Clear Form

Save As

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Determination for Issuing a Single Source Financial Assistance Award

Instructions: In accordance with Service policy 516 FW 6, *Issuing Discretionary Grant and Cooperative Agreement Awards without Competition*, complete this form to document the justification for issuing a single source grant or cooperative agreement award. This form must be signed by both a program representative and the employee with signature authority for the award to be issued (see 516 FW 3, *Signature Authority for Grant and Cooperative Agreement Awards*). The completed and signed form must be maintained in the official award file.

Recipient Name: Southern Illinois University - Carbondale

Project Title: Hydroacoustic Survey of Bighead and Silver Carp in the Ohio River

Program Contact: Donovan Henry

Select all criteria that apply (from 505 DM 2):

	(1) Unsolicited Proposal: The proposed award is the result of an unsolicited application that represents a unique or innovative idea, method, or approach that is not the subject of a current or planned award, but is found to be advantageous to program objectives.
	(2) Continuation: The activity to be funded is necessary for the satisfactory completion of, or is a continuation of, an activity we are funding and holding a competition would have a significant adverse effect on continuing or completing the activity.
	(3) Legislative Intent: The language in the applicable authorizing legislation or legislative history clearly indicates the intent of Congress to restrict the award to a particular recipient or purpose.
✔	(4) Unique Qualifications: The applicant is uniquely qualified to perform the activity based on a variety of demonstrable factors such as location; property ownership; voluntary support capacity; cost-sharing ability, if applicable; technical expertise; or other such unique qualifications.
	(5) Emergencies: Because of a compelling and unusual urgency, or substantial danger to health or safety, there is not enough time to follow adequate competitive procedures.

Explain below why competition for this award is not practical. As applicable, summarize the program legislative history, unique capabilities of the proposed recipient, and any cost-sharing contribution offered by the proposed recipient. Be brief and specific:

Competition for this award is not practical as this is an extension of work previously performed by Southern Illinois University Carbondale (SIUC) , for which they are uniquely qualified by experience, equipment ownership, and location. The scientists at SIUC have been estimating relative abundance and biomass of Asian carp through hydroacoustic surveys in large river habitats since 2011 and are the leading experts on the subject. In addition to their unparalleled experience collecting and analyzing these data, SIUC already has the expensive hydroacoustics equipment and analysis software necessary for full implementation of the project. SIUC is located near the cooperating fish and wildlife conservation office, which is strategic for field travel, benefits the project, and reduces overall travel costs.

I have determined that this project meets the requirements for a single source award and recommend that it be awarded to the recipient named above.

GREG CONOVER Digitally signed by GREG CONOVER Date: 2019.09.06 08:43:00 -05'00'

Program Representative Sign and Date

Greg Conover

Printed Name of Program Representative

I, as the Service employee with signature authority for this award under 516 FW 3, have reviewed this program recommendation and find that it meets the requirements for a single source award.

Digitally signed by DANIEL LOVDAHL Date: 2019.09.12 07:00:22 -05'00'

Authorized Signator Sign and Date

Daniel D. lovdahl

Printed Name of Signator