Logic Model Rubric

Organization:	Reviewer 1:		Reviewer 2:		
Criterion	Exemplary – Approved	Acceptable – Approved	Needs Improvement – Rejected	Poor – Rejected	Rat
Overall Quality	 Includes <i>Goals, Inputs, Activities, Outputs,</i> and <i>Outcomes</i> with a significant number of items listed for each component making <i>Logic Model</i> comprehensive Model is coherent. There are no gaps in the theory or reasoning across <i>Logic Model;</i> <i>Outputs</i> and <i>Outcomes</i> are linked logically to <i>Activities</i> and <i>Inputs</i> Components are clearly written to align with the appropriate columns Easily understandable with no jargon or technical language 	 Includes <i>Goals, Inputs, Activities, Outputs,</i> and <i>Outcomes</i> with a sufficient number of items listed for each component making <i>Logic Model</i> complete Few to no gaps in the theory or reasoning across the <i>Logic Model; Outputs</i> and <i>Outcomes</i> are linked logically to <i>Activities</i> Components are all in the correct columns Understandable with little jargon or technical language 	 Missing at least one of <i>Goals, Inputs,</i> <i>Activities, Outputs,</i> and <i>Outcomes;</i> OR an insufficient number of items in each component making <i>Logic Model</i> unclear Some gaps or a couple large gaps in the theory or reasoning across the <i>Logic Model;</i> <i>Outputs</i> and <i>Outcomes</i> marginally connected to <i>Activities</i> Some components are in the incorrect columns Some jargon or technical language that inhibits readability 	 Missing at least one of <i>Goals</i>, <i>Inputs</i>, <i>Activities</i>, <i>Outputs</i>, and <i>Outcomes</i>; AND an insufficient number of items in each component making <i>Logic Model</i> unclear Significant gaps in the theory or reasoning across the <i>Logic Model</i>; <i>Outputs</i> and <i>Outcomes</i> not connected to <i>Activities</i> Many or most components are in the incorrect columns Difficult to understand due to a significant amount of jargon or technical language 	
Goals/ Objectives	 Goals of the project are specific, clearly stated, measurable, and align with the grant program's purpose Clear how the Logic Model addresses the Goals 	 Goals of the project are clearly stated, measurable, and align with the grant program's purpose Logic Model addresses the Goals 	 Goals are unclear, unmeasurable, or unaligned with the grant program's purpose Logic Model does not clearly address the Goals 	 <i>Goals</i> are not provided <i>Logic Model</i> is unrelated to the <i>Goals</i> 	
Inputs	 A wide range of <i>Inputs</i> is identified and includes research, financial support, and organizational/human capital <i>Inputs</i> are sufficient to support the proposed <i>Activities</i> 	 A variety of <i>Inputs</i> are identified and include research, financial support, or human capital <i>Inputs</i> are likely able to support the proposed <i>Activities</i> 	 A limited range of <i>Inputs</i> is identified Not all <i>Activities</i> are clearly supported by identified <i>Inputs</i> 	 <i>Inputs</i> are not clearly provided <i>Inputs</i> are insufficient to support proposed <i>Activities</i> 	
Activities	 Major Activities are included; they are clear and feasible All Activity statements include an action word (e.g. Provide, Create, Implement) All Activities are clearly and logically linked to the Outputs and Outcomes At least one Activity is supported by at least a correlational study with statistical controls for selection bias 	 Major Activities are included Most Activity statements include an action word Activities are related to Outputs or Outcomes At least one Activity is supported by high-quality research findings or positive evaluation 	 Some major Activities are missing Few Activity statements include an action word Activities are marginally related to Outputs or Outcomes No high-quality evidence was provided to support the Activities 	 Many or all major Activities are missing Activity statements are all missing an action word Activities are not linked to Outputs or Outcomes Research on at least one Activity indicates negative effects 	
Outputs	 Numerically based, with only products or services listed and no events or deliverables included All are described in terms of treatment and include the target recipients (e.g., 50 students receive mentors; 20 teachers attend professional development) 	 Numerically based, with mostly products or services listed and few events or deliverables included Most are described in terms of treatment and include the target recipients 	 Majority are numerically based, with some products or services listed and some events or deliverables included Some are not described in terms of treatment or do not include the target recipients 	 Majority or all are not numerically based, with many events or deliverables included Many are not described in terms of treatment or are missing the target recipients 	
Outcomes	 All are written as change statements (e.g., increase or decrease) and clearly describe beneficiaries (e.g., teachers, students, parents) of intended change Clear progressive steps from short-term to long-term <i>Outcomes</i> Most are achievable within the funding period and are within the scope of the project's control Reasonable yet ambitious targets are provided for each <i>Outcome</i> 	 All are written as change statements and clearly describe beneficiaries of intended change Most long-term <i>Outcomes</i> clearly lead from short-term <i>Outcomes</i> Most are achievable within the funding period and are mostly within the scope of the project's control Reasonable targets are provided for each <i>Outcome</i> 	 Some are not written as change statements or do not describe beneficiaries of intended change Many long-term <i>Outcomes</i> do not clearly lead from short-term <i>Outcomes</i> Many are not achievable within the funding period or are not within the scope of the project's control Targets are provided for only some <i>Outcomes</i> or are unreasonable 	 Direction of change is not clear or missing and beneficiaries of change are unclear for most <i>Outcomes</i> No clear progression from short-term to long-term <i>Outcomes</i> Many or all are not achievable within the funding period and are not within the scope of the project's control Targets are missing or unreasonable for most or all <i>Outcomes</i> 	

Rating	Comments

Key Terms

<u>Goals</u> are long-range intentions or purposes of the proposed project. Goals are what will be achieved when a project successfully addresses the problems or challenges that the grant program is meant to help overcome. Goals are likely to align with the federal grant program's purpose or the absolute priority(ies) the applicant met.

Inputs are the raw materials needed to initiate the project, implement its activities, and attain the desired outputs and outcomes. Sometimes called resources, inputs include both tangible items (such as curricula, instruction materials, facilities, and funding) and intangible items (such as time, community support, and specialized knowledge and skills).

Activities are the processes, actions, and events through which the project resources achieve the intended outcomes; they are the steps in implementing a project. Examples include collaborating with partners, developing training or curriculum materials, conducting training sessions or workshops, and collecting and analyzing student performance data.

<u>Outputs</u> are tangible, often process-oriented results or products typically expressed in numbers, such as number of students tested, number of teachers trained, and number of books read. While outputs provide information derived from the completion of project activities, they cannot indicate whether a change has occurred. For example, an output can tell you how many teachers attended training but not whether the training increased the teachers' knowledge of the training topic.

Outcomes Short- and mid-term outcomes are the changes in project participants' knowledge, beliefs, and behavior due to their involvement in the project. Outcomes can also be quick adjustments in organizational practices or system design. Short-term outcomes are observable almost immediately after participation; mid-term outcomes can take months or years to emerge and typically build toward long-term outcomes. Long-term outcomes, sometimes called impacts, are a program's lasting influences. Examples include higher student achievement scores, increased high school graduation rates, and greater college acceptance rates.

Source:

Kekahio, W., Cicchinelli, L., Lawton, B., & Brandon, P. R. (2014). Logic models: A tool for effective program planning, collaboration, and monitoring. (REL 2014–025). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory Pacific. Retrieved from http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs.