

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Determination for Issuing a Single Source Financial Assistance Award

Instructions: In accordance with Service policy 516 FW 6, *Issuing Discretionary Grant and Cooperative Agreement Awards without Competition*, complete this form to document the justification for issuing a single source grant or cooperative agreement award. This form must be signed by both a program representative and the employee with signature authority for the award to be issued (see 516 FW 3, *Signature Authority for Grant and Cooperative Agreement Awards*). The completed and signed form must be maintained in the official award file.

Recipient Name: Webb City

Project Title: Cardinal Valley Natural Habitat Restoration Project

Program Contact: Scott Hamilton

Select all criteria that apply (from 505 DM 2):

	(1) Unsolicited Proposal: The proposed award is the result of an unsolicited application that represents a unique or innovative idea, method, or approach that is not the subject of a current or planned award, but is found to be advantageous to program objectives.
✓	(2) Continuation: The activity to be funded is necessary for the satisfactory completion of, or is a continuation of, an activity we are funding and holding a competition would have a significant adverse effect on continuing or completing the activity.
	(3) Legislative Intent: The language in the applicable authorizing legislation or legislative history clearly indicates the intent of Congress to restrict the award to a particular recipient or purpose.
✓	(4) Unique Qualifications: The applicant is uniquely qualified to perform the activity based on a variety of demonstrable factors such as location; property ownership; voluntary support capacity; cost-sharing ability, if applicable; technical expertise; or other such unique qualifications.
	(5) Emergencies: Because of a compelling and unusual urgency, or substantial danger to health or safety, there is not enough time to follow adequate competitive procedures.

Explain below why competition for this award is not practical. As applicable, summarize the program legislative history, unique capabilities of the proposed recipient, and any cost-sharing contribution offered by the proposed recipient. Be brief and specific:

This project is a continuation of a restoration project funded under Financial Assistance Award F15AC00561. This phase of the project differs in that soil amendments will need to be produced and land-applied to barren mine-affected areas before traditional prairie restoration techniques are conducted. The current restoration manager will work on these new areas, and the equipment (tractor, mower, fire-fighting equipment, UTV and sprayer) purchased previously will be able to be used for this phase. Webb City is unique in that they own all of the property to be restored, they have specialized technical expertise on prairie management (qualified burn boss, herbicide applicator, etc) and possess specialized equipment to produce the type and quantity of soil amendment previously tested by Trustees.

I have determined that this project meets the requirements for a single source award and recommend that it be awarded to the recipient named above.



5-23-19

Program Representative Sign and Date

Scott Hamilton, Fish & Wildlife Biologist

Printed Name of Program Representative

I, as the Service employee with signature authority for this award under 516 FW 3, have reviewed this program recommendation and find that it meets the requirements for a single source award.



Digitally signed by DANIEL
LOVDAHL
Date: 2019.05.23 14:51:45
-05'00'

Authorized Signator Sign and Date

Daniel D. Lovdahl

Printed Name of Signator