W912DQ-18-2-0006
Amendment 0001
*Section A
*Section D

**Funding Opportunity Announcement**

BAT MONITORING
FORT LEONARD WOOD, MISSOURI

| | |
|---|---|
| **Funding Agency :**<br>*U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS*<br>*KANSAS CITY DISTRICT*<br>*601 E 12TH STREET, ROOM 647*<br>*KANSAS CITY, MO 64106* | **Funding Instrument:** Cooperative Agreement<br>**Funding Opportunity No:** W912DQ-18-2-0006<br>**CFDA No:** 12.114<br>**Program Title: Bat Monitoring FLW, MO** |
| **Issue Date:** 20180514 | **Application Due Date:** **20180611** |

**Overview:**

SUMMARY OF WORK: The non-federal entity will perform the required program support for seismic, acoustic, and noise monitoring in and around caves surrounding the Mine Clearing Line Charge (MICLIC) training range located at Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri. The project is to evaluate effects of military operations, at the MICLIC training range, on bats inhabiting caves within a 2 mile radius of the range.

BACKGROUND: Fort Leonard Wood is located in Pulaski County, MO approximately 120 miles southwest of St. Louis, MO and 85 miles northeast of Springfield, MO. The installation consists of 61,411 acres. Much of the land surrounding FLW is part of the Mark Twain National Forest.

ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS: All responsible, non-federal entities submitting funding requests that meet the requirements in the program description will be considered for this funding opportunity.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY: Title 16 U.S.C. Section 670c-1 provides, in part, that "[t]he Secretary of a military department may enter into cooperative agreements…with nongovernmental organizations" for the purposes of "[t]he maintenance and improvement of natural resources on, or to benefit natural and historic research on, military installations and State-owned National Guard installations."

| | |
|---|---|
| **Estimated Total Funding:** $126,000 | **Estimated Number of Awards:** 1 |

**Contents of Full Text Announcement**


| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| A | Funding Opportunity Description | E | Application Review Information |
| B | Award Information | F | Award Administration Information |
| C | Eligibility Information | G | Agency Contacts |
| D | Application Information | H | Other Information |


**Contact Information:** Questions relating to Grants.gov including the registration process and system requirements should be directed to the Grants.gov Contact Center at 1-800-518-4726. For assistance with the requirements of this Funding Opportunity Announcement, please contact Ms. Mandy Ashmore by email at mandy.ashmore@usace.army.mil or by phone at (816) 389-3839.

**Instructions to Applicants:**
The complete funding opportunity announcement, application forms, and instructions are available for download at Grants.gov.

Applications in response to this Funding Opportunity Announcement shall be submitted by the application due date. Applications may be submitted by mail, email, or via the internet through Grants.gov.

Applicants shall have a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number, register with the System of Award Management (SAM), and if submitting application via the internet, register with Grants.gov.

See Section D of the Funding Opportunity Announcement for complete application submission information.

## Section A: Program Description

### 1.0 Purpose of Assistance Agreement

The scope of the proposed Sikes Act cooperative agreement is for a selected Non-Federal Entity to conduct seismic, acoustic, and noise monitoring in and around caves surrounding the Mine Clearing Line Charge (MICLIC) training range. The objectives of the technical assistance are to: (1) protect military lands and waters which sustain the military mission; (2) to maintain, protect, and improve environmental quality, aesthetic values and ecological relationships; (3) to protect plants and animals and the habitat they depend upon, especially endangered and/or threatened species; (4) to protect environmentally sensitive areas such as archaeological sites, endangered species habitats, floodplains, water resources, watersheds, riparian zones and natural areas.

In order to meet these objectives, a selected NFE will provide environmental analysis and research in a National Environmental Policy Act framework, assist in field investigations for conservation and for the protection and management of natural resources. All environmental activities / reports / deliverables accepted under this agreement will support the enhancement of natural resources and environmental conditions on the installation, which benefits extend into the regional watersheds and neighboring communities.

The project is to evaluate military operations and sustainment / enhancement activities to determine if the firing of live MICLICs will adversely impact the bat population in the surrounding area.

The project study area includes all lands and available habitat within a two mile radius of the proposed MICLIC range. Surveying techniques will include acoustical, seismic and sound.

Additionally, a reporting will be required outlining the potential effects of military actions to the surrounding bat population.

### 2.0 Fort Leonard Wood

Fort Leonard Wood currently consists of 61,410 acres and is designated as an active IMCOM installation. The Installation is located just south of Waynesville and St. Robert, in Pulaski County, Missouri. Fort Leonard Wood is the home of the U.S. Army Engineer's School, the U.S. Army Maneuver Support Center of Excellence, the U.S. Army Military Police School, and the U.S. Army Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear School. Fort Leonard Wood consists of approximately 44,500 acres of forested habitat within the Ozarks Highlands region of Missouri.

### 3.0 Period of Performance

The Non-Federal Entity shall perform all work in accordance with the approved project schedule. The Period of Performance shall commence upon award and expire on 31 July 2019.

### 4.0 Assistance Agreement Costs

The written price proposal shall be rounded to whole dollars and will need to demonstrate the NFE's costs to complete the required staffing and tasks described herein. A separate budget proposal should be prepared for each task in the program description. The budget proposal should be itemized and reflect specific cost elements (i.e. man-hours, labor costs, materials, equipment, overhead and fees) to complete each task. Any assumptions made in development of the budget should be documented.

The budget proposal to execute the work does not need to be overly complicated or detailed. We encourage straightforward budgets. The budget needs to show line items and F&A with a clear understanding that the NFE can provide the required position / technical assistance at Fort Leonard Wood.

## 5.0 Payments

The Government will fund the NFE up to the negotiated amount for performance under this agreement through cash advances on a quarterly basis. The NFE must request approval for any deviations from the approved budget or project scope or objective, and request prior approval in accordance with 2 CFR 200.308(c)(1) from the Grants Officer for certain budget and program plan revisions including if the need arises for additional Federal funds to complete the project.

The NFE shall submit a "Request for Advance or Reimbursement" (SF-270) for estimated quarterly costs to:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: CENWK-EC-DT/Payment Coordinator
601 East 12th Street, Room 505
Kansas City, MO 64106-2896

## 6.0 Authorizing Statutes

Sikes Act - Title 16 U.S.C. Section 670c-1 - The maintenance and improvement of natural resources on, or to benefit natural and historic research on, military installations and State-owned National Guard installations.

## 7.0 Project Tasks

### 7.1 Introduction

The U.S. Army Maneuver Support Center of Excellence and U.S. Army Garrison Fort Leonard Wood (Installation), has prepared a Biological Assessment (BA) to evaluate effects of the construction and operation of a Mine Clearing Line Charge (MICLIC) range on threatened and endangered species at the Installation. Currently the BA is under review by the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and, if warranted or required, could issue a Biological Opinion. As agreed upon by the USFWS, this pilot monitoring plan will describe the process in which field data is to be gathered and timelines in which field data is processed and/or reported. Monitoring data will be used to validate the noise and seismic estimations, as described in the BA, for MICLIC detonations and ensure that thresholds of sound and vibration have not been surpassed.

These results will be reported to the USFWS. If field data results surpass thresholds, the USFWS will be consulted and appropriate coordination will be conducted.

This pilot monitoring plan outlines the proposed monitoring framework associated with the first initial MICLIC deployments and detonations (fall 2018 and spring 2019). These are expected to occur in October 2018 and April to May 2019; avoiding critical bat life stages, such as hibernation and pup rearing seasons. Monitoring will include acoustic, sound, and seismic data collection and reporting. Monitoring locations include King, Joy, Saltpeter No. 3, Davis No. 2, Martin No. 3, Phreatic, and Lohraff Caves.

## Study Area

The Installation is located in south central Missouri about 120 miles southwest of St. Louis, Missouri, and 85 miles northeast of Springfield, Missouri. The towns of Waynesville and St. Robert are located to the northwest and north of the Installation respectively and are the closest municipalities. The Installation encompasses approximately 63,000 acres of land in the Ozark Plateau region. The Big Piney River flows along the eastern boundary of the Installation and Roubidoux Creek is located near the western boundary. Seven caves are located within a 2-mile radius of the proposed MICLIC range along the bluffs of Roubidoux Creek (Figure 1).

## Monitoring Parameters

### Acoustics

Acoustic methods will include, but not limited to, deploying bat acoustic detector with an omnidirectional microphone that has the capability to record live bat calls. Detectors will be deployed by field technicians on an external battery for up to four weeks at a time. Acoustic detectors deployed at cave locations would verify if bats are disturbed or aroused from caves as a result of MICLIC detonations. Detectors can also identify, with probable certainty, which species of bat are projecting the calls as well as the number of calls and the time in which they occurred. All cave entry and/or exit points will be monitored and microphones will be directed toward the

cave entrance to avoid erroneous calls from bats not associated to the cave.





Figure 7.1. Monitoring Locations

## Sound

Sound levels will be monitored using a sound meter which can record sound levels in decibels (dB)s. Sound meters will be deployed on a battery system. Sound levels will be recorded at the entrance to the cave(s).

### Seismic

Seismic activity would be monitored using a seismograph; which can record seismic levels in inches per second (ips) as well as the time in which they occurred. A seismograph can be deployed on a stationary battery system for multiple weeks at a time. A seismograph would be attached to the rock entrance of a cave; the ceiling is preferred, but any rock surface as appropriate based on field conditions.

## 7.2 Pilot Monitoring Plan

Prior to beginning work at FLW, the PI will coordinate with the Kansas City District and FLW, Environmental Division. The purpose of this meeting will be to finalize project goals / objectives and develop a project schedule.

### Baseline Assessment

Establishment of a baseline is important to understand the natural occurring parameters in the area of interest, i.e. the caves. King Cave is the closest cave in proximity to the MICLIC and therefore, the most optimal location to collect sound and seismic data.

### Acoustics

Baseline acoustic monitoring is to be conducted at each cave location for the duration of the first initial MICLIC detonations. Acoustic monitoring will be conducted no less than five days prior to the MICLIC detonations. In this way, bat call recordings would provide background data on which probable species of bats are utilizing the caves as well as provide general a general index of pre-detonation activity based on the quantity of calls. Acoustic baseline data will be collected from October 2018 to April-May 2019.

### Sound

Sound levels should be recorded a minimum of 4-hours prior to detonation. If stationary sound recording capabilities exist, then background noise levels at King Cave should be recorded concurrently with the acoustic monitoring or as the situation allows. If sound baseline data are corrupted or failure to collect data occurs, the contractor will be required to repeat the collection in April 2019.

### Seismic

A stationary seismograph will be set at King Cave no less than two weeks prior to the initial MICLIC detonation date in ~~August~~ **October** 2018 to monitor ambient seismic levels. Seismic data are to be collected only during the initial MICLIC detonation in October 2018. If seismic baseline data are corrupted or failure to collect data occurs, the contractor will be required to repeat the collection in April 2019.

### MICLIC Monitoring

Based on conversations with USFWS and Installation Natural Resource Managers a proposed monitoring schedule was developed (Table 1). This schedule may be subject to change based on

environmental conditions such as flooding, i.e. safety concerns or other factors outside of the contractors control.

As part of implementing this schedule, the contractor will ensure that all acoustic monitoring systems are operating properly within 24-hours of the initial October 2018 MICLIC detonation. In the hours prior to the October 2018 MICLIC detonation, the contractor will arrive at King Cave to ensure seismic, sound, and acoustic monitoring equipment are operating properly. Once confirmed, the contractor will exit the Safety Danger Zone (SDZ) (Figure 2) prior to the MICLICs detonation. Removal of non-essential personnel is a mandatory Department of the Army requirement and is managed by the installations Range Control.

Table 1. Cave Monitoring Schedule

| Cave | October 2018 | April 2019 | May 2019 |
|---|---|---|---|
| King | Acoustic, Sound, Seismic | Acoustic | Acoustic |
| Martin No. 3 | Acoustic | Acoustic | Acoustic |
| Joy | Acoustic | Acoustic | Acoustic |
| Saltpeter No. 3 | Acoustic | Acoustic | Acoustic |
| Lohraff | Acoustic | Acoustic | Acoustic |
| Davis No. 2 | Acoustic | Acoustic | Acoustic |
| Phreatic | Acoustic | Acoustic | Acoustic |

Acoustic, sound, and seismic monitoring equipment and recorded data will be collected following the October 2018 MICLIC detonation. However, the equipment will be left in place for 24-hours after the detonation allowing the caves to be monitored and undisturbed during a post-detonation period. As for MICLIC detonations occurring in April-May 2019, the contractor will check all acoustic detectors within 24-hours of MICLIC detonation to verify detectors are functioning properly and ensure battery life is sufficient. Post-detonation acoustic data will be collected within two weeks of the April detonation event and no less than one week prior to the May detonation event. The contractor will allow acoustic monitoring to continue no less than 24-hours from the detonation event allowing the caves to be monitored and undisturbed during a post-detonation period. For each MICLIC detonation, all acoustic detectors will be set in such a way that bats leaving the cave entrance(s) will be detected and erroneous calls minimized. If a cave has multiple entrances, such as Davis No 2, multiple detectors may be required.

### 7.3 Records and Reporting

Initial results based on seismic, sound, and acoustic field data will be processed within a reasonable time after each MICLIC detonation, not to exceed three weeks from the time the data were collected. Acoustic information will be reported separately from the sound and seismic results. Final results and reports regarding the October 2018 monitoring will be completed no later than 01 January 2019. Draft acoustic data from April 2019 will be reported prior to the May MICLIC detonation. A final report regarding April and May 2019 results/findings will be completed by 01 July 2019.

## USFWS Coordination

Finalized reports will be sent to the USFWS for review and coordination. If field data results surpass thresholds indicated in the BA, further consultation with the USFWS will be initiated.





Figure 2. MICLIC Safety Danger Zone

### 8.0 Project Review Meetings

Project Review Meetings will take place as needed throughout the project, but at a minimum at major milestones during the period of performance.

### 9.0 Personnel Qualifications

All monitoring and interpretation of bat data shall be conducted by a qualified bat surveyor who hold the proper Federal Fish and Wildlife permits. Personnel should be proficient in bat populations of Southwest Missouri; which includes, but is not limited to species identification, general behaviors and habitat requirements, mating and hibernacula routines, and interpreting call frequencies using recorded acoustic data. Personnel should hold at a minimum a master's degree in biology; a specialty in bat research would be ideal and at least two years' experience conducting field research in the areas listed above.

## 10.0 Government Furnished Information and Materials

The government will furnish the following:

a. Access to installation written documents and electronic files relevant to T&E Species and Habitat at the respective installation.
b. The necessary permission to enter and conduct field investigations.

## 11.0 Location of Work and Period of Performance

Proposed Location: Fort Leonard Wood, MO
Period of Performance: approximately 1 year

## 12.0 Government Project Personnel

Kansas City District Corps of Engineers Project Manager (PM): Ms. Kathy Baker (816-389-3906, e-mail kathy.t.baker@usace.army.mil) is the PM responsible for execution of this agreement.

Fort Leonard Wood Program Manager: Mr. Kenton Lohraff (573-596-2814, e-mail kenton.m.lohraff.civ@mail.mil) is the Fort Leonard Wood Chief, Natural Resources Branch and is responsible for program management of the work requirements.

## Section B: Federal Award Information

One Cooperative Agreement with estimated funding of $126,000 for the program period as defined in the program description.

The Fort Leonard Wood DPW government employees and USACE employees will be substantially involved in the agreement by providing oversight and task distribution to the agreement personnel. The NFE will collaborate with the government employees to accomplish Bat Monitoring tasks. NFE's may be requested to advise the government on the best path forward on certain tasks and collaborate with the government on program decisions. NFE's may be requested to produce monitoring data reports that will be approved by government officials before consultation with USFWS. Government employees will provide guidance, review completed work, and provide approval of work within agreement.

## Section C: Eligibility Information

1. Eligible Applicants – All qualified, non-federal entities submitting funding requests that meet the requirements in the program description will be considered for this funding opportunity.

2. Cost Sharing – The action will be 100% funded by USACE.

**Section D: Application and Submission Information**

1. Address to Request Application Package

   The complete funding opportunity announcement, application forms, and instructions are available for download at Grants.gov. USACE is not responsible for any loss of internet connectivity or for an applicant's inability to access documents posted at the referenced website.

   The administrative point of contact is David F. Miller (816)389-2258 david.f.miller@usace.army.mil.

2. Content and Form of Application Submission

   All mandatory forms and any applicable optional forms must be completed in accordance with the instructions on the forms and the additional instructions below.

   a. SF 424 - Application for Federal Assistance
   b. SF 424 A – Budget Information for Non-construction Programs
   c. SF 424 B – Assurances – Non-Construction Programs
   d. Program Narrative – The program narrative should include a program description illustrating applicant's ability to meet the goals and objectives described in Section A of the announcement. The narrative should provide an overview of the organization. The narrative should also describe the methods the applicant will use to monitor project progress and what accountability measures will be used to evaluate the project results.
   e. Proposed Project Staff – Provide a biographical sketch of each key person who will be working on the project, including their relevant experience and particular qualifications for the proposed project.
   f. Organization chart – Provide an organizational chart detailing the key personnel involved in performance and oversight of performance, including names and titles.
   g. Past Performance Information – Applicant will submit examples of recent relevant experience to demonstrate ability to successfully and satisfactorily meet the requirement. Applicants will provide information regarding why they believe any submitted past performance information is relevant to the current application. Experience is considered recent if it has been completed within five years from the due date for applications.
   h. Budget narrative – Provide a budget narrative that justifies the direct costs for the proposed project. The budget narrative should discuss the necessity, reasonableness, and allocability of all proposed costs. Applicants should provide a copy of either their latest indirect cost agreement or state their indirect cost rate.

The narrative should identify resources and needs required for the proposed project, including equipment, facilities, and training among others.

3. Complete applications shall be received by our office NO LATER THAN **June ~~06~~ 11, 2018 at 1600 local time.** For emailed applications, an acknowledgement of receipt will be sent by email. For mailed applications, acknowledgement of receipt will be provided to the point of contact upon receipt.

   If any portion of a proposal received by our office electronically is unreadable, the grants officer will notify the applicant and permit the applicant to resubmit the unreadable portion of the application. The method and time for resubmission will be prescribed by the grants officer after consultation with the applicant. The resubmission shall be considered as if it were received at the date and time of the original unreadable submission for the purpose of determining timeliness, provided the applicant complies with the time and format requirements for resubmission prescribed by the grants officer.

   Applicants are responsible for submitting applications so as to reach our office by the application deadline

   Any application that is received by our office after the exact time specified for receipt of applications is "late" and will not be considered unless it is received before award is made, the grants officer determines that accepting the late application would not unduly delay the acquisition; and—

   -If it was transmitted by email, it was received at the initial point of entry to the Government infrastructure not later than 5:00 p.m. one working day prior to the date specified for receipt of applications; or

   -There is acceptable evidence to establish that it was received by our office and was under the Government's control prior to the time set for receipt of applications; or

   -It was the only application received.

   Acceptable evidence to establish the time of receipt at our office includes the time/date stamp of the Bolling Federal Building mailroom on the proposal wrapper, other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the mailroom, or oral testimony or statements of Government personnel.

   If an emergency or unanticipated event interrupts normal Government processes so that applications cannot be received at the Government office designated for receipt of applications by the exact time specified, and urgent Government requirements preclude amendment of the closing date, the time specified for receipt of applications will be deemed to be extended to the same time of day specified in the solicitation on the first work day on which normal Government processes resume.

   Applications may be withdrawn by written notice at any time before award.

The grants officer will promptly notify any applicant if its application was received late, and will inform the applicant whether its application will be considered.

4. Submission Instructions

Applications may be submitted by mail or e-mail. Choose ONE of the following submission methods:

a. Mail one unbound copy of your complete proposal to:
US Army Corps of Engineers, Kansas City District
Attn: David F. Miller
601 E 12th Street, Room 647
Kansas City, MO 64106

b. E-mail:
Format all documents to print on Letter (8 ½ x 11") paper. E-mail applications to david.f.miller@usace.army.mil

**c. Grants.gov:**
**Reference document Standard Grants.gov Application Text in the additional documents section of notice of funding opportunity.**

## Section E: Application Review Information

1. Review and Selection Process

Timely applications will be evaluated in accordance with the process outlined below.

a. Initial Review

The Government will perform an initial review to determine if an applicant is (1) eligible in accordance with Section C of the announcement; (2) all information required by Section D has been submitted; and (3) all mandatory requirements are satisfied.

b. Merit Review

(i) Each eligible application will be evaluated by a federal awarding technical team based on the following criteria utilizing the adjectival ratings listed above in Section E, Paragraph 1 and ranked in order from most-highly rated to least. These criteria are listed in decreasing order of weight.

Management (heavyweight criterion) – Applicant demonstrates how internal quality controls will take place and accounting or bookkeeping procedures as well as the organizational structure for performance and oversight of the program description (Section A).

Qualifications of Key Personnel (middleweight criterion) – Applicant demonstrates the qualification for personnel who will be working on the requirement. Resumes or qualifications statements may be submitted for key personnel. NOTE: The recipient must receive prior approval from the Grants Officer listed in Section G for any changes in key personnel during the life of the agreement.

Technical Merit (lightweight criterion) – Applicant demonstrates how performance of the program description (Section A) will be accomplished.

(ii) Each merit review criteria will be rated with the following descriptions:

- ***Exceeds*** – Exceeds the program support requirements listed in Section A
- ***Meets*** – Meets the program support requirements listed in Section A
- ***Does Not Meet*** – Does not meet the program support requirements listed in Section A

c. Budget Review

Each qualified application budget will be evaluated as described below:

- Cost Reasonableness – Cost analysis will be conducted to determine if the applicant's budget is reasonable and realistic with allocable and allowable costs and if the applicant demonstrates the ability to comply with all financial regulatory requirements and financial reporting.

d. Pre-award risk survey

A pre-award risk survey will be conducted to determine the qualification of the applicant and to determine if the level of risk of the most highly ranked applicant is too high to allow for award of a federal assistance agreement, if the risk is such that special terms and conditions are necessary to mitigate risk, or if the risk is low enough to award without any special terms and conditions. The Government will review for each applicant the following:

-Single Audit Act audit (if applicable)
-FAPIIS Report
-Dun & Bradstreet Report
-SAM Exclusions (EPLS)
-Past Performance – Past performance information will be reviewed to determine the applicant's probability of meeting the program description requirements.

2. Anticipated Award Date
   Announcement Issue Date: May 14, 2018
   Announcement Due Date: June 11, 2018
   Estimated Award Date: July 01, 2018

**Section F: Federal Award Administration Information**

1. Award Notices
   Written notice of award will be given in conjunction with issuance of a cooperative agreement signed by a Grants Officer. The cooperative agreement will contain the effective date of the agreement, the period of performance, funding information, and all terms and conditions. The recipient is required to sign and return the document before work under the agreement commences. **Work described in this announcement SHALL NOT begin without prior authorization from a Grants Officer.**

2. Administrative Requirements

   i) The cooperative agreement issued as a result of this announcement is pursuant to the administrative requirements in 2 CFR 200.
   ii) Governing Regulations: This agreement will be administered in accordance with, and recipients shall comply with, the applicable requirements of the Defense Grant and Agreement Regulatory System (DGARS), in particular Chapter XI of Title 2, Code of Federal Regulations and Chapter I, Subchapter C of Title 32, Code of Federal Regulations, commonly referred to as the Department of Defense Grant and Agreement Regulations (DoDGARs). Currently, Parts 32 and 33 of the DoDGARs are being revised. In the interim, 2 C.F.R. Part 200 "Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards" replaces Parts 32 and 33 in accordance with 2 C.F.R. Part 1103.
   iii) Order of Precedence: In the event of a conflict between the terms of this agreement and other governing documents, the conflict shall be resolved by giving precedence in descending order as follows: (1) The DoDGARs found at Chapter xi of Title 2; Code of Federal Regulations including 2 CFR Part 200, (2) the articles of this agreement, and (3) the attachments to this cooperative agreement.

3. Reporting

   See deliverable information in the program description.

   The following forms shall be utilized to meet 2 C.F.R. part 200 reporting requirements

   iv) Bi-annual reporting

| Reporting period | Report Due Date |
|---|---|
| 1Jul to 31Dec | 31Jan |
| 1Jan to 30Jun | 31Jul |

(1) SF-425 Federal Financial Report

(2) Progress reports

v) Annual Reporting

| Reporting period | Report Due Date |
|---|---|
| 1Jul to 30Jun | 31Jul |

(1) SF-428 Tangible Personal Property Report
  (a) SF-428 A – Annual Report (reporting Federally-owned property)
  (b) SF-428 B – Final Report
  (c) SF-428 C – Disposition Request

(2) RPSR – Real Property Status Report (if applicable)
  (a) RPSP Attachment A – General Reporting
  (b) RPSR Attachment B – Request to Acquire, Improve or Furnish
  (c) RPSR Attachment C – Disposition Request

(3) Recipient shall submit an annual inventory report to the Government listing all federally owned property in their custody.

**Section G: Federal Awarding Agency Contact**

Mandy Ashmore, Grants Officer, Contracting Division
USACE, NWK District
601 E 12th Street, Room 647
Kansas City, MO 64106
E-mail: mandy.ashmore@usace.army.mil
Tel: 816-389-3839

**Section H: Other Information**

Nothing follows