

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Determination for Issuing a Single Source Financial Assistance Award

Instructions: In accordance with Service policy 516 FW 6, *Issuing Discretionary Grant and Cooperative Agreement Awards without Competition*, complete this form to document the justification for issuing a single source grant or cooperative agreement award. This form must be signed by both a program representative and the employee with signature authority for the award to be issued (see 516 FW 3, *Signature Authority for Grant and Cooperative Agreement Awards*). The completed and signed form must be maintained in the official award file.

Recipient Name: S.P. Cramer and Associated, Inc. dba Cramer Fish Sciences

Project Title: Buttonbush Park Restoration Project

Program Contact: J.D. Wikert (209) 334-2968 x 403 john_wikert@fws.gov

Select all criteria that apply (from 505 DM 2):

	(1) Unsolicited Proposal: The proposed award is the result of an unsolicited application that represents a unique or innovative idea, method, or approach that is not the subject of a current or planned award, but is found to be advantageous to program objectives.
✓	(2) Continuation: The activity to be funded is necessary for the satisfactory completion of, or is a continuation of, an activity we are funding and holding a competition would have a significant adverse effect on continuing or completing the activity.
	(3) Legislative Intent: The language in the applicable authorizing legislation or legislative history clearly indicates the intent of Congress to restrict the award to a particular recipient or purpose.
	(4) Unique Qualifications: The applicant is uniquely qualified to perform the activity based on a variety of demonstrable factors such as location; property ownership; voluntary support capacity; cost-sharing ability, if applicable; technical expertise; or other such unique qualifications.
	(5) Emergencies: Because of a compelling and unusual urgency, or substantial danger to health or safety, there is not enough time to follow adequate competitive procedures.

Explain below why competition for this award is not practical. As applicable, summarize the program legislative history, unique capabilities of the proposed recipient, and any cost-sharing contribution offered by the proposed recipient. Be brief and specific:

This funding was originally allocated to the recipient (grant F12AP00696) and due to unforeseeable delays, construction was not completed until year 5 of the grant which has now expired. The remaining funds (expiring 30 Sep 2019) are to be re-obligated in this new grant to continue the post-project monitoring of the restoration and final reporting. The recipient is thus qualified under the continuation criteria, having completed project, planning, design, construction, and some post-project monitoring.

I have determined that this project meets the requirements for a single source award and recommend that it be awarded to the recipient named above.


Program Representative Sign and Date

J.D. Wikert

Printed Name of Program Representative

I, as the Service employee with signature authority for this award under 516 FW 3, have reviewed this program recommendation and find that it meets the requirements for a single source award.

CESAR BLANCO

Digitally signed by CESAR
BLANCO
Date: 2018.07.11 09:47:33 -0700

Authorized Signator Sign and Date

Cesar Blanco

Printed Name of Signator