviews, or other data collection or research in advance of submission of a proposal to determine more accurately what the quantifiable problem is that the proposed solution intends to solve. For example, specific quantifiable statistics may bolster the argument that the proposed project is necessary to improve payment accuracy or timeliness.
- FNS will award up to three bonus points to proposals that have never received a PTIG as FNS believes such applicants would benefit from capacity building and modernization projects. Proposals received from new entities, i.e., those applicants that have not received a previous award, must demonstrate high need for the project in the Problem Analysis section. Additionally, the proposal must demonstrate a quantifiable and significant impact to receive the full three points.
- FNS will award up to three bonus points to proposals that approach solutions from a human-centered design (HCD) perspective to modernize program delivery and improve the customer experience. Projects that incorporate HCD focus on usability through collaboration with program participants in usability testing, focus groups, user research, or other methods to improve SNAP processes for end-users.⁹

Review and Selection Process

Following the initial screening process, FNS will assemble a panel group to review and determine the technical merits of each application. The panel will evaluate the proposals based on how well they address the required application components. After review, the panel will array the applications from highest to lowest score. The panel members will recommend applications for consideration for a grant award based on the evaluation scoring. FNS may request information from the applicant not clearly addressed in their proposal. The Selecting Official reserves the right to accept the panel's recommendation or to select an application for funding out of order to meet agency priorities. FNS reserves the right to use this RFA and the results of this competition to award additional grants in the next fiscal year should additional funds come available.

6. SUBMISSION INFORMATION

Submission Date

Complete grant applications must be uploaded to <u>Grants.gov</u> by 11:59 PM EDT on the due date listed on the cover page.

- Applications must be submitted via <u>Grants.gov</u>. Mailed, e-mailed or hand-delivered application packages will not be accepted. For further instructions, refer to the section "How to Submit an Application via Grants.gov."
- Late or incomplete applications will not be considered.
- FNS will not consider additions or revisions to applications unless they are submitted via Grants.gov by the deadline. No additions or revisions will be accepted after the deadline.

⁹ Find additional information about HCD and resources to adopt HCD into your project at the 18F website: <u>https://methods.18f.gov/about/</u>.

- If multiple application packages are submitted through Grants.gov by the same applicant in response to this solicitation, FNS will accept the latest application package successfully submitted. All other packages submitted by the applicant will be removed from this competition.
- Those applicants who fail to submit any of the required documents or forms will be deemed NONRESPONSIVE, and therefore will be INELIGIBLE to participate in the competition and removed from further consideration.

FNS strongly encourages applicants to <u>begin the registration process at least</u> four weeks <u>before the due date</u> and to submit applications to Grants.gov at least **one week** before the deadline to allow time to troubleshoot any issues, should they arise. Please note that upon submission, Grants.gov may send multiple confirmation notices; applicants should ensure receipt of confirmation that the application was **accepted**.

FNS will not accept applications outside of Grants.gov. Applicants experiencing difficulty submitting applications through grants.gov should contact applicant support via the toll-free number 1-800-518-4726 and email at support@grants.gov. This service is available 24/7.

Preparing for Electronic Submission Through Grants.gov

Applicants must register with <u>Grants.gov</u> and <u>SAM.gov</u> in order to submit an application to FNS via Grants.gov as required. FNS strongly encourages applicants to <u>begin the registration process</u> at least four weeks before the due date.

In order to submit an application, you must:

1. Obtain a Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)

What is a Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)?

A UEI is a unique number assigned to all entities (public and private companies, individuals, institutions, or organizations) who register to do business with the federal government. For more information, visit <u>https://sam.gov/entity-registration</u>.

Where do I go to learn more about the UEI?

The U.S. General Services Administration <u>Unique Entity Identifier Update</u> page contains the most up-to-date information about the UEI.

Note: After April 4, 2022, entities can register in SAM.gov and will be assigned their "SAM UEI." They will no longer obtain or use a "DUNS UEI" for entity registration or reporting. For additional information on the UEI process, please visit <u>SAM.gov</u>.

2. <u>Register in the System for Award Management (SAM.gov)</u>

- On April 4, 2022, the UEI used across the federal government changed from the DUNS number to the UEI (generated by SAM.gov).
- SAM combines federal procurement systems and the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance into one system. Visit <u>SAM.gov</u> for additional information.
- To register, you must have your organization's UEI, the organization's Tax ID Number

(TIN), and taxpayer name (as it appears on the last tax return). It may take up to 5 to 7 business days or more to register and/or complete the migration of permissions and/or the renewal of an entity record.

- All applicants must have current SAM status at the time of application submission and throughout the duration of a Federal Award in accordance with 2 CFR Part 25.
- FNS strongly encourages applicants to <u>begin this process at least **3 weeks** before the due date of the grant solicitation.</u>

3. Create a Grants.gov Account

The next step in the registration process is to create an account with grants.gov. Applicants must know their organization's Unique Entity Identifier (UEI) to complete this process. For more detailed instruction about creating a profile visit <u>Applicant Registration</u>.

How to Submit an Application via Grants.gov

Applicants must apply online using <u>Workspace</u>. Workspace is a shared, online environment where members of a grant team may simultaneously access and edit different web forms within an application. For each funding opportunity announcement or RFA, you can create individual instances of a workspace.

For additional training resources, including video tutorials, refer to: How to Apply for Grants.

Applicant Support: Grants.gov provides applicants 24/7 support (except Federal holidays) via the toll-free number 1-800-518-4726 and email at support@grants.gov. If you are experiencing difficulties with your submission, it is best to call the Grants.gov Support Center and get a ticket number. The Support Center ticket number will assist the Center with tracking your issue and understanding background information on the issue.

For programmatic and financial-related questions regarding this specific grant opportunity, please contact the Grant Officer noted in the <u>Section 8 "Federal Awarding Agency Contact."</u>

Grants.gov Receipt Requirements and Proof of Timely Submission

All applications must be received by 11:59 PM EDT on the due date listed on the cover page. Proof of timely submission is automatically recorded by Grants.gov. An electronic date/time stamp is generated within the system when the application is successfully received by Grants.gov. The applicant Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) will receive an acknowledgement of receipt and a tracking number (GRANTXXXXXX) from Grants.gov with the successful transmission of their application. Applicant AORs will also receive the official date/time stamp and Grants.gov Tracking number in an email serving as proof of their timely submission.

When FNS successfully retrieves the application from Grants.gov and acknowledges the download of submissions, Grants.gov will provide an electronic acknowledgment of receipt of the application to the email address of the applicant with the AOR role. Again, proof of timely submission shall be the official date and time that Grants.gov receives your application. Applications received by Grants.gov after the established due date for the program will be considered late and will not be considered for FNS funding.

Applicants using slow internet, such as dial-up connections, should be aware that transmission could take some time before Grants.gov receives your application. Again, Grants.gov will provide either an error or a successfully received transmission in the form of an email sent to the applicant with the AOR role. The Grants.gov Support Center reports that some applicants end the transmission because they think that nothing is occurring during the transmission process. Please be patient and give the system time to process the application.

Intergovernmental Review

This funding opportunity is subject to the requirements of EO 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs." This Executive Order was issued with the desire to foster the intergovernmental partnership and strengthen federalism by relying on State and local processes for the coordination and review of proposed federal financial assistance and direct federal development. The Order allows each State to designate an entity to perform this function.

If you are located within a State that does not have a Single Point of Contact (SPOC), you may send application materials directly to a federal awarding agency.

Additional Information on Grants.gov and the Registration Process:

NOTICE: Special Characters and Naming Conventions

All applicants <u>MUST</u> follow Grants.gov guidance on file naming conventions. To avoid submission issues, please follow the guidance provided by Grants.gov per the Grants.gov Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ):

Are there restrictions on file names for any attachment I include with my application package?

File attachment names longer than approximately 50 characters can cause problems processing packages. Please limit file attachment names. Also, do not use any special characters (examples: & – * % / # ' -). This includes periods (.) and spacing followed by a dash in the file. To separate words in naming a file, use underscore, as in the following example: Attached_File.pdf.

Please note that if these guidelines are not followed, your application will be rejected. FNS will not accept any application rejected from <u>Grants.gov</u> portal due to incorrect naming conventions. Additional information and applicant resources are available at: <u>Workspace Overview</u>.

7. FEDERAL AWARD ADMINISTRATION INFORMATION

Federal Award Notice

The Government is not obligated to make any award as a result of this RFA. Unless an applicant receives a signed award document with terms and conditions, any contact from a FNS Grants or Program Officer should not be considered as a notice of a grant award. No pre-award or pre-agreement costs incurred prior to the effective start date are allowed. Only the recognized FNS authorized signature can bind the USDA, FNS to the expenditure of funds related to an award's approved budget.

Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Confidentiality of an Application

When an application results in an award, it becomes a part of the record of FNS transactions, available to the public upon specific request. Information that the Secretary determines to be of a confidential, privileged, or proprietary nature will be held in confidence to the extent permitted by law. Therefore, any information that the applicant wishes to have considered as confidential, privileged, or proprietary should be clearly marked within the application. Any application that does not result in an award will be not released to the public. An application may be withdrawn at any time prior to the final action thereon.

Safeguarding Personally Identifiable Information

"Personally identifiable information" (PII) means information that can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, either alone or when combined with other information that is linked or linkable to a specific individual (OMB Circular A-130, Managing Information as a Strategic Resource).

Applicants submitting applications in response to this RFA must recognize that confidentiality of PII and other sensitive data is of paramount importance to the USDA FNS. All federal and non-federal employees (e.g., contractors, affiliates, or partners) working for or on behalf of FNS are required to acknowledge understanding of their responsibilities and accountability for using and protecting PII in accordance with applicable law, regulation, and policy [e.g., the Privacy Act of 1974; Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum, M-17-12, Preparing for and Responding to a Breach of Personally Identifiable Information; OMB Circular A-130, Managing Information as a Strategic Resource (this is a non-exhaustive list of requirements in this area)].

By submitting an application in response to this RFA, applicants are assuring that all data exchanges conducted throughout the application submission and pre-award process (and during the performance of the grant, if awarded) will be conducted in a manner consistent with applicable Federal laws, regulations, and policies. By submitting a grant application, applicants agree to take all necessary steps to protect PII, including the following: (1) ensuring that PII and sensitive data developed, obtained or otherwise associated with UDSA FNS funded grants is securely transmitted; (2) ensuring that PII is not transmitted to unauthorized users, and that PII and other sensitive data is not submitted via email; (3) data is transmitted via FNS approved file sharing services or encrypted mobile media storage devices; and (4) ensuring that PII is encrypted and password protected both in transit and at rest.

PTIG project proposals must delineate how all data and client personally identifiable information (PII) would be protected in accordance with Section 11(e)(8) of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008, Federal regulations at 7 CFR 272.1(c), and the Privacy Act of 1974. Use and disclosure of information obtained from SNAP applications or recipient households is restricted to persons directly connected with the administration or enforcement of the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 or its regulations as specified in 7 CFR 272.1(c). If a proposal involves the sharing of SNAP household data from a SNAP State agency to an external partner, the applicant must include the following information as a part of the grant proposal and, if awarded, the parties must sign an MOU outlining the following processes:

- All data and PII protection protocols the entity will take;
- How the data and findings will be used to further administration of SNAP;
- Data that will be exchanged using encrypted servers;

- How data will be stored and who will have access;
- Training procedures for individuals who will be handling PII;
- Procedures used to exchange the data between the two entities (may include a release of information signed by the client);
- Steps to be taken in case of a data breach; and
- Steps to securely destroy data 90 days after it is no longer in use.

Conflict of Interest and Confidentiality of the Review Process

The agency requires all panel reviewers to sign a conflict of interest and confidentiality form to prevent any actual or perceived conflicts of interest that may affect the application review and evaluation process. Names of applicants, including States and local governments, submitting an application will be kept confidential, except to those involved in the review process, to the extent permitted by law. In addition, the identities of the reviewers will remain confidential throughout the entire process. Therefore, the names of the reviewers will not be released to applicants.

Administrative Regulations

Federal Tax Liabilities Restrictions

None of the funds made available by this or any other Act may be used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding, or cooperative agreement with, make a grant to, or provide a loan or loan guarantee to, any corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability, where the awarding agency is aware of the unpaid tax liability, unless a Federal agency has considered suspension or debarment of the corporation and has made a determination that this further action is not necessary to protect the interests of the Government.

Felony Crime Conviction Restrictions

None of the funds made available by this or any other Act may be used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding, or cooperative agreement with, make a grant to, or provide a loan or loan guarantee to, any corporation that was convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months, where the awarding agency is aware of the conviction, unless a Federal agency has considered suspension or debarment of the corporation and has made a determination that this further action is not necessary to protect the interests of the Government.

Debarment and Suspension 2 CFR Part 180 and 2 CFR Part 417

A recipient chosen for an award shall comply with the non-procurement debarment and suspension common rule implementing Executive Orders (E.O.) 12549 and 12689, "Debarment and Suspension," codified at 2 CFR Part 180 and 2 CFR Part 417. This common rule restricts sub-awards and contracts with certain parties that are debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in Federal assistance programs or activities. The approved grant recipient will be required to ensure that all sub-contractors and sub-grantees are neither excluded nor disqualified under the suspension and debarment rules prior to approving a sub-grant award by checking the System for Award Management (SAM) at <u>SAM.gov</u>.

Universal Identifier and Central Contractor Registration 2 CFR Part 25

Requirement for System for Award Management. Unless you are exempted from this requirement under <u>2 CFR 25.110</u>, you as the recipient must maintain current information in the SAM. This includes information on your immediate and highest-level owner and subsidiaries, as well as on all of your predecessors that have been awarded a Federal contract or Federal financial assistance within the last three years, if applicable, until you submit the final financial report required under this Federal award or receive the final payment, whichever is later. This requires that you review and update the information at least annually after the initial registration, and more frequently if required by changes in your information or another Federal award term.

Requirement for Unique Entity Identifier: If you are authorized to make subawards under this Federal award, you:

- Must notify potential subrecipients that no entity (see definition in paragraph C of this award term) may receive a subaward from you until the entity has provided its Unique Entity Identifier to you.
- May not make a subaward to an entity unless the entity has provided its Unique Entity Identifier to you. Subrecipients are not required to obtain an active SAM registration, but must obtain a Unique Entity Identifier.

Reporting Sub-award and Executive Compensation Information 2 CFR Part 170 The Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) of 2006 (Public Law 109–282), as amended by Section 6202 of Public Law 110–252, requires primary grantees of Federal grants and cooperative agreements to report information on sub-grantee obligations and executive compensation. FFATA promotes open government by enhancing the Federal Government's accountability for its stewardship of public resources. This is accomplished by making Government information, particularly information on Federal spending, accessible to the general public.

Primary grantees, including State agencies, are required to report actions taken on or after October 1, 2010, that obligates \$30,000 or more in Federal grant funds to first- tier sub-grantees. This information must be reported in the Government-wide FFATA Sub-Award Reporting System (FSRS), which is now a part of SAM.gov.. A primary grantee and first-tier sub-grantees must also report total compensation for each of its five most-highly compensated executives. Every primary and first-tier grantee must obtain a UEI number prior to being eligible to receive a grant or subgrant award. Additional information will be provided to grant recipients upon award.

Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2009, Public Law 110-417 Section 872 of this Act requires the development and maintenance of a Federal Government information system that contains specific information on the integrity and performance of covered Federal agency contractors and grantees. The Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS) is designed to address these requirements. FAPIIS contains integrity and performance information from the Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System, information from SAM.gov, and suspension and debarment information from the SAM. FNS will review and consider any information about the applicant reflected in FAPIIS when making a judgment about whether an applicant is qualified to receive an award.

Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Requests

The Freedom of Information ACT (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. 552, provides individuals with a right to access records in the possession of the Federal Government. The Government may withhold information pursuant to the nine exemptions and the three exclusions contained in the Act.

Application packages submitted in response to this grant solicitation may be subject to FOIA by requests by interested parties. In response to these requests, FNS will comply with all applicable laws and regulations, including departmental regulations.

FNS will forward a Business Submitter Notice to the requested applicant's point-of-contact. Applicants will need to review requested materials and submit and submit any recommendations within 10 days from the date of FNS notification. FNS will redact Personally Identifiable Information (PII).

For additional information on the Freedom of Information (FOIA) process, please contact the FNS Freedom of Information Act officer at <u>FOIA-FNS@.usda.gov</u>.

USDA's Non-Discrimination Statement

In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, found online at <u>How to File a Program Discrimination Complaint</u> and at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by: (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or (3) email: <u>program.intake@usda.gov</u>.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

Privacy Policy

The USDA FNS does not collect any personal identifiable information without explicit consent. To view the USDA's Privacy Policy, visit: <u>usda.gov/privacy-policy</u>.

Code of Federal Regulations and Other Government Requirements

This grant will be awarded and administered in accordance with the following regulations 2 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Subtitle A, Chapter II. Any Federal laws, regulations, or USDA directives released after this RFA is posted will be implemented as instructed.

Government-wide Regulations

- 2 CFR Part 25: "Universal Identifier and System for Award Management"
- 2 CFR Part 170: "Reporting Sub-award and Executive Compensation Information"
- 2 CFR Part 175: "Award Term for Trafficking in Persons"
- 2 CFR Part 180: "OMB Guidelines to Agencies on Government-wide Debarment and Suspension (Non-Procurement)"
- 2 CFR Part 200: "Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards"
- 2 CFR Part 400: USDA's implementing regulation of 2 CFR Part 200 "Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards"
- 2 CFR Part 415: USDA "General Program Administrative Regulations"
- 2 CFR Part 416: USDA "General Program Administrative Regulations for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments"
- 2 CFR Part 417: USDA "Non-Procurement Debarment and Suspension"
- 2 CFR Part 418 USDA "New Restrictions on Lobbying
- 2 CFR Part 421: USDA "Requirements for Drug-Free Workplace (Financial Assistance)"
- 7 CRR Part 16: "Equal Opportunity for Religious Organizations"
- 41 U.S.C. Section 22 "Interest of Member of Congress"
- Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Public access to Federal Financial Assistance records shall not be limited, except when such records must be kept confidential and would have been excepted from disclosure pursuant to the "Freedom of Information" regulation (5 U.S.C. 552)

General Terms and Conditions (T&Cs) of FNS grant awards may be obtained electronically in advance of an award. For a copy of T&Cs, please contact the Grant Officer noted in the <u>Section 8</u> <u>"Federal Awarding Agency Contact."</u>

Reporting Requirements

Financial Reports

FNS requires grantees to enter the SF-425 (Federal Financial Report) into the FNS Food Program Reporting System (FPRS) on a quarterly basis. To access FPRS, the grant recipient must obtain login.gov and USDA e-Authentication, verify their identity, and submit an FNS-674 form, which will be provided by the Grant Officer. A final Financial Status report is due 120 days after the termination date. For additional information on FPRS, visit <u>fprs.fns.usda.gov/</u>.

Performance Progress Reports (PPR)

Grantees will be required to submit progress reports to FNS 30 days following the end of each quarterly period, using the FNS-908 PPR form that will be sent to grantees at the time of award or the initial orientation. The reports should cover the preceding period of activity.

A final FNS-908 PPR identifying the accomplishments and results of the project will be due 120 days after the end date of the award. This report will be composed of an executive summary and the following:

- A project description including a summary of the major accomplishments, the difficulties encountered, and the solutions developed to resolve the difficulties.
- A discussion of the project results and lessons learned.
- Copies of any deliverables, media, or publicity releases/articles, and links to materials on websites, if applicable.

The final report should be sent in the form of an attachment to the FNS-908 not to exceed 25 pages.

Please note: Previous grantees that have not submitted reports timely may be evaluated as high risk. All activities reported on the FNS-908 must align with the required objective(s) from the Fiscal Year 2025 Key Objectives section above.

Major Change Reports

SNAP regulations at 7 CFR 272.15, require that State agencies inform FNS of <u>major changes</u> in program design at least 120 days prior to implementation and that State agencies collect and report data that FNS can use to identify and correct problems relating to integrity and access, particularly for certain vulnerable households. Grantees whose projects qualify as a major change must submit the major change quarterly report as well as the FNS-908 Performance Progress Report (PPR) form noted above.

FNS will host a webinar for recipients during the first quarter of the grant to provide more information on reporting responsibilities.

8. FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY CONTACT

For questions regarding this solicitation, please contact the Grant Officer:

Dawn Addison Grant Officer, Grants and Fiscal Policy Division U.S. Department of Agriculture, FNS E-mail: <u>Dawn.addison@usda.gov</u>

9. OTHER INFORMATION

Debriefing Requests

Non-selected applicants may request a debriefing to discuss the strengths and weaknesses of submitted proposals. This information may be useful when preparing future grant proposals. The Grants Officer will send additional information on debriefing requests to non-selected applicants. FNS reserves the right to provide this debriefing orally or in written format.

APPENDIX A: TIPS FOR PROPOSAL WRITERS

The **Project Design** section is the applicant's opportunity to convince reviewers that the proposed project meets the selection criteria. Below are general recommendations to help applicants present their project in a way the reviewers will find most compelling and persuasive.

- Utilize the resources on the Grants.gov <u>Applicant Training</u> webpage, <u>FedRAMP</u>, and FNS resources such as the <u>Keys to Payment Accuracy</u>, <u>Timeliness Tips</u>, and the <u>Model Notice</u> <u>Toolkit</u>.¹⁰
- Clearly define the proposal's impact on the SNAP certification and eligibility determination process.
- If the proposal involves improvements that will affect additional programs, ensure the budget appropriately reflects that the requested PTIG funds are only going to fund SNAP's share of project costs.
- Ensure the application includes all required information, including a letter of commitment or endorsement, if needed.
- The executive summary should address which of the FY 2025 Objectives the project aims to achieve, and which part of the SNAP certification process the project will improve by. The executive summary should not exceed one page.
- Avoid circular reasoning; for instance, don't define the problem addressed by the proposed project as the lack of the solution proposed in the application.
- Format the application to match the outline proposed in <u>Section 4 "Application Content</u> Information."
 - \circ $\;$ Follow the instructions and discuss each criterion in the order presented.
 - Use headings to differentiate narrative sections by criterion.
- Make sure budget figures are consistent across the required forms, budgets, and narratives.
- Address how the applicant will cover ongoing costs after the grant period ends.
- Ensure the project has a strong qualitative and quantitative evaluation plan that will use data to show that the project is resolving the problem identified.
- Be clear, succinct, and use plain language. Reviewers are not interested in jargon, boilerplate, rhetoric, or exaggeration. They are interested in learning precisely what you intend to do, and how your project responds to the selection criteria.
- Explicitly describe how the proposed project will meet the RFA's criteria and provide qualitative or quantitative data to show the impact of the proposed change on SNAP clients.
- Avoid making assumptions. Do not assume the reviewers know anything about you, your partner organizations, or the proposed project.
- Avoid overuse of acronyms and be sure to define any acronyms in the application.
- Ensure that your proposal does not require waivers of SNAP regulations.
- If you are a non-governmental organization, work with your SNAP office to ensure that your proposal is clear and follows SNAP regulations.

¹⁰ Digital resources may be helpful in informing the content of your proposed project; however, FNS is not responsible for the contents of non-FNS webpages. It is the applicant's responsibility to ensure the project aligns with the objectives and requirements of the PTIG RFA.

APPENDIX B: RFA BUDGET NARRATIVE CHECKLIST

FOR GRANT APPLICANT USE ONLY. DO NOT RETURN THIS FORM WITH THE APPLICATION.

This checklist will assist you in completing the budget narrative portion of the application. Please review the checklist to ensure the budget narrative addresses the items below. The budget and budget narrative, as well as forms SF-424 and SF-424A, must be in line with the proposal project description (statement of work) bona fide need. FNS reserves the right to request information not clearly addressed. All funding requests must be in whole dollars.

ITEM	YES	NO
Personnel		
Did you include all key employees paid for by this grant under this heading?		
Are employees of the applicant's organization identified by name and position title?		
Did you reflect percentage of time the Project Director will devote to the project in full-time equivalents (FTE)?		
Fringe Benefits		
Did you include your organization's fringe benefit amount along with the basis for the computation?		
Did you list the type of fringe benefits covered with Federal funds?		
Travel		
Are travel expenses itemized? For example, origination/destination points, number and purpose of trips, number of staff traveling, mode of transportation and cost of each trip.		
Are the Attendee Objectives and travel justifications included in the narrative?		+
Is the basis for the lodging estimates identified in the budget? For example, include excerpt from travel regulations.		
Equipment		
Is the need for the equipment justified in the narrative?		
Are the types of equipment, unit costs, and the number of items purchased for the project listed in the budget?		
Is the basis for the cost per item or other basis of computation stated in the budget?		1
Supplies		

ITEM	YES	NO
Are the types of supplies, unit costs, and the number of items purchased for the project reflected in the budget?		
Is the basis for the costs per item or other basis of computation stated?		
Contractual: (FNS reserves the right to request information on all contractual awards and associated costs after FNS awards the contract .)		
Is the bona fide need clearly identified in the project description to justify the cost for a contract or sub-grant expense(s) shown on the budget?		
A justification for all Sole-source contracts is in the budget narrative prior to approving this identified cost.		
Indirect Costs		
Has the applicant obtained a Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) from a cognizant Federal Agency? If yes, provide a copy of the most recent and signed negotiated rate agreement along with the application.		
2 CFR 200 allows any non-Federal entity (NFE) that has never received a negotiated indirect cost rate to charge a de Minimis rate of 15 % of modified total direct costs (MTDC), which the NFE may use indefinitely as a Federally negotiated rate.		
Other		
Consultant Services – Has the bona fide need been clearly identified in the project description to justify the cost shown on the budget? The following information must be provided in the justification: description of service, the consultant's name and an itemized list of all direct cost and fees, number of personnel including the position title (specialty and specialized qualifications as appropriate to the costs), number of estimated hours and hourly wages per hour, and all expenses and fees directly related to the proposed services to be rendered to the project.		
For all other line items listed under the "Other" heading – List all items covered within "Other" along with the methodology on how the applicant derived the costs charged to the program.		
If the proposal involves improvements that will affect additional programs, are PTIG funds only going to fund SNAP's share of project costs?		
Did you upload the project's budget as a separate spreadsheet?		

APPENDIX C: FNS-908 PERFORMANCE PROGRESS REPORT (PPR)

FOR REFERENCE ONLY.

Validate Form	Submit by Email	UNIT	ED STATES DEPARTM Food and Nut		URE	OMB Number: 0584-051 Expiration Date: 7/31/202
		 PE	RFORMANCE PRO	OGRESS REPOR	RT	Management Settings
Recommended File N		nization Name}_{Typ n paste in Save As d	e of Report}_{Reporting Filialog when saving	iscal Year}_{Period}_{	Driginal Revision}.pdf	
information unless it di collection is estimated and completing and re	splays a valid OMB co to average 3 hours pe viewing the collection on n, to: U.S. Department	ntrol number. The val response, including of information. Send o of Agriculture, Food	id OMB control number for the time for reviewing instr comments regarding this bu and Nutrition Service, Offic	this information collect uctions, searching exist urden estimate or any o	onsor, and a person is not required to n tion is 0584-0512. The time required to sting data sources, gathering and maint ther aspect of this collection of informa 820 Braddock Place, 5th Floor, Alexand	complete this information aining the data needed, tion, including suggestion
1. Recipient Organiz a. Organization Name					2. Program Information:	
a. organization Name	*-				Program Area:	×
b. Street Address:					Federal Fiscal Year of Award:	
				_	Program:	¥
City:		State:		Zip:	Tag:	*
A B					4. Federal Award Identification Nu	mber (FAIN):
3. Primary POC: a. First Name:	Last Name:	h	. Title:		-	
a. Thot Hamo.	Last Hame.		. 186.		5. Type of Report (Select One):	
c. Telephone (Area C	ode & Number):	d	. Email Address:		Quarterly Semi-Annua	
					Reporting Fiscal Year:	eriod:
6. Federal Grant Ag	eement Number: 📘				Original/Revision:	
7. Additional POC (ptional)					
a. First Name:		Last Name:		b. Title:		
c. Telephone (Area C	ode & Number):			d. Email Address:		
8. Report Submitted	By:					
a. First Name:	Last Name:		b. Title:		 9. Certification I certify by checking this box that 	to the heat of my
					knowledge and belief, this report performance of activities set forth	is correct and complete for
10. Date Report Sub	mitted:					
Form FNS-908 Version Number: 1.4 09	-22		SBU Page 1		Electronic Form Version Design	ed in Adobe AEM 6.4 Versio

Program Management 1. Progress Summary	
Provide summary of progr	ess this reporting period, highlighting your greatest achievements and challenges to date in this reporting period. For challenges, how did you resolve
overcome them? (Max 20	UU characters):
2. Personnel Information a. Number of FTEs:	
	b. Were there any changes in key personnel? Yes No the changes in key personnel, including the individual leaving/joining the project as well as the name and contact information (email address, phone
number, and name of org	anization) of the individual. Note: This information does not serve as a formal request to approve the change in key personnel. This request must be
forwarded to the Grants C	Officer in a separate request (Max 2000 Characters):
3. Projected Amendmen	4- (C4
	s projected this upcoming quarter?
	dment(s) require FNS approval? Yes No
	e of amendment(s) projected and justification for each. Note: This information does not serve as a formal request to approve amendments. This request
must be forwarded to the	Grants Officer in a separate request (Max 2000 characters:):
4. Expenditures/Purcha	
	ant expenditures or purchases, including any contracts entered during this reporting period?
b. If so, please describe (Max 2000 Characters):
5. Deviations (Changes	this quarter outside of the agreed upon budget, timeline, or scope):
a. Have there been any de	
	(s), including a justification and impacts to budget/timeline (Max 2000 characters):
d. Please describe propos	ed activities to mitigate the impact of the deviation(s) (Max 2000 characters):
	Page 2
-	
Program Managemen	t Information (Continued)
6. Upcoming Activities a	t Information (Continued) Ind Anticipated Changes
6. Upcoming Activities a	
6. Upcoming Activities a	and Anticipated Changes
6. Upcoming Activities a	and Anticipated Changes
6. Upcoming Activities a	and Anticipated Changes
6. Upcoming Activities a	and Anticipated Changes
6. Upcoming Activities a a. Please describe activiti	and Anticipated Changes
6. Upcoming Activities a a. Please describe activiti b. Do you anticipate any o	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters):
6. Upcoming Activities a a. Please describe activiti b. Do you anticipate any o	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No
6. Upcoming Activities a a. Please describe activiti b. Do you anticipate any o	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No
6. Upcoming Activities a a. Please describe activiti b. Do you anticipate any o	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No
6. Upcoming Activities a a. Please describe activiti b. Do you anticipate any o	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No
Upcoming Activities a Please describe activiti D. Do you anticipate any c c. If yes, please explain th	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters):
G. Upcoming Activities a A please describe activiti D. Do you anticipate any c C. If yes, please explain th 7. Final Reporting Summ	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No
G. Upcoming Activities a Please describe activiti D. Do you anticipate any c If yes, please explain th 7. Final Reporting Summ a. Are all goals and object	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): hary (Final Reporting Period Only)
G. Upcoming Activities a Please describe activiti D. Do you anticipate any c If yes, please explain th 7. Final Reporting Summ a. Are all goals and object	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): nary (Final Reporting Period Only) Ives completed at this time? Yes No
G. Upcoming Activities a Please describe activiti D. Do you anticipate any c If yes, please explain th 7. Final Reporting Summ a. Are all goals and object	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): nary (Final Reporting Period Only) Ives completed at this time? Yes No
G. Upcoming Activities a Please describe activiti D. Do you anticipate any c If yes, please explain th 7. Final Reporting Summ a. Are all goals and object	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): nary (Final Reporting Period Only) Ives completed at this time? Yes No
G. Upcoming Activities a Please describe activiti D. Do you anticipate any c If yes, please explain th 7. Final Reporting Summ a. Are all goals and object	nd Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): nary (Final Reporting Period Only) Ives completed at this time? Yes No
Compared to the second se	and Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): thanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): hary (Final Reporting Period Only) twee completed at this time? Yes No fly describe the goals and objectives that were not completed and why they were not completed (Max 2000 Characters): sufficient for meeting the project goals? Yes No
Compared to the second se	and Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): hanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): nary (Final Reporting Period Only) Ives completed at this time? Yes No By describe the goals and objectives that were not completed and why they were not completed (Max 2000 Characters):
Compared to the second se	and Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): thanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): hary (Final Reporting Period Only) twee completed at this time? Yes No fly describe the goals and objectives that were not completed and why they were not completed (Max 2000 Characters): sufficient for meeting the project goals? Yes No
C. Was the project budget C. Was the project budget	and Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): thanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): hary (Final Reporting Period Only) twee completed at this time? Yes No fly describe the goals and objectives that were not completed and why they were not completed (Max 2000 Characters): sufficient for meeting the project goals? Yes No
Compared to the second se	and Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): thanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): hary (Final Reporting Period Only) twee completed at this time? Yes No fly describe the goals and objectives that were not completed and why they were not completed (Max 2000 Characters): sufficient for meeting the project goals? Yes No
Compared to the second se	and Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): thanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): hary (Final Reporting Period Only) twee completed at this time? Yes No fly describe the goals and objectives that were not completed and why they were not completed (Max 2000 Characters): sufficient for meeting the project goals? Yes No
C. Was the project budget C. Was the project budget	and Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): thanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): hary (Final Reporting Period Only) lives completed at this time? Yes No fly describe the goals and objectives that were not completed and why they were not completed (Max 2000 Characters): sufficient for meeting the project goals? Yes No fly describe why the budget was insufficient for meeting the project goals (Max 2000 Characters):
G. Upcoming Activities a A Please describe activiti D. Do you anticipate any c C. If yes, please explain th A.re all goals and object b. If no to answer 7a, brie C. Was the project budget d. If no to answer 7c, brie	and Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): thanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): hary (Final Reporting Period Only) lives completed at this time? Yes No fly describe the goals and objectives that were not completed and why they were not completed (Max 2000 Characters): sufficient for meeting the project goals? Yes No fly describe why the budget was insufficient for meeting the project goals (Max 2000 Characters):
G. Upcoming Activities a A Please describe activiti D. Do you anticipate any c C. If yes, please explain th A.re all goals and object b. If no to answer 7a, brie C. Was the project budget d. If no to answer 7c, brie	and Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): thanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): hary (Final Reporting Period Only) lives completed at this time? Yes No fly describe the goals and objectives that were not completed and why they were not completed (Max 2000 Characters): sufficient for meeting the project goals? Yes No fly describe why the budget was insufficient for meeting the project goals (Max 2000 Characters):
G. Upcoming Activities a A Please describe activiti D. Do you anticipate any c C. If yes, please explain th A.re all goals and object b. If no to answer 7a, brie C. Was the project budget d. If no to answer 7c, brie	and Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): thanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): hary (Final Reporting Period Only) lives completed at this time? Yes No fly describe the goals and objectives that were not completed and why they were not completed (Max 2000 Characters): sufficient for meeting the project goals? Yes No fly describe why the budget was insufficient for meeting the project goals (Max 2000 Characters):
Compared to the second se	and Anticipated Changes es planned for next quarter (Max 2000 Characters): thanges in your project timeline, activities or cost? Yes No e anticipated changes (Max 2000 Characters): hary (Final Reporting Period Only) lives completed at this time? Yes No fly describe the goals and objectives that were not completed and why they were not completed (Max 2000 Characters): sufficient for meeting the project goals? Yes No fly describe why the budget was insufficient for meeting the project goals (Max 2000 Characters):

Г

Appendix D: GLOSSARY OF ADVANCED AUTOMATION TERMS

Virtual agents: may be a combination of natural language processing, intelligent search, and robotic process automation (RPA) in a conversational user interface that automates dialogue with end users, provides information, and/or directly executes actions to meet user requests.

Traditional Artificial Intelligence: refers to grouping input and selecting pre-determined responses. This type of AI is also referred to as weak AI and is designed to perform a single or narrow task but cannot perform outside of its defined task.

Generative Artificial Intelligence: refers to new content creation based on inputs. The system utilizes deep learning models to produce creative outputs. Some examples include text generation, image creation, and music composition. The key to this type of AI is *creative outputs*.

Artificial General Intelligence (AGI): can be referred to by a couple different names such as Strong or Super AI. This type of AI aims to replicate human cognitive abilities unlike weak AI.

Machine Learning: uses statistical techniques to enable machines to improve at tasks with experience. This involves the creation of algorithms that allow computers to learn from and make decisions or predictions.

Deep Learning: Subset of machine learning in which multi-layered networks learn from vast amounts of data.

Robotic Process Automation (RPA): an approach or system that uses software robots or "bots" that are programmed to perform specific tasks in an existing system using structured inputs and rule-based, non-discretionary logic.

Artificial Intelligence Automation: Combining AI with automation to autonomously manage complex tasks.