Clear Form

Save As

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Determination for Issuing a Single Source Financial Assistance Award

Instructions: In accordance with Service policy 516 FW 6, *Issuing Discretionary Grant and Cooperative Agreement Awards without Competition*, complete this form to document the justification for issuing a single source grant or cooperative agreement award. This form must be signed by both a program representative and the employee with signature authority for the award to be issued (see 516 FW 3, *Signature Authority for Grant and Cooperative Agreement Awards*). The completed and signed form must be maintained in the official award file.

Recipient Name: National Fish & Wildlife Foundation

Project Title: A Monarch's View of Urban Landscapes: Pilot City Design Projects

Program Contact: Gwen White, gwen_white@fws.gov, 812-212-7455


Select all criteria that apply (from 505 DM 2):

	(1) Unsolicited Proposal: The proposed award is the result of an unsolicited application that represents a unique or innovative idea, method, or approach that is not the subject of a current or planned award, but is found to be advantageous to program objectives.
✔	(2) Continuation: The activity to be funded is necessary for the satisfactory completion of, or is a continuation of, an activity we are funding and holding a competition would have a significant adverse effect on continuing or completing the activity.
	(3) Legislative Intent: The language in the applicable authorizing legislation or legislative history clearly indicates the intent of Congress to restrict the award to a particular recipient or purpose.
✔	(4) Unique Qualifications: The applicant is uniquely qualified to perform the activity based on a variety of demonstrable factors such as location; property ownership; voluntary support capacity; cost-sharing ability, if applicable; technical expertise; or other such unique qualifications.
	(5) Emergencies: Because of a compelling and unusual urgency, or substantial danger to health or safety, there is not enough time to follow adequate competitive procedures.

Explain below why competition for this award is not practical. As applicable, summarize the program legislative history, unique capabilities of the proposed recipient, and any cost-sharing contribution offered by the proposed recipient. Be brief and specific:

This project extends an existing NFWF administration of US Fish & Wildlife Service funds for monarch projects in the Midwest to specifically address urban opportunities for on-the-ground pilots to identify socioeconomic factors and best management practices that will be incorporated into a Landscape Conservation Design currently underway with the Field Museum of Chicago. To fill geographic gaps in the monarch flyway and support the LCD, selection of city recipients must be completed within the next several months and closely coordinated with other monarch projects currently being implemented with existing NFWF funds. Through this previous funding, NFWF has established strong relationships with technical assistance, programs and financial contributors which would greatly enhance the cost-effectiveness of these projects.

I have determined that this project meets the requirements for a single source award and recommend that it be awarded to the recipient named above.



Program Representative Sign and Date

Gwen M. White

Printed Name of Program Representative

I, as the Service employee with signature authority for this award under 516 FW 3, have reviewed this program recommendation and find that it meets the requirements for a single source award.



Authorized Signator Sign and Date

Daniel D. Lovdahl

Printed Name of Signator