

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

NATIONAL CENTER FOR HIV, VIRAL HEPATITIS, STDS AND TB PREVENTION

Understanding HIV/STD Risk and Enhancing PrEP Implementation Messaging in a Diverse Community-Based Sample of Gay, Bisexual, and Other Men Who Have Sex with Men in a Transformational Era

RFA-PS-22-004

01/18/2022

Table of Contents

Section I. Funding Opportunity Description 4
Section II. Award Information 15
Section III. Eligibility Information 16
Section IV. Application and Submission Information 20
Section V. Application Review Information 32
Section VI. Award Administration Information 39
Section VII. Agency Contacts 53
Section VIII. Other Information 54

Overview

Participating Organization(s)

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Components of Participating Organizations

Components of Participating Organizations:

National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention

Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Title

Understanding HIV/STD Risk and Enhancing PrEP Implementation Messaging in a Diverse Community-Based Sample of Gay, Bisexual, and Other Men Who Have Sex with Men in a Transformational Era

Activity Code

U01 - Research Project - Cooperative Agreement

Notice of Funding Opportunity Type

Reissue of

Agency Notice of Funding Opportunity Number

RFA-PS-22-004

Assistance Listings Number(s)

93.084

Category of Funding Activity

HL - Health

NOFO Purpose

This NOFO supports research on HIV/STD risk and prevention behavior in an era when new pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) methods are becoming available for a diverse community-based sample of gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (MSM). The aim is to study PrEP use and other HIV/STD prevention behaviors over time among MSM in three (3) regions of the US, one of which should include the US South, given that states in the US South have

higher rates of HIV incidence and prevalence compared to other national regions. The study should also serve to develop and test (quantitatively and qualitatively) brief PrEP and other HIV/STD prevention messaging to enhance protective behaviors among MSM. All MSM should receive alternating quarterly HIV and STD tests (i.e., an HIV test in one quarter, an STD test in the next quarter) and referral to prevention and treatment care, as requested or needed. Rapid reports should be provided following each wave of data collection to identify community trends and implications for prevention messaging. It is anticipated that this NOFO will support one multisite cooperative agreement award that covers three (3) research sites. The research sites should be priority jurisdictions outlined in Ending the HIV Epidemic in the United States (EHE), in support of the goals of EHE and the National Strategic Plan, and other federal prevention planning efforts.

Key Dates

Publication Date:

To receive notification of any changes to RFA-PS-22-004, return to the synopsis page of this announcement at www.grants.gov and click on the "Send Me Change Notification Emails" link. An email address is needed for this service.

Letter of Intent Due Date:
12/17/2021
12/17/2021

Application Due Date:
01/18/2022
01/18/2022

On-time submission requires that electronic applications be error-free and made available to CDC for processing from the NIH eRA system on or before the deadline date. Applications must be submitted to and validated successfully by Grants.gov no later than 5:00 PM U.S. Eastern Time.

Applicants will use a system or platform to submit their applications through Grants.gov and eRA Commons to CDC. ASSIST, an institutional system to system (S2S) solution, or Grants.gov Workspace are options. ASSIST is a commonly used platform because it provides a validation of all requirements prior to submission and prevents errors.

For more information on accessing or using ASSIST, you can refer to the ASSIST Online Help Site at: https://era.nih.gov/erahelp/assist. Additional support is available from the NIH eRA Service desk via http://grants.nih.gov/support/index.html.

- E-mail: commons@od.nih.gov
- Phone: 301-402-7469 or (toll-free) 1-866-504-9552.
  Hours: Monday - Friday, 7 a.m. to 8 p.m. Eastern Time, excluding Federal holidays.

Note: HHS/CDC grant submission procedures do not provide a grace period beyond the application due date time to correct any error or warning notices of noncompliance with application instructions that are identified by Grants.gov or eRA systems (i.e., error correction window).

Scientific Merit Review:

03/17/2022

Secondary Review:
04/19/2022

Estimated Start Date:
08/01/2022

Expiration Date:
01/19/2022

Required Application Instructions

It is critical that applicants follow the instructions in the SF 424 (R&R) Application Guide except where instructed to do otherwise in this NOFO. Conformance to all requirements (both in the Application Guide and the NOFO) is required and strictly enforced. Applicants must read and follow all application instructions in the Application Guide as well as any program-specific instructions noted in Section IV. When the program-specific instructions deviate from those in the Application Guide, follow the program-specific instructions.

Note: The Research Strategy component of the Research Plan is limited to 15 pages.

Page Limitations: Pages that exceed the page limits described in this NOFO will be removed and not forwarded for peer review, potentially affecting an application's score.

Applications that do not comply with these instructions may be delayed or may not be accepted for review.

Telecommunications for the Hearing Impaired: TTY 1-888-232-6348

Executive Summary

- **Purpose:** This NOFO supports research on HIV/STD risk and prevention behavior in an era when new PrEP methods are becoming available for a diverse community-based sample of gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (MSM). The study should also serve to develop and test (quantitatively and qualitatively) brief prevention messaging to enhance protective behaviors among MSM. All MSM should receive alternating quarterly HIV and STD tests (i.e., an HIV test in one quarter, an STD test in the next quarter) and referral to prevention and treatment care as requested or needed. Rapid reports should be provided following each wave of data collection to identify community trends and implications for prevention messaging. The aim of this research is to study HIV prevention behavior among MSM in three (3) regions of the US, one of which should include the US South, given that states in the US South have higher rates of HIV incidence and prevalence compared to other national regions. The research sites should be priority jurisdictions outlined in Ending the HIV Epidemic in the United States (EHE), in support of the goals of EHE and the National Strategic Plan, and other federal prevention planning efforts.
- **Mechanism of Support:** U01 – Research Project - Cooperative Agreement
- **Funds Available and Anticipated Number of Awards:** The estimated total funding available, including direct and indirect costs, for the entire four (4)-year project period is $5,900,000. The number of cooperative agreement awards is anticipated to be one (1) that includes three (3) research sites. Awards issued under this NOFO are contingent upon availability of funds and a sufficient number of meritorious applications.

- **Budget and Project Period:** The estimated total funding (direct and indirect) for the first year (12-month budget period) is $1,475,000. The estimated total funding (direct and indirect) for the entire project period is $5,900,000. The project period is anticipated to run from 08/01/2022 through 07/31/2026.
- **Application Research Strategy Length:** Page limits for the Research Strategy are clearly specified in Section IV. "Application and Submission Information" of this announcement.
- **Eligible Institutions/Organizations.** Institutions/organizations listed in Section III of this announcement are eligible to apply.
- **Eligible Project Directors/Principal Investigators (PDs/PIs).** Individuals with the skills, knowledge, and resources necessary to carry out the proposed research are invited to work with their institution/organization to develop an application for support. **NOTE:** CDC does not make awards to individuals directly. Individuals from underrepresented racial and ethnic groups as well as individuals with disabilities are always encouraged to apply.
- **Number of PDs/PIs.** There will only be one PD/PI for each application.
- **Number of Applications.** Applicant organizations may submit more than one application, provided that each application is scientifically distinct.
- **Application Type.** New.
- **Application Materials.** See Section IV.1 for application materials. Please note that SF424 (R&R) Form F is to be used when completing the application package. Please see https://grants.nih.gov/grants/how-to-apply-application-guide.html

Section I. Funding Opportunity Description

Statutory Authority

Public Health Service Act, Section 317(k)(2) [42 USC 247b(k)(2)], Section 318 [42 USC 247(c)], and Section 301(a) [42 U.S.C. 241(a)], as amended.

1. Background and Purpose

Gay, bisexual, and other MSM continue to be over-represented among incident and prevalent HIV infections in the United States, especially Black/African American and Hispanic/Latino MSM. Further, states in the US South have higher rates of HIV incidence and prevalence compared to other national regions. Effective daily oral PrEP is now a standard HIV prevention option in an era of emerging HIV prevention technologies (e.g., injectable, and event-based oral PrEP). With the availability of new prevention methods in coming years, the prevention field needs to prepare for, adapt, and make available timely and relevant messages and brief interventions that meet the changing needs of MSM and their communities around HIV prevention. As with condom use (the sole previous biomedical product available for HIV primary prevention) earlier in the HIV epidemic, emerging PrEP-related behavioral issues and patterns need to be identified, monitored over time, and addressed through public health messaging. For example, a recent study found 11% of MSM shared their PrEP pills with others, a concerning development for safety and monitoring of medication use, whereas other researchers found cases of HIV-negative MSM monitoring the viral loads of their HIV-positive partners to reduce HIV transmission risk. Other research on daily oral PrEP highlights issues related to lack of PrEP awareness, poor uptake, cost and other barriers to access (especially in

minority communities), medical mistrust, adherence concerns, stigma, number of sexual partners and concerns over side effects.

In the coming years, long-acting injectable and event-based PrEP regimens will be available as additional prevention methods, besides daily oral PrEP, and condoms. Recent research has demonstrated efficacy for the event-based regimen (i.e., sexual event-driven PrEP consisting of two pills within 24 hours before sex, and one pill daily for two days after sex) with nearly a quarter of PrEP users at high-risk of HIV preferring on-demand PrEP. Reyniers *et al*. found that common benefits mentioned for event-based dosing include fewer pills and reduced costs and side effects. Being able to tailor the need for PrEP according to one's lifestyle allows the user to anticipate the risk of HIV transmission. In one online sample of MSM, nearly two-thirds were interested in non-daily or event-based use of PrEP. Similarly, recent studies examining the efficacy of long-acting injectable PrEP, have been promising among cisgender women, MSM, and transgender women who have sex with men. In a sample of 948 MSM, 46% expressed a preference for the injectable form of PrEP as compared to 14.3% who preferred the daily oral pill. Furthermore, in a national survey of 4,638 participants, over 73% expressed interest in injectable PrEP. Findings indicated that participants who were interested in the injectable form of PrEP were more likely to be 26-39 years old, African American or Hispanic/Latino, report more condomless anal sex, have completed high school education or less, and have experienced oral PrEP. Potential benefits of injectable medication include reduced medication adherence and reduced potential for gastrointestinal toxicity. In one trial of rectal microbicides, adherence to daily rectal gel was not as high compared to use before and after receptive anal intercourse. Some individuals may prefer microbicides due to potential intermittent dosing, lubrication factor for sex, and fewer side effects. On-demand or event-driven PrEP (also known as the PrEP 2-1-1 protocol) is currently not approved by the FDA but is endorsed by the International AIDS Society USA. Long-acting injectable PrEP products are currently being tested in clinical trials and have not been approved by the FDA.

In this transformational era of HIV prevention products, public health researchers and practitioners need to understand the use of multiple primary prevention methods by MSM over time. This includes awareness, uptake, adherence, persistence, and maintenance of new methods, appropriate combinations of prevention methods (such as PrEP and condom use), and conditions that impact transitions among prevention products over time. Prevention messaging will continue to evolve as new prevention methods are promoted and MSM have increasingly more complex choices to make.

The purpose of this cooperative agreement funding opportunity is multifold (See Figure 1):

1. Enroll at least n=1275 HIV-negative MSM across three (3) sites (n=425 per site) with a portion currently on PrEP (total n=750 currently on PrEP; n=250 per site) and a smaller portion not currently on PrEP (total n=525 not currently on PrEP; n=175 per site) over two (2) years.

   Quantitative assessments should be conducted quarterly (total eight (8) assessment waves) that address:

   - PrEP use, PrEP adherence, condom use, HIV/STD sexual risk-taking behavior, and substance using behavior

- Awareness, knowledge, beliefs, and perceptions of various HIV/STD prevention products
- Development and testing of prevention messages
- Maintaining a minimum 80% retention throughout the study

2. The sample should include a minimum of n=380 (~30%) Black/African American and n=380 (~30%) Hispanic/Latino MSM. All study materials and assessments should be offered in both English and Spanish language, with bilingual staff support. Quarterly HIV or STD testing of participants, alternating so that HIV and STD testing are completed every six (6) months, should be provided as a study benefit to participants as well as for study monitoring, including:

    - Linkage to care for newly HIV and STD diagnosed men identified through testing during the two (2) -year assessment period, with follow up to facilitate and ensure care.

3. Completion of at least three (3) qualitative assessments (in-depth interviews and focus groups) with a subsample of men. Assessments should be staggered approximately every six (6) months during the study period (n=90 total; n=30 each assessment) to address issues regarding:

    - PrEP use, PrEP adherence, condom use, and HIV sexual risk behaviors and substance using behavior
    - Awareness, knowledge, beliefs, and perceptions of various HIV prevention products
    - Testing of brief HIV prevention messages for prevention products

4. Rapid reports following each quantitative assessment wave, and associated HIV/STD test results, or each qualitative study that summarizes key findings and trends, and implications for prevention messaging. The reports will describe PrEP and condom use behavior and patterns over time, with a focus on gaps in protection and opportunities for enhanced prevention messaging to reduce risk for HIV/STD infection. Specific recommendations should be provided for prevention messages based on messaging development research conducted within the study.

Figure 1. Study Assessment and Report Timeline

Quantitative Assessment	X	X		X	X		X	X		X	X
HIV Testing	X			X			X			X	
STD Testing		X			X			X			X
Qualitative Assessment			X			X			X		
Rapid Reporting (following*)	X	X		X	X		X	X		X	X
	1	2		3	4		5	6		7	8
	Quarterly Assessment Wave*										

This four (4)-year funding opportunity is anticipated to award one cooperative agreement

recipient to develop and implement the protocol and assessments -- including large-scale MSM recruitment, enrollment, retention, and rapid reports for the results and implications after each assessment point -- in three (3) cities, each representing a distinct region of the US (including one in the US South) for broad geographical representation (see https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/statistics/overview/cdc-hiv-geographic-distribution.pdf] and be consistent with the identified EHE jurisdictions [see https://www.cdc.gov/endhiv/jurisdictions.html].

References:

1. CDC. HIV Surveillance Report. 2021;26:1. Accessed June 4, 2021: https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/cdc-hiv-surveillance-supplemental-report-vol-26-1.pdf?ACSTrackingID=USCDC_1046-DM58486&ACSTrackingLabel=CDC%20Releases%20New%20HIV%20Surveillance%20and%20Supplemental%20Surveillance%20Reports&deliveryName=USCDC_1046-DM58486
2. Grant RM, Lama JR, Anderson PL, McMahan V, Liu AY, Vargas L, Goicochea P, Casapía M, Guanira-Carranza JV, Ramirez-Cardich ME, Montoya-Herrera O, Fernández T, Veloso VG, Buchbinder SP, Chariyalertsak S, Schechter M, Bekker LG, Mayer KH, Kallás EG, Amico KR, Mulligan K, Bushman LR, Hance RJ, Ganoza C, Defechereux P, Postle B, Wang F, McConnell JJ, Zheng JH, Lee J, Rooney JF, Jaffe HS, Martinez AI, Burns DN, Glidden DV; iPrEx Study Team. Preexposure chemoprophylaxis for HIV prevention in men who have sex with men. N Engl J Med. 2010 Dec 30;363(27):2587-99. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1011205. Epub 2010 Nov 23.
3. Prescott MR, Hern J, Petersen M, Santos GM. Does HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis Modify the Effect of Partnership Characteristics on Condom Use? A Cross-Sectional Study of Sexual Partnerships Among Men Who Have Sex with Men in San Francisco, California. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2019 Apr; 33(4):167-174. doi: 10.1089/apc.2018.0179.
4. Beymer M, Halloway I, Pulsipher C, Landovitz R. Current and future PrEP medications and modalities: On-demand, injectables, and topicals. Current HIV/AIDS Reports. 2019 Jun;16:349-358.
5. Mansergh G, Marks G, Colfax G, Guzman R, Rader M, and Buchbinder B. 'Barebacking' in a diverse sample of men who have sex with men. AIDS. 2002 Nov; 16(4):653-659. doi 10.1097/00002030-200203080-00018.
6. Crossley M. The perils of health promotion and the 'barebacking' backlash. Health: An Interdisciplinary Journal for the Social Study of Health, Illness and Medicine. 2002; 6(1): 47–68; 018995. https://doi.org/10.1177/1363459302006001995
7. Mansergh G, Mayer K, Hirshfield S, Stephenson R, Sullivan P. PrEP Medication Sharing by HIV-Negative MSM. JAMA Netw Open. 2020b; 3(9): e2016256. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.16256.
8. Van Den Boom W, Stolte IG, Witlox R, Sandfort T, Prins M, Davidovich U. Undetectable viral load and the decision to engage in unprotected anal intercourse among HIV-positive MSM. AIDS Behav. 2013 Jul;17(6):2136-42. doi: 10.1007/s10461-013-0453-9.

9. Mansergh G, Stephenson R, Hirshfield S, Sullivan P. Understanding Sexual Risk Behavior and Its Association with Substance Use among MSM in an Era of Oral Prophylaxis. JAIDS. 2020a; 85(4):e70-e73. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0000000000002480.
10. Mansergh G, Kota KK, Hirshfield S, Stephenson R, Sullivan PS. Preference for using a variety of future HIV preexposure prophylaxis products among men who have sex with men in three US cities. J Internet AIDS Society. 2020 (under revise/resubmit review)
11. Schueler K, Ferreira M, Nikolopoulos G, *et al*. Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Awareness and Use Within High HIV Transmission Networks. AIDS Behav. 2019;23(7):1893-1903.
12. Finlayson T, Cha S, Xia M, et al. Changes in HIV Preexposure Prophylaxis Awareness and Use Among Men Who Have Sex with Men - 20 Urban Areas, 2014 and 2017. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2019;68(27):597-603.
13. Cahill S, Taylor SW, Elsesser SA, Mena L, Hickson D, Mayer KH. Stigma, medical mistrust, and perceived racism may affect PrEP awareness and uptake in black compared to white gay and bisexual men in Jackson, Mississippi and Boston, Massachusetts. AIDS Care. 2017;29(11):1351-1358.
14. Ojikutu BO, Bogart LM, Higgins-Biddle M, *et al*. Facilitators and Barriers to Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Use Among Black Individuals in the United States: Results from the National Survey on HIV in the Black Community (NSHBC). AIDS Behav. 2018;22(11):3576-3587.
15. Bogorodskaya MA, A. Favorable Attitudes but Low Awareness and Restricted Access to Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Against Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) in an Urban Midwestern Community. Open Forum Infectious Diseases. 2016;3(suppl_1):506.
16. Owens C, Hubach RD, Williams D, et al. Facilitators and Barriers of Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Uptake Among Rural Men who have Sex with Men Living in the Midwestern U.S. Arch Sex Behav. 2020.
17. Smith DK, Van Handel M, Huggins R. Estimated Coverage to Address Financial Barriers to HIV Preexposure Prophylaxis Among Persons with Indications for Its Use, United States, 2015. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2017;76(5):465-472.
18. Patel RR, Mena L, Nunn A, *et al*. Impact of insurance coverage on utilization of pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention. PLoS One. 2017;12(5):e0178737.
19. Cahill S, Taylor SW, Elsesser SA, Mena L, Hickson D, Mayer KH. Stigma, medical mistrust, and perceived racism may affect PrEP awareness and uptake in black compared to white gay and bisexual men in Jackson, Mississippi and Boston, Massachusetts. AIDS Care. 2017;29(11):1351-1358.
20. Braksmajer A, Fedor TM, Chen SR, *et al*. Willingness to Take PrEP for HIV Prevention: The Combined Effects of Race/Ethnicity and Provider Trust. AIDS Educ Prev. 2018;30(1):1-12.
21. Baker Z, Javanbakht M, Mierzwa S, *et al*. Predictors of Over-Reporting HIV Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) Adherence Among Young Men Who Have Sex With Men (YMSM) in Self-Reported Versus Biomarker Data. AIDS Behav. 2018;22(4):1174-1183.
22. Patel RR, Crane JS, Lopez J, *et al*. Pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV prevention preferences among young adult African American men who have sex with men. PLoS One. 2018;13(12):e0209484.

23. Ellison J, van den Berg JJ, Montgomery MC, *et al*. Next-Generation HIV Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis Preferences Among Men Who Have Sex with Men Taking Daily Oral Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2019;33(11):482-491.
24. Fisher CB, Fried AL, Macapagal K, Mustanski B. Patient-Provider Communication Barriers and Facilitators to HIV and STI Preventive Services for Adolescent MSM. AIDS Behav. 2018;22(10):3417-3428.
25. Van Dijk M, Duken SB, Delabre RM, *et al*. PrEP Interest Among Men Who Have Sex with Men in the Netherlands: Covariates and Differences Across Samples. Arch Sex Behav. 2020.
26. Zimmermann HM, Eekman SW, Achterbergh RC, *et al*. Motives for choosing, switching and stopping daily or event-driven pre-exposure prophylaxis - a qualitative analysis. J Int AIDS Soc. 2019;22(10):e25389.
27. Golub SA. PrEP Stigma: Implicit and Explicit Drivers of Disparity. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2018;15(2):190-197.
28. Shi AX, Operario D, Zhang ZH, *et al*. [Needs of pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV infection and related barriers among men who have sex with men]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2020;41(3):343-348.
29. Tetteh RA, Yankey BA, Nartey ET, Lartey M, Leufkens HG, Dodoo AN. Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis for HIV Prevention: Safety Concerns. Drug Saf. 2017;40(4):273-283.
30. Sidebottom D, Ekstrom AM, Stromdahl S. A systematic review of adherence to oral pre-exposure prophylaxis for HIV - how can we improve uptake and adherence? BMC Infect Dis. 2018;18(1):581.
31. Reyniers T, Nostlinger C, Laga M, *et al*. Choosing Between Daily and Event-Driven Pre-exposure Prophylaxis: Results of a Belgian PrEP Demonstration Project. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2018;79(2):186-194.
32. Sewell WC, Powell VE, Mayer KH, Ochoa A, Krakower DS, Marcus JL. Nondaily Use of HIV Preexposure Prophylaxis in a Large Online Survey of Primarily Men Who Have Sex With Men in the United States. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2020;84(2):182-188.
33. HIV Prevention Trials Network. HPTN 083 Study Demonstrates Superiority of Cabotegravir for the Prevention of HIV [Press Release]. Published July 7, 2020. Available at https://www.hptn.org/news-and-events/press-releases/hptn-083-study-demonstrates-superiority-cabotegravir-prevention-hiv. Accessed April 22, 2021.
34. Long-Acting Injectable Drug Prevents HIV Among Men Who Have Sex with Men and Transgender Women [News Release]. Published May 18, 2020. Available at https://www.niaid.nih.gov/news-events/long-acting-injectable-drug-prevents-hiv-among-men-who-have-sex-men-and-transgender. Accessed April 22, 2021.
35. NIH study finds long-acting injectable drug prevents HIV acquisition in cisgender women [News Release]. Published November 9, 2020. Available at https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-study-finds-long-acting-injectable-drug-prevents-hiv-acquisition-cisgender-women. Accessed April 22, 2021.
36. ClinicalTrials.gov [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US). 2000 Feb 29 - . Identifier NCT02720094, Safety and Efficacy Study of Injectable Cabotegravir Compared to Daily Oral Tenofovir Disoproxil Fumarate/Emtricitabine (TDF/FTC), For Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis in HIV-Uninfected Cisgender Men and Transgender Women Who Have Sex with Men; 2016 March 5 [cited 2021 April 22]; [about 11 screens]. Available from: https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT02720094

37. Coelho LE, Torres TS, Veloso VG, et al. Pre-exposure prophylaxis 2.0: new drugs and technologies in the pipeline. Lancet HIV 2019; 6:e788–99. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018(19)30238-3
38. Markowitz M, Frank I, Grant RM, *et al*. Safety and tolerability of long-acting cabotegravir injections in HIV-uninfected men (ECLAIR): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 2a trial. Lancet HIV 2017;4:e331–40. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018(17)30068-1
39. Orkin C, Oka S, Philibert P, *et al*. Long-acting cabotegravir plus rilpivirine for treatment in adults with HIV-1 infection: 96-week results of the randomised, open-label, phase 3 FLAIR study. Lancet HIV 2021; 8(4):e185–96. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3018(20)30340-4.
40. Rizzardini G, Overton ET, Orkin C, *et al*. Long-Acting Injectable Cabotegravir + Rilpivirine for HIV Maintenance Therapy: Week 48 Pooled Analysis of Phase 3 ATLAS and FLAIR Trials. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2020 Dec 1;85(4):498-506. doi: 10.1097/QAI.0000000000002466.2020;85(4).
41. Swindells S, Andrade-Villanueva JF, Richmond GJ, *et al*. Long-Acting Cabotegravir and Rilpivirine for Maintenance of HIV-1 Suppression. N Engl J Med 2020; 382:1112-1123. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1904398
42. Swindells S, Lutz T, Van Zyl L, *et al*. Cabotegravir + Rilpivirine Long-Acting as HIV-1 Maintenance Therapry: ATLAS Week 96 Results. Presented at: HIV Drug Therapy Glasgow; 5–8 October, 2020; Virtual. Available at: https://d201nm4szfwn7c.cloudfront.net/5f95dbd7-245e-4e65-9f36-1a99e28e5bba/f12f6909-704d-4e97-b66b-68d8a73f67f2/f12f6909-704d-4e97-b66b-68d8a73f67f2_viewable_rendition__v.pdf?medcommid=REF--ALL-003140
43. Parsons JT, Rendina HJ, Whitfield TH, Grov C. Familiarity with and Preferences for Oral and Long-Acting Injectable HIV Pre-exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) in a National Sample of Gay and Bisexual Men in the U.S. AIDS Behav. 2016;20(7):1390-1399.
44. Biello KB, Mimiaga MJ, Santostefano CM, Novak DS, Mayer KH. MSM at Highest Risk for HIV Acquisition Express Greatest Interest and Preference for Injectable Antiretroviral PrEP Compared to Daily, Oral Medication. AIDS Behav. 2018;22(4):1158-1164.
45. Landovitz RJ, Kofron R, McCauley M. The promise and pitfalls of long-acting injectable agents for HIV prevention. Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2016;11(1):122-128.
46. Carballo-Dieguez A, Balan IC, Brown W, 3rd, *et al*. High levels of adherence to a rectal microbicide gel and to oral Pre-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP) achieved in MTN-017 among men who have sex with men (MSM) and transgender women. PLoS One. 2017;12(7):e0181607
47. Beymer MR, Holloway IW, Pulsipher C, Landovitz RJ. Current and Future PrEP Medications and Modalities: On-demand, Injectables, and Topicals. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2019;16(4):349-358
48. Saag MS, Benson CA, Gandhi RT, *et al*. Antiretroviral Drugs for Treatment and Prevention of HIV Infection in Adults 2018 Recommendations of the International Antiviral Society–USA Panel [Special Communication]. JAMA. 2018 Jul 24;320(4):379-396. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.8431.

Health Equity:

CDC supports efforts to improve the health of populations disproportionately affected by infectious diseases by maximizing the health impact of public health services, reducing disease incidence, and advancing health equity.

A health disparity occurs when a health outcome is seen to a greater or lesser extent between populations. Health disparities in infectious diseases are inextricably linked to a complex blend of social determinants that influence which populations are most disproportionately affected by these infections and diseases.

Social determinants are conditions in the places where people live, learn, work, and play that affect a wide range of health and quality-of life-risks and outcomes (https://www.cdc.gov/socialdeterminants/index.htm). These include conditions for early childhood development; education, employment, and work; food security, health services, housing, income, and social exclusion. Health equity is a desirable goal that entails special efforts to improve the health of those who have experienced social or economic challenges. It requires:

- Continuous efforts focused on elimination of health disparities, including disparities in health and in the living and working conditions that influence health, and
- Continuous efforts to maintain a desired state of equity after health disparities are eliminated.

Applicants should use data, including social determinants data, to identify communities within their jurisdictions that are disproportionately affected by infectious diseases and related diseases and conditions, and plan activities to help eliminate health disparities. In collaboration with partners and appropriate sectors of the community, applicants should consider social determinants of health in the development, implementation, and evaluation of specific efforts and use culturally appropriate interventions and strategies that are tailored for the communities for which they are intended.

Healthy People 2030 and other National Strategic Priorities

This announcement aims to accelerate evidence-informed decision making and performance improvements to HIV prevention strategies. Several Healthy People 2030 (HP 2030) objectives are supported through this NOFO. The HP 2030 objectives supported by this announcement include:

Reduce new HIV infections

• HIV-1 Reduce the number of new HIV diagnoses
• HIV-2 Reduce the number of new HIV infections among adolescents and adults

Increase HIV testing and prevent HIV risk

• HIV-13 Increase the proportion of persons living with HIV who know their serostatus
• HIV-17 Increase the proportion of sexually active persons who use condoms

Increase access to care and improve health outcomes for people living with HIV

• HIV-19 Increase the percentage of persons with newly diagnosed HIV infection linked to HIV medical care (had a routine HIV medical visit) within one month of their HIV diagnosis

Public Health Impact
This NOFO aligns with the Ending the HIV Epidemic (EHE) Initiative and CDC Division of HIV Prevention (DHP) Strategic Plan to: (1) reduce the number of new HIV infections; (2) increase access to care and optimize health outcomes for people with HIV; and (3) reduce HIV-related disparities.

- Ending the HIV Epidemic: A Plan for America - https://www.hiv.gov/ending-hiv-epidemic
- Secretary's Minority HIV/AIDS Fund (MAI) - https://www.hiv.gov/federal-response/smaif/overview
- CDC Winnable Battles - https://www.cdc.gov/WinnableBattles/index.html
- The United States National HIV Strategy and National Strategic Plan (2021-2015) - HIV National Strategic Plan (2021-2025) | HIV.gov
- HIV Care Continuum: https://www.hiv.gov/federal-response/policies-issues/hiv-aids-care-continuum
- National Center for HIV, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention (NCHHSTP) Strategic Plan through 2020 - https://www.cdc.gov/nchhstp/strategicpriorities/

All research activities should be consistent with CDC-supported programmatic guidance, scientific advances, and recommendations.

Relevant Work
The research supported by this NOFO should address the following DHP priorities from the EHE Prevent Pillar:

1. Research on the real-world MSM community implementation of current and future approved forms of PrEP to improve effectiveness:

- MSM consumer questions including factors affecting interest/ uptake/ adherence/ persistence, best support strategies for adherence/persistence, regimen preferences (such as for long-acting injectables, daily pills, event-based strategies, other delivery systems, multi-prevention technologies) and impact on uptake/adherence/persistence, patient preference for service delivery venue (e.g., in person provider, pharmacy, telehealth, home testing, mobile community services), differences by subgroups.

2. Research on MSM acceptability of new or improved biomedical, behavioral, or integrated HIV prevention interventions.
3. Research on factors associated with MSM contracting an infection in an era of multiple prevention options.

This NOFO builds upon previous and current HIV prevention research programs, including:

- CDC NCHHSTP Research Contract No. 200-2021-53307
- CDC NCHHSTP Cooperative Agreement PS15-002: Mobile Messaging Intervention to Present New HIV Prevention Options for Men Who Have Sex With Men (MSM) - Full Text View - ClinicalTrials.gov
- CDC NCHHSTP IDIQ Research Contract No. 200-2013-57341, RFTOP PR#2016-95482

Research from this NOFO should support CDC HIV prevention programs and initiatives.

2. Approach

The recipient should conduct quarterly quantitative assessments in a diverse sample of a minimum of n=1275 HIV-negative MSM in three (3) US cities over two (2) years, including recruitment, enrollment, and retention of cohort members throughout the study period. Quantitative behavioral assessment and message testing for HIV prevention are central activities, particularly assessment of sexual risk behavior and PrEP use and adherence. A subset of n=90 MSM should be administered qualitative in-depth interviews to explore PrEP use and adherence, including HIV prevention message development and testing to enhance prevention messaging efforts regarding PrEP. All MSM should receive alternating quarterly HIV and STD tests (i.e., an HIV test in one quarter, an STD test in the next quarter) and should be referred to prevention and treatment care as requested or needed. Rapid reports should be provided after each wave of quantitative and qualitative data collection, summarizing key findings, trends, and implications for public health messaging during a two (2)-year period.

To identify patterns of PrEP and condom use (and related gaps and opportunities for prevention messaging) of a diverse sample of sexually active MSM and reflect a transitional era for HIV prevention, it is critical that adequate numbers of MSM are enrolled and retained for quarterly assessments over a two (2)-year period. The study provides an important opportunity to develop and test prevention messages based on the behavioral patterns and issues observed, including quantitative and qualitative assessment of messages. A sample size adequate to achieve both of these purposes is necessary, and the application should propose a sample size calculation that clearly states the underlying assumptions and statistical methods used. For example, the sample size needed for a multi-group message testing design could have assumptions of 10-20% difference in pre-determined outcomes with a statistical test at the 5% significance level and minimum 80% statistical power to detect differences. The proposed sample size calculation should also reflect an expected annual attrition rate of 20%.

Objectives/Outcomes

The study objectives are multifold:

1. To assess and better understand use of available HIV primary prevention products (e.g., daily oral PrEP, condoms) over time in a diverse community-based sample of MSM across three (3) US cities.
2. To assess and better understand HIV and STD infection rates in a transformational era for HIV prevention products among HIV-negative MSM.
3. To develop and test more effective HIV/STD prevention messages in an environment of an increasing number of HIV primary prevention products.
4. To analyze data and produce rapid reports following each wave of quantitative and qualitative assessment, reporting on key findings, trends, and recommendations for public health messaging and behavioral interventions.

Study outcomes for this NOFO should include research findings and recommendations based on:

1. Quarterly quantitative assessment of a diverse cohort of n=1275 HIV-negative MSM over two (2) years (total of eight [8] assessment waves), including developing rapid reports summarizing key findings and implications following each assessment wave:
    - HIV and STD testing

- Quantitative assessment of sexual risk behavior for HIV infection, consisting of condom and PrEP use and adherence
- Experience, perceptions, and preferences for using various forms of PrEP such as daily oral, event-based oral, injectable, and other formulations that are or becoming available for use
- Timely, MSM community-related issues regarding PrEP use and adherence (e.g., PrEP medication sharing)
- Demographic variables and other key factors associated with sexual risk behavior (e.g., substance use, mental health status)
- Quantitative testing of new HIV prevention messages related to PrEP use and adherence

2. At least three (3) qualitative assessments (n=90, n=30 each) among a subsample of the diverse HIV-negative MSM study cohort delving deeper into HIV prevention messaging challenges and opportunities, particularly related to PrEP use and adherence to various products. Rapid reports with messaging recommendations should follow each qualitative assessment wave, as they should for each quantitative assessment wave.
3. Related presentations, abstracts, and manuscripts informing HIV prevention research and practice around the United States.

Target Population
The community-based study sample should include a minimum of n=1275 MSM across three (3) study sites, which will represent three (3) regions of the United States (including one site in the US South). This cohort study should include a diverse population of n=1275 sexually active, HIV-negative cisgender MSM who are 18+ years old. The sample should also include a minimum of n=380 (~30%) Black/African American and n=380 (~30%) Hispanic/Latino MSM. Based on PrEP use at enrollment, n=750 (~60%) should be MSM currently using PrEP at enrollment assessment, and n=525 (~40%) should not be currently using PrEP. All study materials and assessments should be offered in the English and Spanish languages, with bilingual staff support.

Collaboration/Partnerships
This award is a collaboration between the recipient and prevention research and communications expertise within the CDC Division of HIV Prevention.

Evaluation/Performance Measurement
The application should include measurable goals and aims based on a four (4)-year research project period. The application should describe specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, and time-phased (SMART) project objectives for each activity described in the application's project plan and describe the development and implementation of project performance measures based on specific programmatic objectives.

Specifically, SMART objectives should be developed for activities related to four (4) key aspects of this project:

1. Recruitment, enrollment, retention over two (2) years, and quarterly quantitative assessment of a diverse sample of a minimum of n=1275 MSM in three (3) US cities (425 per city site) that represent three (3) distinct regions of the United States (including

one in the US South). The assessment should focus largely on condom and PrEP product use and adherence, drug use and sexual risk behavior, related covariates and demographic variables, and development and testing of prevention messages to enhance HIV/STD protection.

2. HIV and STD staggered testing of a minimum of n=1275 participants that each test type (HIV, STI) should occur every six (6) months over a two (2)-year period, coinciding with a quantitative assessment. Test results should be linked to participants and used in study analysis, as well as providing results to participants as a service of the study.
3. Sample selection, materials development, and implementation of three (3) qualitative assessments (in depth interviews and focus groups; n=30 each wave) staggered approximately every six (6) months starting after completion of enrollment and baseline assessment of the quantitative survey. The qualitative assessments should focus on prevention gaps and opportunities identified, and development and testing of prevention messages to enhance HIV/STD protection.
4. Rapid reports after each assessment wave (quantitative, qualitative) providing key findings and recommendations for public health research and practice. To accomplish this, a rapid data cleaning, management, analysis, and writing infrastructure should be established for a two (2)-year period of managing the study data.

Translation Plan

Ongoing assessment rapid reports are the basis for identifying prevention gaps and messaging opportunities for MSM. Expansion of those reports with further analysis, and then dissemination of those findings to prevention communities through written and oral presentation are critical for application of study findings. Implementation of prevention messaging findings to community prevention programs messaging activities (e.g., mobile apps, social marketing campaigns, website information sources, other written prevention materials) is a direct application of a strategy to improve HIV prevention for communities of MSM.

3. Funding Strategy

N/A

Section II. Award Information

Funding Instrument Type:

CA (Cooperative Agreement)

A support mechanism used when there will be substantial Federal scientific or programmatic involvement. Substantial involvement means that, after award, scientific or program staff will assist, guide, coordinate, or participate in project activities.

Application Types Allowed:

New - An application that is submitted for funding for the first time. Includes multiple submission attempts within the same round.

Estimated Total Funding:

$5,900,000

Estimated Total Annual Budget Period Funding:

Year 1: $1,475,000

Year 2: $1,475,000
Year 3: $1,475,000
Year 4: $1,475,000

Anticipated Number of Awards:
1
Estimated total funding available for the first year (first 12 months), including direct and indirect costs: $1,475,000
Estimated total funding available for the entire project period, including direct and indirect costs: $5,900,000

Awards issued under this NOFO are contingent on the availability of funds and submission of a sufficient number of meritorious applications.

Award Ceiling:
$1,475,000
Per Budget Period

Award Floor:
$1,400,000
Per Budget Period

Total Period of Performance Length:
4 year(s)

Throughout the Period of Performance, CDC's commitment to continuation of awards will depend on the availability of funds, evidence of satisfactory progress by the recipient (as documented in required reports), and CDC's determination that continued funding is in the best interest of the Federal government.

HHS/CDC grants policies as described in the HHS Grants Policy Statement (https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/grants/grants/policies-regulations/hhsgps107.pdf) will apply to the applications submitted and awards made in response to this NOFO.
If you are successful and receive a Notice of Award, in accepting the award, you agree that the award and any activities thereunder are subject to all provisions of 45 CFR Part 75, currently in effect or implemented during the period of the award, other Department regulations and policies in effect at the time of the award, and applicable statutory provisions.

Section III. Eligibility Information

1. Eligible Applicants

Eligibility Category:
00 (State governments)

01 (County governments)

02 (City or township governments)

04 (Special district governments)

05 (Independent school districts)

06 (Public and State controlled institutions of higher education)

07 (Native American tribal governments (Federally recognized))

08 (Public housing authorities/Indian housing authorities)

11 (Native American tribal organizations (other than Federally recognized tribal governments))

12 (Nonprofits having a 501(c)(3) status with the IRS, other than institutions of higher education)

13 (Nonprofits without 501(c)(3) status with the IRS, other than institutions of higher education)

25 (Others (see text field entitled "Additional Information on Eligibility" for clarification))

2. Foreign Organizations

Foreign Organizations **are not** eligible to apply.

Foreign components of U.S. Organizations are not eligible to apply.

For this announcement, applicants may not include collaborators or consultants from foreign institutions. All applicable federal laws and policies apply.

3. Additional Information on Eligibility

- The following types of Higher Education Institutions are always encouraged to apply for CDC support as Non-profit Public or Non-profit Private Institutions of Higher Education:
    - Hispanic-serving Institutions
    - Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)
    - Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities (TCCUs)
    - Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian Serving Institutions
- Nonprofits (Other than Institutions of Higher Education)
- Private Non-profit Institutions of Higher Education
- Eligible Agencies of the Federal Government
- Faith-based or Community-based Organizations
- U.S. Territory or Possession
- Regional Organizations
- Bona Fide Agents: A Bona Fide Agent is an agency/organization identified by the state as eligible to submit an application under the state eligibility in lieu of a state application. If applying as a bona fide agent of a state or local government, a legal, binding agreement from the state or local government as documentation of the status is required. Attach with "Other Attachment Forms."
- Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs): FFRDCs are operated, managed, and/or administered by a university or consortium of universities, other not-for-profit or nonprofit organization, or an industrial firm, as an autonomous organization or

as an identifiable separate operating unit of a parent organization. A FFRDC meets some special long-term research or development need which cannot be met as effectively by an agency's existing in-house or contractor resources. FFRDC's enable agencies to use private sector resources to accomplish tasks that are integral to the mission and operation of the sponsoring agency. For more information on FFRDCs, go to https://gov.ecfr.io/cgi-bin/searchECFR

4. Justification for Less than Maximum Competition

N/A

5. Responsiveness

If an application requests a funding amount greater than the ceiling of $1,475,000, including direct and indirect costs, as indicated in Section II. of this NOFO, HHS/CDC will consider the application non-responsive and it will not enter the review process. HHS/CDC will notify the applicant that the application did not meet the submission requirements

6. Required Registrations

Applicant organizations must complete the following registrations as described in the SF 424 (R&R) Application Guide to be eligible to apply for or receive an award. Applicants must have a valid Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number in order to begin each of the following registrations.

PLEASE NOTE: For applications due on or after January 25, 2022, applicants must have a unique entity identifier (UEI) at the time of application submission. Grant application forms and instructions will be updated to reflect and require UEI instead of DUNS.

- (Foreign entities only): Special Instructions for acquiring a Commercial and Governmental Entity (NCAGE) Code: https://eportal.nspa.nato.int/AC135Public/Docs/US Instructions for NSPA NCAGE.pdf
- System for Award Management (SAM) – must maintain current registration in SAM (the replacement system for the Central Contractor Registration) to be renewed annually, SAM.gov.
- Grants.gov
- eRA Commons

All applicant organizations must register with Grants.gov. Please visit www.Grants.gov at least 30 days prior to submitting your application to familiarize yourself with the registration and submission processes. The one-time registration process will take three to five days to complete. However, it is best to start the registration process at least two weeks prior to application submission.

All Senior/Key Personnel (including Program Directors/Principal Investigators (PD/PIs) must also work with their institutional officials to register with the eRA Commons or ensure their existing Principal Investigator (PD/PI) eRA Commons account is affiliated with the eRA commons account of the applicant organization. All registrations must be successfully completed

and active before the application due date. Applicant organizations are strongly encouraged to start the eRA Commons registration process at least four (4) weeks prior to the application due date. ASSIST requires that applicant users have an active eRA Commons account in order to prepare an application. It also requires that the applicant organization's Signing Official have an active eRA Commons Signing Official account in order to initiate the submission process. During the submission process, ASSIST will prompt the Signing Official to enter their Grants.gov Authorized Organizational Representative (AOR) credentials in order to complete the submission, therefore the applicant organization must ensure that their Grants.gov AOR credentials are active.

7. Universal Identifier Requirements and System for Award Management (SAM)

All applicant organizations **must obtain** a DUN and Bradstreet (D&B) Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number as the Universal Identifier when applying for Federal grants or cooperative agreements. The DUNS number is a nine-digit number assigned by Dun and Bradstreet Information Services. An AOR should be consulted to determine the appropriate number. If the organization does not have a DUNS number, an AOR should complete the US D&B D-U-N-S Number Request Web Form or contact Dun and Bradstreet by telephone directly at 1-866-705-5711 (toll-free) to obtain one. A DUNS number will be provided immediately by telephone at no charge. Note this is an organizational number. Individual Program Directors/Principal Investigators do not need to register for a DUNS number.

PLEASE NOTE: For applications due on or after January 25, 2022, applicants must have a unique entity identifier (UEI) at the time of application submission. Grant application forms and instructions will be updated to reflect and require UEI instead of DUNS.

Additionally, all applicant organizations must register in the **System for Award Management (SAM)**. Organizations must maintain the registration with current information at all times during which it has an application under consideration for funding by CDC and, if an award is made, until a final financial report is submitted or the final payment is received, whichever is later. SAM is the primary registrant database for the Federal government and is the repository into which an entity must provide information required for the conduct of business as a recipient. Additional information about registration procedures may be found at the SAM internet site at SAM.gov and the SAM.gov Knowledge Base.

If an award is granted, the recipient organization **must** notify potential sub-recipients that no organization may receive a subaward under the grant unless the organization has provided its DUNS number to the recipient organization.

8. Eligible Individuals (Project Director/Principal Investigator) in Organizations/Institutions

Any individual(s) with the skills, knowledge, and resources necessary to carry out the proposed research as the Project Director/Principal Investigator (PD/PI) is invited to work with his/her organization to develop an application for support. Individuals from underrepresented racial and ethnic groups as well as individuals with disabilities are always encouraged to apply for HHS/CDC support.

9. Cost Sharing

This NOFO does not require cost sharing as defined in the HHS Grants Policy Statement (http://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/grants/grants/policies-regulations/hhsgps107.pdf).

10. Number of Applications

As defined in the HHS Grants Policy Statement,(https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/grants/grants/policies-regulations/hhsgps107.pdf), applications received in response to the same Notice of Funding Opportunity generally are scored individually and then ranked with other applications under peer review in their order of relative programmatic, technical, or scientific merit. HHS/CDC will not accept any application in response to this NOFO that is essentially the same as one currently pending initial peer review unless the applicant withdraws the pending application.

Applicant organizations may submit more than one application, provided that each application is scientifically distinct.

Section IV. Application and Submission Information

1. Address to Request Application Package

Applicants will use a system or platform to submit their applications through Grants.gov and eRA Commons to CDC. ASSIST, an institutional system to system (S2S) solution, or Grants.gov Workspace are options. ASSIST is a commonly used platform because, unlike other platforms, it provides a validation of all requirements prior to submission and prevents errors.

To use ASSIST, applicants must visit https://public.era.nih.gov where you can login using your eRA Commons credentials, and enter the Notice of Funding Opportunity Number to initiate the application, and begin the application preparation process.

If you experience problems accessing or using ASSIST, you can refer to the ASSIST Online Help Site at: https://era.nih.gov/erahelp/assist. Additional support is available from the NIH eRA Service desk via: http://grants.nih.gov/support/index.html

- Email: commons@od.nih.gov
- Phone: 301-402-7469 or (toll-free) 1-866-504-9552.
  Hours: Monday - Friday, 7 a.m. to 8 p.m. Eastern Time, excluding Federal holidays.

2. Content and Form of Application Submission

Applicants must use FORMS-G application packages for due dates on or after January 25, 2022 and must use FORMS-F application packages for due dates on or before January 24, 2022.

Application guides for FORMS-G application packages will be posted to the How to Apply - Application Guide page no later than October 25, 2021.

It is critical that applicants follow the instructions in the SF-424 (R&R) Application Guide How to Apply - Application Guide except where instructed in this Notice of Funding Opportunity to do otherwise. Conformance to the requirements in the Application Guide is required and strictly

enforced. Applications that are out of compliance with these instructions may be delayed or not accepted for review. The package associated with this NOFO includes all applicable mandatory and optional forms. Please note that some forms marked optional in the application package are required for submission of applications for this NOFO. Follow the instructions in the SF-424 (R&R) Application Guide to ensure you complete all appropriate "optional" components.

When using ASSIST, all mandatory forms will appear as separate tabs at the top of the Application Information screen; applicants may add optional forms available for the NOFO by selecting the Add Optional Form button in the left navigation panel.

Please use the form and instructions for SF424 (R&R) Form F. Applicants must use FORMS-F application packages for due dates on or before January 24, 2022.

Letters of Support from partners or organizations should be placed in the PHS 398 Research Plan "Other Research Plan Section" of the application under "9. Letters of Support".

Please include all of the eight (8) mandatory forms listed below in the application using the forms and instructions for SF424(R&R) Form G:

Mandatory

1. SF424(R&R);
2. PHS 398 Cover Page Supplement;
3. Research and Related Other Project Information;
4. Project/Performance Site Location(s);
5. Research and Related Senior/Key Person Profile (Expanded);
6. Research and Related Budget;
7. PHS 398 Research Plan;
8. PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information.

If multiple collaborating institutions will be involved, please include in this section of the application your single IRB (sIRB) Plan:

- Describe how you will comply with the single IRB review requirement under the Revised Common Rule at 45 CFR 46.114 (b) (cooperative research). If available, provide the name of the IRB that you anticipate will serve as the sIRB of record.
- Indicate that all identified engaged institutions or participating sites will agree to rely on the proposed sIRB and that any institutions or sites added after award will rely on the sIRB.
- Briefly describe how communication between institutions and the sIRB will be handled.
- Indicate that all engaged institutions or participating sites will, prior to initiating the study, sign an authorization/reliance agreement that will clarify the roles and responsibilities of the sIRB and participating sites.
- Indicate which institution or entity will maintain records of the authorization/reliance agreements and of the communication plan.

- Note: Do not include the authorization/reliance agreement(s) or the communication plan(s) documents in your application.
- Note: If you anticipate research involving human subjects but cannot describe the study at the time of application, include information regarding how the study will comply with the single Institutional Review Board (sIRB) requirement prior to initiating any multi-site study in the delayed onset study justification.

Please include the one (1) optional form listed below, if applicable, in the application package:

Optional

1. R&R Subaward Budget Attachment(s) Form 5 YR 30 ATT.

3. Letter of Intent

Due Date for Letter Of Intent 12/17/2021

12/17/2021

Although a letter of intent is not required, is not binding, and does not enter into the review of a subsequent application, the information that it contains allows CDC staff to estimate the potential review workload and plan the review.

By the date listed in Part 1. "Overview Information", prospective applicants are asked to submit a letter of intent that includes the following information:

Name of the applicant organization
Descriptive title of proposed research
Name, address, and telephone number of the PD(s)/PI(s)
Names of other key personnel
Participating institutions
Number and title of this notice of funding opportunity

The letter of intent should be sent to:
Gregory Anderson, MPH, MS
Extramural Research Program Office
Office of the Associate Director of Science
National Center for HIV, Viral Hepatitis, STD and TB Prevention
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
1600 Clifton Road, MS US8-1
Atlanta, GA 30333
Telephone: 404-718-8833
Fax: 404-718-8822
Email: GAnderson@cdc.gov

4. Required and Optional Components

A complete application has many components, both required and optional. The forms package associated with this NOFO in Grants.gov includes all applicable components for this NOFO,

required and optional. In ASSIST, all required and optional forms will appear as separate tabs at the top of the Application Information screen.

5. PHS 398 Research Plan Component

The SF424 (R&R) Application Guide includes instructions for applicants to complete a PHS 398 Research Plan that consists of components. Not all components of the Research Plan apply to all Notices of Funding Opportunities (NOFOs). Specifically, some of the following components are for Resubmissions or Revisions only. See the SF 424 (R&R) Application Guide at How to Apply - Application Guide for additional information. Please attach applicable sections of the following Research Plan components as directed in Part 2, Section 1 (Notice of Funding Opportunity Description).

Follow the page limits stated in the SF 424 unless otherwise specified in the NOFO. As applicable to and specified in the NOFO, the application should include the bolded headers in this section and should address activities to be conducted over the course of the entire project, including but not limited to:



1. **Introduction to Application** (for Resubmission and Revision ONLY) - provide a clear description about the purpose of the proposed research and how it addresses the specific requirements of the NOFO.
2. **Specific Aims** – state the problem the proposed research addresses and how it will result in public health impact and improvements in population health.
3. **Research Strategy** – the research strategy should be organized under 3 headings: Significance, Innovation and Approach. Describe the proposed research plan, including staffing and time line.
4. **Progress Report Publication List** (for Continuation ONLY)

Other Research Plan Sections

5. **Vertebrate Animals**
6. **Select Agent Research**
7. **Multiple PD/PI Leadership Plan.**
8. **Consortium/Contractual Arrangements**
9. **Letters of Support**
10. **Resource Sharing Plan(s)**
11. **Authentication of Key Biological and/or Chemical Resources**
12. **Appendix**

All instructions in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide at How to Apply - Application Guide must be followed along with any additional instructions provided in the NOFO.

Applicants that plan to collect public health data must submit a Data Management Plan (DMP) in the Resource Sharing Plan section of the PHS 398 Research Plan Component of the application. A DMP is required for each collection of public health data proposed. Applicants who contend

that the public health data they collect or create are not appropriate for release must justify that contention in the DMP submitted with their application for CDC funds.

The DMP may be outlined in a narrative format or as a checklist but, at a minimum, should include:

- A description of the data to be collected or generated in the proposed project;
- Standards to be used for the collected or generated data;
- Mechanisms for, or limitations to, providing access to and sharing of the data (include a description of provisions for the protection of privacy, confidentiality, security, intellectual property, or other rights - this section should address access to identifiable and de-identified data);
- Statement of the use of data standards that ensure all released data have appropriate documentation that describes the method of collection, what the data represent, and potential limitations for use; and
- Plans for archiving and long-term preservation of the data, or explaining why long-term preservation and access are not justified (this section should address archiving and preservation of identifiable and deidentified data).

CDC OMB approved templates may be used (e.g. NCCDPHP template https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/pdf/nofo/DMP-Template-508.docx)

Other examples of DMPs may be found here: USGS, http://www.usgs.gov//products/data-and-tools/data-management/data-management-plans

Applicants must use FORMS-G application packages for due dates on or after January 25, 2022 and must use FORMS-F application packages for due dates on or before January 24, 2022.

Application guides for FORMS-G application packages will be posted to the How to Apply - Application Guide page no later than October 25, 2021.

Additional instructions for the numbered headings above:

2. Specific Aims – State the problem the proposed research addresses and how it will result in public health impact and improvements in population health. Address the intent of the project, which should include recruitment, enrollment, and retention of n=1275 MSM over two (2) years in three (3) city metropolitan areas representing three (3) distinct regions of the United States (including one in the US South). This includes quarterly quantitative behavioral assessments, three (3) qualitative research assessments, HIV and STD testing of participants, rapid reports following each assessment wave that identify PrEP use trends and challenges (e.g., gaps in protection, misuse, nonadherence, and other prevention issues), including key findings from developing and testing brief prevention messages intended to improve PrEP use and adherence among MSM.

3. Research Strategy – The research strategy should be organized under 3 headings:

Significance, Innovation and Approach. Describe the proposed research plan, including staffing and timeline. This section should address quarterly quantitative behavioral assessments, three (3) qualitative research assessments, HIV and STD testing of participants, rapid reports following each of the assessments that identifies PrEP use trends, gaps in protection, misuse, nonadherence, and other prevention challenges, and results from developing and testing brief prevention messages intended to improve PrEP use and adherence among MSM.

Other Research Plan Sections

8. Consortium/Contractual Arrangements – Provide an awardee research site management and communication plan, describing the development and co-leadership of a common research protocol and implementation strategy for successful implementation of the proposed research project.

9. Letters of Support from intended research sites and subcontractors needed to successfully implement the proposed research project should be placed in the PHS 398 Research Plan "Other Research Plan Section" of the application under "9. Letters of Support".

PLEASE NOTE: Follow the SF424R&R instructions for completing the PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information (Form F) in the application instructions.

PHS Human Subjects and Clinical Trials Information

If multiple collaborating institutions will be involved, please include in this section of the application your single IRB (sIRB) Plan:

- Describe how you will comply with the single IRB review requirement under the Revised Common Rule at 45 CFR 46.114 (b) (cooperative research). If available, provide the name of the IRB that you anticipate will serve as the sIRB of record.
- Indicate that all identified engaged institutions or participating sites will agree to rely on the proposed sIRB and that any institutions or sites added after award will rely on the sIRB.
- Briefly describe how communication between institutions and the sIRB will be handled.
- Indicate that all engaged institutions or participating sites will, prior to initiating the study, sign an authorization/reliance agreement that will clarify the roles and responsibilities of the sIRB and participating sites.
- Indicate which institution or entity will maintain records of the authorization/reliance agreements and of the communication plan.
- Note: Do not include the authorization/reliance agreement(s) or the communication plan(s) documents in your application.
- Note: If you anticipate research involving human subjects but cannot describe the study at the time of application, include information regarding how the study will comply with the single Institutional Review Board (sIRB) requirement prior to initiating any multi-site study in the delayed onset study justification.

This research project requires representation of three (3) cities that represent three (3) distinct regions of the United States (including one in the US South) and representing priority jurisdictions for addressing HIV prevention, given the burden that those jurisdictions represent to the nation.

6. Appendix

Do not use the appendix to circumvent page limits. A maximum of 10 PDF documents are allowed in the appendix. Additionally, up to 3 publications may be included that are not publicly available. Follow all instructions for the Appendix as described in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide.

PLEASE NOTE: If applications go beyond the page limit designated for a given section, excess pages will be removed from the application prior to peer review and may negatively affect the application's scoring.

7. Page Limitations

All page limitations described in this individual NOFO must be followed. For this specific NOFO, the Research Strategy component of the Research Plan narrative is limited to 15 pages. Supporting materials for the Research Plan narrative included as appendices may not exceed 10 PDF files with a maximum of 25 pages for all appendices. Pages that exceed page limits described in this NOFO will be removed and not forwarded for peer review, potentially affecting an application's score.

8. Format for Attachments

Designed to maximize system-conducted validations, multiple separate attachments are required for a complete application. When the application is received by the agency, all submitted forms and all separate attachments are combined into a single document that is used by peer reviewers and agency staff. Applicants should ensure that all attachments are uploaded to the system.

CDC requires all text attachments to the Adobe application forms be submitted as PDFs and that all text attachments conform to the agency-specific formatting requirements noted in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide at .How to Apply - Application Guide.

Applicants must use FORMS-G application packages for due dates on or after January 25, 2022 and must use FORMS-F application packages for due dates on or before January 24, 2022.

Application guides for FORMS-G application packages will be posted to the How to Apply - Application Guide page no later than October 25, 2021.

Please use the form and instructions for SF424 (R&R) Form F. Applicants must use FORMS-F application packages for due dates on or before January 24, 2022.

9. Submission Dates & Times

Part I. Overview Information contains information about Key Dates. Applicants are strongly encouraged to allocate additional time and submit in advance of the deadline to ensure they have time to make any corrections that might be necessary for successful submission. This includes

the time necessary to complete the application resubmission process that may be necessary, if errors are identified during validation by Grants.gov and the NIH eRA systems. The application package is not complete until it has passed the Grants.gov and NIH eRA Commons submission and validation processes. Applicants will use a platform or system to submit applications.

ASSIST is a commonly used platform because it provides a validation of all requirements prior to submission. If ASSIST detects errors, then the applicant must correct errors before their application can be submitted. Applicants should view their applications in ASSIST after submission to ensure accurate and successful submission through Grants.gov. If the submission is not successful and post-submission errors are found, then those errors must be corrected and the application must be resubmitted in ASSIST.

Applicants are able to access, view, and track the status of their applications in the eRA Commons.

Information on the submission process is provided in the SF-424 (R&R) Application Guidance and ASSIST User Guide at https://era.nih.gov/files/ASSIST_user_guide.pdf.

Note: HHS/CDC grant submission procedures do not provide a grace period beyond the grant application due date time to correct any error or warning notices of noncompliance with application instructions that are identified by Grants.gov or eRA systems (i.e., error correction window).

Applicants who encounter problems when submitting their applications must attempt to resolve them by contacting the NIH eRA Service desk at:
Toll-free: 1-866-504-9552; Phone: 301-402-7469
http://grants.nih.gov/support/index.html
Hours: Mon-Fri, 7 a.m. to 8 p.m. Eastern Time (closed on Federal holidays)

Problems with Grants.gov can be resolved by contacting the Grants.gov Contact Center at:
Toll-free: 1-800-518-4726
https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/support.html
support@grants.gov
Hours: 24 hours a day, 7 days a week; closed on Federal holidays

It is important that applicants complete the application submission process well in advance of the due date time.

After submission of your application package, applicants will receive a "submission receipt" email generated by Grants.gov. Grants.gov will then generate a second e-mail message to applicants which will either validate or reject their submitted application package. A third and final e-mail message is generated once the applicant's application package has passed validation and the grantor agency has confirmed receipt of the application.

Unsuccessful Submissions: If an application submission was unsuccessful, the **applicant** must:

1. Track submission and verify the submission status (tracking should be done initially regardless of rejection or success).

a. If the status states "rejected," be sure to save time stamped, documented rejection notices, and do #2a or #2b

2. Check emails from both Grants.gov and NIH eRA Commons for rejection notices.

a. If the deadline has passed, he/she should email the Grants Management contact listed in the Agency Contacts section of this announcement explaining why the submission failed.

b. If there is time before the deadline, correct the problem(s) and resubmit as soon as possible.

Due Date for Applications 01/18/2022

01/18/2022
Electronically submitted applications must be submitted no later than 5:00 p.m., ET, on the listed application due date.

10. Funding Restrictions

Expanded Authority:

For more information on expanded authority and pre-award costs, go to https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/grants/grants/policies-regulations/hhsgps107.pdf and speak to your GMS.

All HHS/CDC awards are subject to the federal regulations, in 45 CFR Part 75, terms and conditions, and other requirements described in the HHS Grants Policy Statement. Pre-award costs may be allowable as an expanded authority, but only if authorized by CDC.

Public Health Data:

CDC requires that mechanisms for, and cost of, public health data sharing be included in grants, cooperative agreements, and contracts. The cost of sharing or archiving public health data may also be included as part of the total budget requested for first-time or continuation awards.

Data Management Plan:

Fulfilling the data-sharing requirement must be documented in a Data Management Plan (DMP) that is developed during the project planning phase prior to the initiation of generating or collecting public health data and must be included in the Resource Sharing Plan(s) section of the PHS398 Research Plan Component of the application.

Applicants who contend that the public health data they collect or create are not appropriate for release must justify that contention in the DMP submitted with their application for CDC funds (for example, privacy and confidentiality considerations, embargo issues).

Recipients who fail to release public health data in a timely fashion will be subject to procedures normally used to address lack of compliance (for example, reduction in funding, restriction of funds, or award termination) consistent with 45 CFR 74.62 or other authorities as appropriate.

For further information, please see: https://www.cdc.gov/grants/additional-requirements/ar-25.html

Human Subjects:

Funds relating to the conduct of research involving human subjects will be restricted until the appropriate assurances and Institutional Review Board (IRB) approvals are in place. Copies of all current local IRB approval letters and local IRB approved protocols (and CDC IRB approval letters, if applicable) will be required to lift restrictions.

If the proposed research project involves more than one institution and will be conducted in the United States, awardees are expected to use a single Institutional Review Board (sIRB) to conduct the ethical review required by HHS regulations for the Protections of Human Subjects Research, and include a single IRB plan in the application, unless review by a sIRB would be prohibited by a federal, tribal, or state law, regulation, or policy or a compelling justification based on ethical or human subjects protection issues or other well-justified reasons is provided. Exceptions will be reviewed and approved by CDC in accordance with Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Regulations (45 CFR Part 46), or a restriction may be placed on the award. For more information, please contact the scientific/research contact included on this NOFO.

Note: The sIRB requirement applies to participating sites in the United States. Foreign sites participating in CDC-funded, cooperative research studies are not expected to follow the requirement for sIRB.

Additional Funding Restrictions:

1) Applications submitted under this notice of funding opportunity must not include activities that overlap with simultaneously funded research under other awards (no scientific, budgetary or percent effort overlap allowed).

2) **Please note:** Certain grants or recipients are not eligible for expanded authorities. In addition, one or more expanded authority may be overridden by a special term or condition of the award. The Notice of Award (NoA) will indicate the applicability of expanded authorities by reference to the HHS Grants Policy Statement or through specific terms and conditions of the award. Therefore, recipients must review the NoA to determine whether and to what extent they are, or are not, permitted to use expanded authorities.

3) Funds relating to the conduct of research involving human subjects will be restricted until the appropriate assurances and Institutional Review Board (IRB) approvals are in place. Copies of all current local IRB approval letters and local IRB approved protocols (and CDC IRB approval letters, if applicable) will be required to lift restrictions. Please see Section IV.2 of this NOFO, "Content and Form of Application Submission" for guidance on single IRB (sIRB) Plan content.

4) Funds relating to the conduct of research involving vertebrate animals will be restricted until the appropriate assurances and Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approvals are in place. Copies of all current local IACUC approval letters and local IACUC approved protocols will be required to lift restrictions.

5) Projects that involve the collection of information, identical record keeping or reporting from 10 or more individuals and are funded by a cooperative agreement and constitute a burden of time, effort, and/or resources expended to collect and/or disclose the information will be subject to review and approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA).

6) On September 24, 2014, the Federal government issued a policy for the oversight of life sciences "Dual Use Research of Concern" (DURC) and required this policy to be implemented by September 24, 2015. This policy applies to all New and Renewal awards issued on applications submitted on or after September 24, 2015, and to all non-competing continuation awards issued on or after that date. CDC grantee institutions and their investigators conducting life sciences research subject to the Policy have a number of responsibilities that they must fulfill. Institutions should reference the policy, available at http://www.phe.gov/s3/dualuse, for a comprehensive listing of those requirements. Non-compliance with this Policy may result in suspension, limitation, or termination of US Government (USG) funding, or loss of future USG funding opportunities for the non-compliant USG-funded research project and of USG funds for other life sciences research at the institution, consistent with existing regulations and policies governing USG funded research, and may subject the institution to other potential penalties under applicable laws and regulations.

7) Please note the requirement for inclusion of a Data Management Plan (DMP) in applications described above under "Funding Restrictions" and also in AR-25 in the Additional Requirements section of this NOFO (*AR-25: Data Management and Access*). Funding restrictions may be imposed, pending submission and evaluation of a Data Management Plan.

11. Other Submission Requirements and Information

Risk Assessment Questionnaire Requirement

CDC is required to conduct pre-award risk assessments to determine the risk an applicant poses to meeting federal programmatic and administrative requirements by taking into account issues such as financial instability, insufficient management systems, non-compliance with award conditions, the charging of unallowable costs, and inexperience. The risk assessment will include an evaluation of the applicant's CDC Risk Questionnaire, located at https://www.cdc.gov/grants/documents/PPMR-G-CDC-Risk-Questionnaire.pdf, as well as a review of the applicant's history in all available systems; including OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility and financial integrity systems (see 45 CFR 75.205(a)), and other sources of historical information. These systems include, but are not limited to: FAPIIS (https://www.fapiis.gov/), including past performance on federal contracts as per Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act of 2009; Do Not Pay list; and System for Award Management (SAM) exclusions.

CDC requires all applicants to complete the Risk Questionnaire, OMB Control Number 0920-1132 annually. This questionnaire, which is located at https://www.cdc.gov/grants/documents/PPMR-G-CDC-Risk-Questionnaire.pdf, along with supporting documentation must be submitted with your application by the closing date of the Notice of Funding Opportunity Announcement. If your organization has completed CDC's Risk Questionnaire within the past 12 months of the closing date of this NOFO, then you must submit

a copy of that questionnaire, or submit a letter signed by the authorized organization representative to include the original submission date, organization's EIN and DUNS.

When uploading supporting documentation for the Risk Questionnaire into this application package, clearly label the documents for easy identification of the type of documentation. For example, a copy of Procurement policy submitted in response to the questionnaire may be labeled using the following format: Risk Questionnaire Supporting Documents _ Procurement Policy.

Duplication of Efforts

Applicants are responsible for reporting if this application will result in programmatic, budgetary, or commitment overlap with another application or award (i.e., grant, cooperative agreement, or contract) submitted to another funding source in the same fiscal year. Programmatic overlap occurs when (1) substantially the same project is proposed in more than one application or is submitted to two or more funding sources for review and funding consideration or (2) a specific objective and the project design for accomplishing the objective are the same or closely related in two or more applications or awards, regardless of the funding source. Budgetary overlap occurs when duplicate or equivalent budgetary items (e.g., equipment, salaries) are requested in an application but already are provided by another source. Commitment overlap occurs when an individual's time commitment exceeds 100 percent, whether or not salary support is requested in the application. Overlap, whether programmatic, budgetary, or commitment of an individual's effort greater than 100 percent, is not permitted. Any overlap will be resolved by the CDC with the applicant and the PD/PI prior to award.

Report Submission: The applicant must upload the report under "Other Attachment Forms." The document should be labeled: "Report on Programmatic, Budgetary, and Commitment Overlap."

Please note the new requirement for a **Risk Assessment Questionnaire** (described above) that should be uploaded as an attachment in the "12. Other Attachments" section of the "RESEARCH & RELATED Other Project Information" section of the application.

Application Submission

Applications must be submitted electronically following the instructions described in the SF 424 (R&R) Application Guide. **PAPER APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.**

Applicants must complete all required registrations before the application due date. Section III.6 "Required Registrations" contains information about registration.

For assistance with your electronic application or for more information on the electronic submission process, visit Applying Electronically (http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide /url_ redirect.htm? id=11144).

Important reminders:

All Senior/Key Personnel (including any Program Directors/Principal Investigators (PD/PIs) must include their eRA Commons ID in the Credential field of the Senior/Key Person Profile Component of the SF 424(R&R) Application Package. Failure to register in the Commons and to include a valid PD/PI Commons ID in the credential field will prevent the successful submission of an electronic application to CDC.

It is also important to note that for multi-project applications, this requirement also applies to the individual components of the application and not to just the Overall component.

The applicant organization must ensure that the DUNS number it provides on the application is the same number used in the organization's profile in the eRA Commons and for the System for Award Management (SAM). Additional information may be found in the SF424 (R&R) Application Guide.

PLEASE NOTE: For applications due on or after January 25, 2022, applicants must have a unique entity identifier (UEI) at the time of application submission. Grant application forms and instructions will be updated to reflect and require UEI instead of DUNS.

If the applicant has an FWA number, enter the 8-digit number. Do not enter the letters "FWA" before the number. If a Project/Performance Site is engaged in research involving human subjects, the applicant organization is responsible for ensuring that the Project/Performance Site operates under and appropriate Federal Wide Assurance for the protection of human subjects and complies with 45 CFR Part 46 and other CDC human subject related policies described in Part II of the SF 424 (R&R) Application Guide and in the HHS Grants Policy Statement.

See more resources to avoid common errors and submitting, tracking, and viewing applications:

- http://grants.nih.gov/grants/ElectronicReceipt/avoiding_errors.htm
- http://grants.nih.gov/grants/ElectronicReceipt/submit_app.htm
- https://era.nih.gov/files/ASSIST_user_guide.pdf
- http://era.nih.gov/erahelp/ASSIST/

Upon receipt, applications will be evaluated for completeness by the CDC Office of Grants Services (OGS) and responsiveness by OGS and the Center, Institute or Office of the CDC. Applications that are incomplete and/or nonresponsive will not be reviewed.d/////

Section V. Application Review Information

1. Criteria

Only the review criteria described below will be considered in the review process. As part of the CDC mission (http:// www.cdc.gov/ about/ organization/ mission.htm), all applications submitted to the CDC in support of public health research are evaluated for scientific and technical merit through the CDC peer review system.

Overall Impact
Reviewers will provide an overall impact/priority score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following review criteria and additional review criteria (as applicable for the project proposed).

Scored Review Criteria
Reviewers will consider each of the review criteria below in the determination of scientific merit and give a separate score for each. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major scientific impact. For example, a project that by its nature is not innovative may be essential to advance a field.

Significance
Does the project address an important problem or a critical barrier to progress in the field? If the aims of the project are achieved, how will scientific knowledge, technical capability, and/or clinical practice be improved? How will successful completion of the aims change the concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services, or preventative interventions that drive this field?

- Does the application adequately describe the importance of this NOFO's research purpose, design, and implementation activities?
- Does the application provide a sound research design and plan that includes an appropriate sample size large enough to adequately identify quarterly measured sexual risk and protective behaviors -- and develop and test relevant prevention messages -- of a diverse sample of MSM over a two (2)-year period?
- Does the application adequately describe appropriate design and experience with recruitment and enrollment of a large sample of diverse MSM?
- Given that participant retention throughout the study is critical to the implementation of a large cohort study of diverse MSM, does the application adequately describe a retention plan and experience to successfully retain a minimum of 80% of the initial cohort at each quarterly wave of data collection over two (2) years?

Investigator(s)
Are the PD/PIs, collaborators, and other researchers well suited to the project? Have they demonstrated an ongoing record of accomplishments that have advanced their field(s)? If the project is collaborative or multi-PD/PI, do the investigators have complementary and integrated expertise; are their leadership approach, governance and organizational structure appropriate for the project?

- Have the proposed investigators successfully designed and implemented large scale MSM recruitment, enrollment, retention, and HIV/STI testing over long periods of time or have the potential to do so?
- Have the proposed investigators worked successfully together on similar longitudinal studies of diverse samples of MSM or have the potential to do so ?
- Have the proposed investigators worked successfully on both qualitative and quantitative research of diverse samples of MSM or have the potential to do so?

Innovation

Does the application challenge and seek to shift current research or clinical practice paradigms by utilizing novel theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions? Are the concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions novel to one field of research or novel in a broad sense? Is a refinement, improvement, or new application of theoretical concepts, approaches or methodologies, instrumentation, or interventions proposed?

- Do the methods and approach proposed represent scientific innovation for research of large samples of diverse MSM, including participant recruitment, retention, and rapid data analysis and reporting of results?

Approach

Are the overall strategy, methodology, and analyses well-reasoned and appropriate to accomplish the specific aims of the project? Are potential problems, alternative strategies, and benchmarks for success presented? If the project is in the early stages of development, will the strategy establish feasibility, and will particularly risky aspects be managed?

If the project involves clinical research, are there plans for 1) protection of human subjects from research risks, and 2) inclusion of minorities and members of both sexes/genders, as well as the inclusion of children, justified in terms of the scientific goals and research strategy proposed?

- Are all four major components of the application (i.e., quantitative assessments, qualitative assessments, HIV/STD testing, and rapid reporting) adequately described -- including SMART objectives -- to indicate potential for successful implementation of the research project over four years?
- Do applications describe inclusion of three city research sites that represent three distinct regions of the United States, with one located in the US South? https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/statistics/overview/cdc-hiv-geographic-distribution.pdf
- Do the research sites represent priority jurisdictions outlined in Ending the HIV Epidemic in the United States? https://www.cdc.gov/endhiv/jurisdictions.html

Environment

Will the scientific environment in which the work will be done contribute to the probability of success? Are the institutional support, equipment and other physical resources available to the investigators adequate for the project proposed? Will the project benefit from unique features of the scientific environment, subject populations, or collaborative arrangements?

- Is the research environment adequately prepared for successful development and implementation of a large, ongoing study of MSM over two (2) years, including quarterly quantitative assessment, periodic qualitative assessment, HIV and STD testing, and data management and analysis to provide rapid reports of study findings and implications after each wave of data collection?

- Is the study environment adequately prepared to successfully develop and test evidence-based HIV and STD prevention messages using both quantitative and qualitative research methods?

2. Additional Review Criteria

As applicable for the project proposed, *reviewers will evaluate* the following additional items while determining scientific and technical merit, and in providing an overall impact/priority score, but *will not give separate scores* for these items.

Protections for Human Subjects

If the research involves human subjects but does not involve one of the six categories of research that are exempt under 45 CFR Part 46, the committee will evaluate the justification for involvement of human subjects and the proposed protections from research risk relating to their participation according to the following five review criteria: 1) risk to subjects, 2) adequacy of protection against risks, 3) potential benefits to the subjects and others, 4) importance of the knowledge to be gained, and 5) data and safety monitoring for clinical trials.

For research that involves human subjects and meets the criteria for one or more of the six categories of research that are exempt under 45 CFR Part 46, the committee will evaluate: 1) the justification for the exemption, 2) human subjects involvement and characteristics, and 3) sources of materials. For additional information on review of the Human Subjects section, please refer to the HHS/CDC Requirements under AR-1 Human Subjects Requirements (https://www.cdc.gov/grants/additionalrequirements/ar-1.html).

If your proposed research involves the use of human data and/or biological specimens, you must provide a justification for your claim that no human subjects are involved in the Protection of Human Subjects section of the Research Plan.

Inclusion of Women, Minorities, and Children

When the proposed project involves clinical research, the committee will evaluate the proposed plans for inclusion of minorities and members of both genders, as well as the inclusion of children. For additional information on review of the Inclusion section, please refer to the policy on the Inclusion of Women and Racial and Ethnic Minorities in Research (https://www.cdc.gov/maso/Policy/Policy_women.pdf and the policy on the Inclusion of Persons Under 21 in Research (https://www.cdc.gov/maso/Policy/policy496.pdf).

Vertebrate Animals

The committee will evaluate the involvement of live vertebrate animals as part of the scientific assessment according to the following four points: 1) proposed use of the animals, and species, strains, ages, sex, and numbers to be used; 2) justifications for the use of animals and for the appropriateness of the species and numbers proposed; 3) procedures for limiting discomfort, distress, pain and injury to that which is unavoidable in the conduct of scientifically sound research including the use of analgesic, anesthetic, and tranquilizing drugs and/or comfortable restraining devices; and 4) methods of euthanasia and reason for selection if not consistent with the AVMA Guidelines on Euthanasia. For additional information on review of the Vertebrate

Animals section, please refer to the Worksheet for Review of the Vertebrate Animal Section (https://grants.nih.gov/grants/olaw/VAChecklist.pdf).

Biohazards
Reviewers will assess whether materials or procedures proposed are potentially hazardous to research personnel and/or the environment, and if needed, determine whether adequate protection is proposed.

Dual Use Research of Concern
Reviewers will identify whether the project involves one of the agents or toxins described in the US Government Policy for the Institutional Oversight of Life Sciences Dual Use Research of Concern, and, if so, whether the applicant has identified an IRE to assess the project for DURC potential and develop mitigation strategies if needed.

For more information about this Policy and other policies regarding dual use research of concern, visit the U.S. Government Science, Safety, Security (S3) website at: http://www.phe.gov/s3/dualuse. Tools and guidance for assessing DURC potential may be found at: http://www.phe.gov/s3/dualuse/Pages/companion-guide.aspx.

3. Additional Review Considerations

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider each of the following items, but will not give scores for these items, and should not consider them in providing an overall impact/priority score.

If requesting indirect costs in the budget based on a federally negotiated rate, a copy of the indirect cost rate agreement is required. A copy of the current negotiated federal indirect cost rate agreement or cost allocation plan approval letter should be included with the budget narrative.

Applications from Foreign Organizations
N/A

Resource Sharing Plan(s)
HHS/CDC policy requires that recipients of grant awards make research resources and data readily available for research purposes to qualified individuals within the scientific community after publication. Please see: https://www.cdc.gov/grants/additionalrequirements/ar-25.html

New additional requirement: CDC requires recipients for projects and programs that involve data collection or generation of data with federal funds to develop and submit a Data Management Plan (DMP) for each collection of public health data.

Investigators responding to this Notice of Funding Opportunity should include a detailed DMP in the Resource Sharing Plan(s) section of the PHS 398 Research Plan Component of the application. The AR-25 outlines the components of a DMP and provides additional information for investigators regarding the requirements for data accessibility, storage, and preservation.

The DMP should be developed during the project planning phase prior to the initiation of collecting or generating public health data and will be submitted with the application. The submitted DMP will be evaluated for completeness and quality at the time of submission.

The DMP should include, at a minimum, a description of the following:

- A description of the data to be collected or generated in the proposed project;
- Standards to be used for the collected or generated data;
- Mechanisms for, or limitations to, providing access to and sharing of the data (include a description of provisions for the protection of privacy, confidentiality, security, intellectual property, or other rights - this section should address access to identifiable and de-identified data);
- Statement of the use of data standards that ensure all released data have appropriate documentation that describes the method of collection, what the data represent, and potential limitations for use; and
- Plans for archiving and long-term preservation of the data, or explaining why long-term preservation and access are not justified (this section should address archiving and preservation of identifiable and de-identified data).

Applications submitted without the required DMP may be deemed ineligible for award unless submission of DMP is deferred to a later period depending on the type of award, in which case, funding restrictions may be imposed pending submission and evaluation.

CDC OMB approved templates may be used (e.g. NCCDPHP template https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/pdf/nofo/DMP-Template-508.docx

Other examples of DMPs may be found here USGS, http://www.usgs.gov//products/data-and-tools/data-management/data-management-plans

Budget and Period of Support
Reviewers will consider whether the budget and the requested period of support are fully justified and reasonable in relation to the proposed research. The applicant can obtain guidance for completing a detailed justified budget on the CDC website, at the following Internet address: http://www.cdc.gov/grants/interestedinapplying/applicationresources.html

The budget can include both direct costs and indirect costs as allowed.

Indirect costs could include the cost of collecting, managing, sharing and preserving data.

Indirect costs on grants awarded to foreign organizations and foreign public entities and performed fully outside of the territorial limits of the U.S. may be paid to support the costs of compliance with federal requirements at a fixed rate of eight percent of modified total direct costs exclusive of tuition and related fees, direct expenditures for equipment, and subawards in excess of $25,000. Negotiated indirect costs may be paid to the American University, Beirut, and the World Health Organization.

Indirect costs on training grants are limited to a fixed rate of eight percent of MTDC exclusive of tuition and related fees, direct expenditures for equipment, and sub-awards in excess of $25,000.

If requesting indirect costs in the budget based on a federally negotiated rate, a copy of the indirect cost rate agreement is required. Include a copy of the current negotiated federal indirect cost rate agreement or cost allocation plan approval letter.

4. Review and Selection Process

Applications will be evaluated for scientific and technical merit by an appropriate peer review group, in accordance with CDC peer review policy and procedures, using the stated review criteria.

As part of the scientific peer review, all applications:

- Will undergo a selection process in which only those applications deemed to have the highest scientific and technical merit (generally the top half of applications under review), will be discussed and assigned an overall impact/priority score.
- Will receive a written critique.

Applications will be assigned to the appropriate HHS/CDC Center, Institute, or Office. Applications will compete for available funds with all other recommended applications submitted in response to this NOFO. Following initial peer review, recommended applications will receive a second level of review. The following will be considered in making funding recommendations:

- Scientific and technical merit of the proposed project as determined by scientific peer review.
- Availability of funds.
- Relevance of the proposed project to program priorities.

Review of risk posed by applicants.

Prior to making a Federal award, CDC is required by 31 U.S.C. 3321 and 41 U.S.C. 2313 to review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information as appropriate. See also suspension and debarment requirements at 2 CFR parts 180 and 376.

In accordance with 41 U.S.C. 2313, CDC is required to review the non-public segment of the OMB-designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently the Federal Recipient Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS)) prior to making a Federal award where the Federal share is expected to exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, defined in 41 U.S.C. 134, over the period of performance. At a minimum, the information in the system for a prior Federal award recipient must demonstrate a satisfactory record of executing programs or activities under Federal grants, cooperative agreements, or procurement awards; and integrity and business ethics. CDC may make a Federal award to a recipient who does not fully meet these standards if it is determined that the information is not relevant to the current Federal award under consideration or there are specific conditions that can appropriately mitigate the effects of the non-Federal entity's risk in accordance with 45 CFR §75.207.

CDC’s framework for evaluating the risks posed by an applicant may incorporate results of the evaluation of the applicant's eligibility or the quality of its application. If it is determined that a Federal award will be made, special conditions that correspond to the degree of risk assessed

may be applied to the Federal award. The evaluation criteria is described in this Notice of Funding Opportunity.

In evaluating risks posed by applicants, CDC will use a risk-based approach and may consider any items such as the following:

(1) Financial stability;
(2) Quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in this part;
(3) History of performance. The applicant's record in managing Federal awards, if it is a prior recipient of Federal awards, including timeliness of compliance with applicable reporting requirements, conformance to the terms and conditions of previous Federal awards, and if applicable, the extent to which any previously awarded amounts will be expended prior to future awards;
(4) Reports and findings from audits performed under 45 CFR Part 75, subpart F, or the reports and findings of any other available audits; and
(5) The applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-Federal entities.

CDC must comply with the guidelines on government-wide suspension and debarment in 2 CFR part 180, and require non-Federal entities to comply with these provisions. These provisions restrict Federal awards, subawards and contracts with certain parties that are debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in Federal programs or activities.

5. Anticipated Announcement and Award Dates

After the peer review of the application is completed, the PD/PI will be able to access his or her Summary Statement (written critique) and other pertinent information via the eRA Commons.

Section VI. Award Administration Information

1. Award Notices

Any applications awarded in response to this NOFO will be subject to the DUNS, SAM Registration, and Transparency Act requirements. If the application is under consideration for funding, HHS/CDC will request "just-in-time" information from the applicant as described in the HHS Grants Policy Statement (https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/grants/grants/policies-regulations/hhsgps107.pdf).

PLEASE NOTE: For applications due on or after January 25, 2022, applicants must have a unique entity identifier (UEI) at the time of application submission. Grant application forms and instructions will be updated to reflect and require UEI instead of DUNS.

A formal notification in the form of a Notice of Award (NoA) will be provided to the applicant organization for successful applications. The NoA signed by the Grants Management Officer is the authorizing document and will be sent via email to the grantee’s business official.

Recipient must comply with any funding restrictions as described in Section IV.11. Funding Restrictions. Selection of an application for award is not an authorization to begin performance.

Any costs incurred before receipt of the NoA are at the recipient's risk. These costs may be allowable as an expanded authority, but only if authorized by CDC.

2. CDC Administrative Requirements

Overview of Terms and Conditions of Award and Requirements for Specific Types of Grants

Administrative and National Policy Requirements, Additional Requirements (ARs) outline the administrative requirements found in 45 CFR Part 75 and the HHS Grants Policy Statement and other requirements as mandated by statute or CDC policy. Recipients must comply with administrative and national policy requirements as appropriate. For more information on the Code of Federal Regulations, visit the National Archives and Records Administration: http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/cfr-table- search.html.

Specific requirements that apply to this NOFO are the following:

AR-1: Human Subjects Requirements

AR-2: Requirements for Inclusion of Women and Racial and Ethnic Minorities in Research

AR-3: Animal Subjects Requirements

AR-9: Paperwork Reduction Act Requirements

AR-10: Smoke-Free Workplace Requirements

AR-11: Healthy People 2030

AR-12: Lobbying Restrictions

AR-13: Prohibition on Use of CDC Funds for Certain Gun Control Activities

AR-14: Accounting System Requirements

AR-16: Security Clearance Requirement

AR-21: Small, Minority, And Women-owned Business

AR-22: Research Integrity

AR-24: Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Requirements

AR-25: Data Management and Access

AR-26: National Historic Preservation Act of 1966

AR-28: Inclusion of Persons Under the Age of 21 in Research

AR-29: Compliance with EO13513, "Federal Leadership on Reducing Text Messaging while Driving", October 1, 2009

AR-30: Information Letter 10-006, - Compliance with Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973

AR-31: Research Definition

AR-32: Appropriations Act, General Provisions

AR-33: United States Government Policy for Institutional Oversight of Life Sciences Dual Use Research of Concern

AR-34: Accessibility Provisions and Non-Discrimination Requirements are incorporated into CDC General Terms and Conditions

AR-36: Certificates of Confidentiality

AR-37: Prohibition on certain telecommunications and surveillance services or equipment for all awards issued on or after August 13, 2020.

ARs applicable to HIV/AIDS Awards:

AR-4: HIV/AIDS Confidentiality Provisions

AR-5: HIV Program Review Panel Requirements

AR-6: Patient Care

Organization Specific ARs:

AR-8: Public Health System Reporting Requirements

AR-15: Proof of Non-profit Status

AR 23: Compliance with 45 C.F.R. Part 87

The full text of the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for HHS Awards, 45 CFR 75, can be found at: https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?node=pt45.1.75

To view brief descriptions of relevant CDC requirements visit: https://www.cdc.gov/grants/additional-requirements/index.html

3. Additional Policy Requirements

The following are additional policy requirements relevant to this NOFO:

Should you successfully compete for an award, recipients of federal financial assistance (FFA) from HHS must administer their programs in compliance with federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, disability, age and, in some circumstances, religion, conscience, and sex (including gender identity, sexual orientation, and pregnancy).This includes taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to persons with limited English proficiency and providing programs that are accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities. The HHS Office for Civil Rights provides guidance on complying with civil rights laws enforced by HHS. See https://www.hhs.gov/civil-rights/for-providers/provider-

obligations/index.html and https://www.hhs.gov/civil-rights/for-individuals/nondiscrimination/index.html.

- Recipients of FFA must ensure that their programs are accessible to persons with limited English proficiency. For guidance on meeting your legal obligation to take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to your programs or activities by limited English proficient individuals, see https://www.hhs.gov/civil-rights/for-individuals/special-topics/limited-english-proficiency/fact-sheet-guidance/index.html and https://www.lep.gov.

- For information on your specific legal obligations for serving qualified individuals with disabilities, including providing program access, reasonable modifications, and taking appropriate steps to provide effective communication, see http://www.hhs.gov/ocr/civilrights/understanding/disability/index.html.

- HHS funded health and education programs must be administered in an environment free of sexual harassment, see https://www.hhs.gov/civil-rights/for-individuals/sex-discrimination/index.html.

- For guidance on administering your project in compliance with applicable federal religious nondiscrimination laws and applicable federal conscience protection and associated anti-discrimination laws, see https://www.hhs.gov/conscience/conscience-protections/index.html and https://www.hhs.gov/conscience/religious-freedom/index.html.

HHS Policy on Promoting Efficient Spending: Use of Appropriated Funds for Conferences and Meetings, Food, Promotional Items and Printing Publications This policy supports the Executive Order on Promoting Efficient Spending (EO 13589), the Executive Order on Delivering and Efficient, Effective, and Accountable Government (EO 13576) and the Office of Management and Budget Memorandum on Eliminating Excess Conference Spending and Promoting Efficiency in Government (M-35-11). This policy applies to all new obligations and all funds appropriated by Congress. For more information, visit the HHS website at: https://www.hhs.gov/grants/contracts/contract-policies-regulations/efficient-spending/index.html.

Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA), P.L. 109–282, as amended by section 6202 of P.L. 110–252, requires full disclosure of all entities and organizations receiving Federal funds including grants, contracts, loans and other assistance and payments through a single, publicly accessible website, www.usaspending.gov. For the full text of the requirements, please review the following website: https://www.fsrs.gov/.

Plain Writing Act The Plain Writing Act of 2010, Public Law 111-274, was signed into law on October 13, 2010. The law requires that federal agencies use "clear Government communication that the public can understand and use" and requires the federal government to write all new publications, forms, and publicly distributed documents in a "clear, concise, well-organized" manner. For more information on this law, go to: http://www.plainlanguage.gov/plLaw/index.cfm.

Pilot Program for Enhancement of Employee Whistleblower Protections All applicants will be subject to a term and condition that applies the terms of 48 CFR section 3.908 to the award

and requires that grantees inform their employees in writing (in the predominant native language of the workforce) of employee whistleblower rights and protections under 41 U.S.C. 4712.

Copyright Interests Provision This provision is intended to ensure that the public has access to the results and accomplishments of public health activities funded by CDC. Pursuant to applicable grant regulations and CDC's Public Access Policy, Recipient agrees to submit into the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Manuscript Submission (NIHMS) system an electronic version of the final, peer-reviewed manuscript of any such work developed under this award upon acceptance for publication, to be made publicly available no later than 12 months after the official date of publication. Also at the time of submission, Recipient and/or the Recipient's submitting author must specify the date the final manuscript will be publicly accessible through PubMed Central (PMC). Recipient and/or Recipient's submitting author must also post the manuscript through PMC within twelve (12) months of the publisher's official date of final publication; however, the author is strongly encouraged to make the subject manuscript available as soon as possible. The recipient must obtain prior approval from the CDC for any exception to this provision.

The author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript is defined as the final version accepted for journal publication and includes all modifications from the publishing peer review process, and all graphics and supplemental material associated with the article. Recipient and its submitting authors working under this award are responsible for ensuring that any publishing or copyright agreements concerning submitted articles reserve adequate right to fully comply with this provision and the license reserved by CDC. The manuscript will be hosted in both PMC and the CDC Stacks institutional repository system. In progress reports for this award, recipient must identify publications subject to the CDC Public Access Policy by using the applicable NIHMS identification number for up to three (3) months after the publication date and the PubMed Central identification number (PMCID) thereafter.

Language Access for Persons with Limited English Proficiency Recipients of federal financial assistance from HHS must administer their programs in compliance with federal civil rights law. This means that recipients of HHS funds must ensure equal access to their programs without regard to a person's race, color, national origin, disability, age and, in some circumstances, sex and religion. This includes ensuring your programs are accessible to persons with limited English proficiency. Recipients of federal financial assistance must take reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to their programs by persons with limited English proficiency.

Dual Use Research of Concern On September 24, 2014, the US Government Policy for the Institutional Oversight of Life Sciences Dual Use Research of Concern was released. Grantees (foreign and domestic) receiving CDC funding on or after September 24, 2015 are subject to this policy. Research funded by CDC, involving the agents or toxins named in the policy, must be reviewed to determine if it involves one or more of the listed experimental effects and if so, whether it meets the definition of DURC. This review must be completed by an Institutional Review Entity (IRE) identified by the funded institution.

Recipients also must establish an Institutional Contact for Dual Use Research (ICDUR). The award recipient must maintain records of institutional DURC reviews and completed risk

mitigation plans for the term of the research grant, cooperative agreement or contract plus three years after its completion, but no less than eight years, unless a shorter period is required by law or regulation.

If a project is determined to be DURC, a risk/benefit analysis must be completed. CDC will work collaboratively with the award recipient to develop a risk mitigation plan that the CDC must approve. The USG policy can be found at http://www.phe.gov/s3/dualuse.

Non-compliance with this Policy may result in suspension, limitation, restriction or termination of USG-funding, or loss of future USG funding opportunities for the non-compliant USG-funded research project and of USG-funds for other life sciences research at the institution, consistent with existing regulations and policies governing USG-funded research, and may subject the institution to other potential penalties under applicable laws and regulations.

Data Management Plan(s)

CDC requires that all new collections of public health data include a Data Management Plan (DMP). For purposes of this announcement, "public health data" means digitally recorded factual material commonly accepted in the scientific community as a basis for public health findings, conclusions, and implementation.

This new requirement ensures that CDC is in compliance with the following; Office of Management and Budget (OMB) memorandum titled "Open Data Policy–Managing Information as an Asset" (OMB M-13-13); Executive Order 13642 titled "Making Open and Machine Readable the New Default for Government Information"; and the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP) memorandum titled "Increasing Access to the Results of Federally Funded Scientific Research" (OSTP Memo).

The AR-25 https://www.cdc.gov/grants/additionalrequirements/ar-25.html outlines the components of a DMP and provides additional information for investigators regarding the requirements for data accessibility, storage, and preservation.

Certificates of Confidentiality: Institutions and investigators are responsible for determining whether research they conduct is subject to Section 301(d) of the Public Health Service (PHS) Act. Section 301(d), as amended by Section 2012 of the 21st Century Cures Act, P.L. 114-255 (42 U.S.C. 241(d)), states that the Secretary shall issue Certificates of Confidentiality (Certificates) to persons engaged in biomedical, behavioral, clinical, or other research activities in which identifiable, sensitive information is collected. In furtherance of this provision, CDC-supported research commenced or ongoing after December 13, 2016 in which identifiable, sensitive information is collected, as defined by Section 301(d), is deemed issued a Certificate and therefore required to protect the privacy of individuals who are subjects of such research. Certificates issued in this manner will not be issued as a separate document, but are issued by application of this term and condition to this award. See Additional Requirement 36 to ensure compliance with this term and condition. The link to the full text is at: https://www.cdc.gov/grants/additionalrequirements/ar-36.html.

4. Cooperative Agreement Terms and Conditions

The following special terms of award are in addition to, and not in lieu of, otherwise applicable U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) administrative guidelines, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) grant administration regulations at 45 CFR Part 75, and other HHS, PHS, and CDC grant administration policies. The administrative and funding instrument used for this program will be the cooperative agreement, an "assistance" mechanism (rather than an "acquisition" mechanism), in which substantial CDC programmatic involvement with the awardees is anticipated during the performance of the activities. Under the cooperative agreement, the HHS/CDC purpose is to support and stimulate the recipients' activities by involvement in and otherwise working jointly with the award recipients in a partnership role; CDC Project Officers are not to assume direction, prime responsibility, or a dominant role in the activities. Consistent with this concept, the dominant role and prime responsibility resides with the awardees for the project as a whole, although specific tasks and activities may be shared among the awardees and HHS/CDC as defined below.

The PD(s)/PI(s) will have the primary responsibility for:

- Oversight of subcontractor and participating site performance.
- Complying with the responsibilities for the Extramural Investigators as described in the Policy on Public Health Research and Non-research Data Management and Access https://www.cdc.gov/grants/additional-requirements/ar-25.html
- Ensuring the protection of human subjects through ethical review of all protocols involving human subjects at the local institution and at CDC and obtaining the appropriate Institutional Review Board approvals for all institutions or individuals engaged in the conduct of the research project.
- Working with CDC scientists to obtain OMB-PRA approvals, as needed.
- PUBLICATIONS/PRESENTATIONS: Publications, journal articles, presentations, etc. produced under a CDC grant-supported project must bear an acknowledgment and disclaimer, as appropriate, for example: “This publication (journal article, etc.) was supported by the Cooperative Agreement Number above from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention”. In addition, the PI/PD must provide to CDC Program abstracts or manuscripts prior to any publication related to this funding. The recipient will not seek to publish or present results or findings from this project without prior clearance and approval from CDC.
- Complying with the responsibilities for the PI as described in the United States Government Policy for Institutional Oversight of Life Science Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC) http://www.phe.gov/s3/dualuse/Documents/durc-policy.pdf).
- Awardees will retain custody of and have primary rights to the data and software developed under these awards, subject to Government rights of access consistent with current DHHS, PHS, and CDC policies.

CDC staff have substantial programmatic involvement that is above and beyond the normal stewardship role in awards, as described below:

- Assisting the PI, as needed, in complying with the Investigator responsibilities described in the Policy on Public Health Research and Non-research Data Management and Access https://www.cdc.gov/grants/additional-requirements/ar-25.html
- Preparing the paperwork necessary for submission of research protocols to the CDC Institutional Review Board for review, as needed.
- Obtaining Office of Management and Budget approval per the Paperwork Reduction Act, if necessary.
- Assisting the PI, as needed, in complying with the PI responsibilities described in the United States Government Policy for Institutional Oversight of Life Science Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC) http://www.phe.gov/s3/dualuse/Documents/durc-policy.pdf

Areas of Joint Responsibility include:

- Collaborating in the development of human subject research protocols and additional documents for IRB review by all cooperating institutions participating in the project and for OMB review, if needed.
- Collaborating in the development of qualitative and quantitative assessments, including identification of prevention gaps among MSM and opportunities for development and testing of enhanced prevention messaging.
- For applications that are successfully funded under this NOFO, the recipient agrees that upon award, the application and the summary of reviewers' comments for the application may be shared with the CDC staff who will provide technical assistance, as described above. The recipient organization will retain custody of and have primary rights to the information, data, and software developed under this award, subject to U.S. Government rights of access and consistent with current HHS/CDC grant regulations and policies.

Additionally, a Scientific Program Officer in the NCHHSTP Extramural Research Program Office (ERPO) will be responsible for the normal scientific and programmatic stewardship of the award as described below:

- Named in the Notice of Award as the Program Official to provide overall scientific and programmatic stewardship of the award.
- Serve as the primary point of contact on official award-related activities including an annual review of the grantee's performance as part of the request for continuation application.
- Make recommendations on requests for changes in scope, objectives, and or budgets that deviate from the approved peer-reviewed application.
- Carry out continuous review of all activities to ensure objectives are being met.
- Attend committee meetings and participate in conference calls for the purposes of assessing overall progress, and for program evaluation purposes.
- Monitor performance against approved project objectives.

5. Reporting

Recipients will be required to complete Research Performance Progress Report (RPPR) in eRA Commons at least annually
(see https://grants.nih.gov/grants/rppr/index.htm; https://grants.nih.gov/grants/forms/report_on_

grant.htm) and financial statements as required in the HHS Grants Policy Statement.

A final progress report, invention statement, equipment inventory list and the expenditure data portion of the Federal Financial Report are required for closeout of an award, as described in the HHS Grants Policy Statement.

Although the financial plans of the HHS/CDC CIO(s) provide support for this program, awards pursuant to this funding opportunity depend upon the availability of funds, evidence of satisfactory progress by the recipient (as documented in required reports) and the determination that continued funding is in the best interest of the Federal government.

The Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Transparency Act), includes a requirement for recipients of Federal grants to report information about first-tier subawards and executive compensation under Federal assistance awards issued in FY2011 or later.

Compliance with this law is primarily the responsibility of the Federal agency. However, two elements of the law require information to be collected and reported by recipients:
1) Information on executive compensation when not already reported through the SAM Registration; and
2) Similar information on all sub-awards/ subcontracts/ consortiums over $25,000. It is a requirement for recipients of Federal grants to report information about first-tier subawards and executive compensation under Federal assistance awards issued in FY2011 or later. All recipients of applicable CDC grants and cooperative agreements are required to report to the Federal Subaward Reporting System (FSRS) available at www.fsrs.gov on all subawards over $25,000. See the HHS Grants Policy Statement (https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/grants/grants/policies-regulations/hhsgps107.pdf).

A. Submission of Reports

The Recipient Organization must provide HHS/CDC with an original, plus one hard copy of the following reports:

1. **Yearly Non-Competing Grant Progress Report**, is due 90 to 120 days before the end of the current budget period. The RPPR form (https://grants.nih.gov/grants/rppr/index.htm; https://grants.nih.gov/grants/rppr/rppr_instruction_guide.pdf) is to be completed on the eRA Commons website. The progress report will serve as the non-competing continuation application. Although the financial plans of the HHS/CDC CIO(s) provide support for this program, awards pursuant to this funding opportunity are contingent upon the availability of funds, evidence of satisfactory progress by the recipient (as documented in required reports) and the determination that continued funding is in the best interest of the Federal government.
2. **Annual Federal Financial Report (FFR) SF 425** (https://grants.nih.gov/grants/forms/report_on_grant/federal_financial_report_ffr.htm) is required and must be submitted through eRA Commons **within 90 days after the end of the calendar quarter in which the budget period ends.**

3. **A final progress report**, invention statement, equipment/inventory report, and the final FFR are required **90 days after the end of the period of performance.**

B. Content of Reports

1. Yearly Non-Competing Grant Progress Report: The grantee's continuation application/progress should include:

- Description of Progress during Annual Budget Period: Current Budget Period Progress reported on the RPPR form in eRA Commons (https://grants.nih.gov/grants/rppr/index.htm). Detailed narrative report for the current budget period that directly addresses progress towards the Measures of Effectiveness included in the current budget period proposal.
- Research Aims: list each research aim/project

a) Research Aim/Project: purpose, status (met, ongoing, and unmet), challenges, successes, and lessons learned

b) Leadership/Partnership: list project collaborations and describe the role of external partners.

- Translation of Research (1 page maximum). When relevant to the goals of the research project, the PI should describe how the significant findings may be used to promote, enhance, or advance translation of the research into practice or may be used to inform public health policy. This section should be understandable to a variety of audiences, including policy makers, practitioners, public health programs, healthcare institutions, professional organizations, community groups, researchers, and other potential users. The PI should identify the research findings that were translated into public health policy or practice and how the findings have been or may be adopted in public health settings. Or, if they cannot be applied yet, this section should address which research findings may be translated, how these findings can guide future research or related activities, and recommendations for translation. If relevant, describe how the results of this project could be generalized to populations and communities outside of the study. Questions to consider in preparing this section include:

- How will the scientific findings be translated into public health practice or inform public health policy?
- How will the project improve or effect the translation of research findings into public health practice or inform policy?
- How will the research findings help promote or accelerate the dissemination, implementation, or diffusion of improvements in public health programs or practices?
- How will the findings advance or guide future research efforts or related activities?

- Public Health Relevance and Impact (1 page maximum). This section should address improvements in public health as measured by documented or anticipated outcomes from the project. The PI should consider how the findings of the project relate beyond

the immediate study to improved practices, prevention or intervention techniques, inform policy, or use of technology in public health. Questions to consider in preparing this section include:

- How will this project lead to improvements in public health?
- How will the findings, results, or recommendations been used to influence practices, procedures, methodologies, etc.?
- How will the findings, results, or recommendations contribute to documented or projected reductions in morbidity, mortality, injury, disability, or disease?

- Current Budget Period Financial Progress: Status of obligation of current budget period funds and an estimate of unobligated funds projected provided on an estimated FFR.

- New Budget Period Proposal:
- Detailed operational plan for continuing activities in the upcoming budget period, including updated Measures of Effectiveness for evaluating progress during the upcoming budget period. Report listed by Research Aim/Project.
- Project Timeline: Include planned milestones for the upcoming year (be specific and provide deadlines).

- New Budget Period Budget: Detailed line-item budget and budget justification for the new budget period. Use the CDC budget guideline format.

- Publications/Presentations: Include publications/presentations resulting from this CDC grant only during this budget period. If no publication or presentations have been made at this stage in the project, simply indicate "Not applicable: No publications or presentations have been made."

- IRB Approval Certification: Include all current IRB approvals to avoid a funding restriction on your award. If the research does not involve human subjects, then please state so. Please provide a copy of the most recent local IRB and CDC IRB, if applicable. If any approval is still pending at time of APR due date, indicate the status in your narrative.

- Update of Data Management Plan: The DMP is considered a living document that will require updates throughout the lifecycle of the project. Investigators should include any updates to the project's data collection such as changes to initial data collection plan, challenges with data collection, and recent data collected. Applicants should update their DMP to reflect progress or issues with planned data collection and submit as required for each reporting period.

- Additional Reporting Requirements:

A key aspect of this NOFO is rapid quarterly reports of quantitative assessment results, and rapid reports for three (3) qualitative assessments of study participants. These reports should identify

key behavioral and social developments observed among MSM, with recommendations for prevention messaging development and implementation to enhance HIV and STD protection.

2. Annual Federal Financial Reporting The Annual Federal Financial Report (FFR) SF 425 is required and must be submitted through the Payment Management System (PMS) within 90 days after the end of the calendar quarter in which the budget period ends. The FFR should only include those funds authorized and disbursed during the timeframe covered by the report. The final FFR must indicate the exact balance of unobligated funds and may not reflect any unliquidated obligations. There must be no discrepancies between the final FFR expenditure data and the Payment Management System's (PMS) cash transaction data.

Failure to submit the required information in a timely manner may adversely affect the future funding of this project. If the information cannot be provided by the due date, you are required to submit a letter explaining the reason and date by which the Grants Officer will receive the information.

The due date for final FFRs is 90 days after the Period of Performance end date.

Recipients must submit closeout reports in a timely manner. Unless the Grants Management Officer (GMO) of the awarding Institute or Center approves an extension, recipients must submit a final FFR, final progress report, and Final Invention Statement and Certification within 90 days of the end of grant period. Failure to submit timely and accurate final reports may affect future funding to the organization or awards under the direction of the same Project Director/Principal Investigator (PD/PI).

FFR (SF 425) instructions for CDC recipients are now available at https://grants.nih.gov/grants/forms/report_on_grant/federal_financial_report_ffr.htm. For further information, contact GrantsInfo@nih.gov. Additional resources on the Payment Management System (PMS) can be found at https://pms.psc.gov.

Organizations may verify their current registration status by running the “List of Commons Registered Organizations” query found at: https://era.nih.gov/registration_accounts.cfm. Organizations not yet registered can go to https://commons.era.nih.gov/commons/ for instructions. It generally takes several days to complete this registration process. This registration is independent of Grants.gov and may be done at any time.

The individual designated as the PI on the application must also be registered in the Commons. The PI must hold a PI account and be affiliated with the applicant organization. This registration must be done by an organizational official or their delegate who is already registered in the Commons. To register PIs in the Commons, refer to the eRA Commons User Guide found at: https://era.nih.gov/docs/Commons_UserGuide.pdf.

3. Final Reports: Final reports should provide sufficient detail for CDC to determine if the stated outcomes for the funded research have been achieved and if the research findings resulted in public health impact based on the investment. The grantee's final report should include:

- Research Aim/Project Overview: The PI should describe the purpose and approach to the project, including the outcomes, methodology and related analyses. Include a discussion of the challenges, successes and lessons learned. Describe the collaborations/partnerships and the role of each external partner.



- Translation of Research Findings: The PI should describe how the findings will be translated and how they will be used to inform policy or promote, enhance or advance the impact on public health practice. This section should be understandable to a variety of audiences, including policy makers, practitioners, public health programs, healthcare institutions, professional organizations, community groups, researchers and other potential end users. The PI should also provide a discussion of any research findings that informed policy or practice during the course of the Period of Performance. If applicable, describe how the findings could be generalized and scaled to populations and communities outside of the funded project.
- Public Health Relevance and Impact: This section should address improvements in public health as measured by documented or anticipated outcomes from the project. The PI should consider how the findings of the project related beyond the immediate study to improved practices, prevention or intervention techniques, or informed policy, technology or systems improvements in public health.
- Publications; Presentations; Media Coverage: Include information regarding all publications, presentations or media coverage resulting from this CDC-funded activity. Please include any additional dissemination efforts that did or will result from the project.
- Final Data Management Plan: Applicants must include an updated final Data Management Plan that describes the data collected, the location of where the data is stored (example: a repository), accessibility restrictions (if applicable), and the plans for long term preservation of the data.

6. Termination

CDC may impose other enforcement actions in accordance with 45 CFR 75.371- Remedies for Noncompliance, as appropriate.

The Federal award may be terminated in whole or in part as follows:

(1) By the HHS awarding agency or pass-through entity, if the non-Federal entity fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the award;

(2) By the HHS awarding agency or pass-through entity for cause;

(3) By the HHS awarding agency or pass-through entity with the consent of the non-Federal entity, in which case the two parties must agree upon the termination conditions, including the effective date and, in the case of partial termination, the portion to be terminated; or

(4) By the non-Federal entity upon sending to the HHS awarding agency or pass-through entity written notification setting forth the reasons for such termination, the effective date, and, in the case of partial termination, the portion to be terminated. However, if the HHS awarding agency or pass-through entity determines in the case of partial termination that the reduced or modified portion of the Federal award or subaward will not accomplish the purposes for which the Federal award was made, the HHS awarding agency or pass-through entity may terminate the Federal award in its entirety.

7. Reporting of Foreign Taxes (International/Foreign projects only)

A. Valued Added Tax (VAT) and Customs Duties – Customs and import duties, consular fees, customs surtax, valued added taxes, and other related charges are hereby authorized as an allowable cost for costs incurred for non-host governmental entities operating where no applicable tax exemption exists. This waiver does not apply to countries where a bilateral agreement (or similar legal document) is already in place providing applicable tax exemptions and it is not applicable to Ministries of Health. Successful applicants will receive information on VAT requirements via their Notice of Award.

B. The U.S. Department of State requires that agencies collect and report information on the amount of taxes assessed, reimbursed and not reimbursed by a foreign government against commodities financed with funds appropriated by the U.S. Department of State, Foreign Operations and Related Programs Appropriations Act (SFOAA) ("United States foreign assistance funds"). Outlined below are the specifics of this requirement:

1) Annual Report: The recipient must submit a report on or before November 16 for each foreign country on the amount of foreign taxes charged, as of September 30 of the same year, by a foreign government on commodity purchase transactions valued at 500 USD or more financed with United States foreign assistance funds under this grant during the prior United States fiscal year (October 1 – September 30), and the amount reimbursed and unreimbursed by the foreign government. [Reports are required even if the recipient did not pay any taxes during the reporting period.]

2) Quarterly Report: The recipient must quarterly submit a report on the amount of foreign taxes charged by a foreign government on commodity purchase transactions valued at 500 USD or more financed with United States foreign assistance funds under this grant. This report shall be submitted no later than two weeks following the end of each quarter: April 15, July 15, October 15 and January 15.

3) Terms: For purposes of this clause:

"Commodity" means any material, article, supplies, goods, or equipment;

"Foreign government" includes any foreign government entity;

"Foreign taxes" means value-added taxes and custom duties assessed by a foreign government on a commodity. It does not include foreign sales taxes.

4) Where: Submit the reports to the Director and Deputy Director of the CDC office in the country(ies) in which you are carrying out the activities associated with this cooperative agreement. In countries where there is no CDC office, send reports to VATreporting@cdc.gov.

5) Contents of Reports: The reports must contain:

a. recipient name;

b. contact name with phone, fax, and e-mail;

c. agreement number(s) if reporting by agreement(s);

d. reporting period;

e. amount of foreign taxes assessed by each foreign government;

f. amount of any foreign taxes reimbursed by each foreign government;

g. amount of foreign taxes unreimbursed by each foreign government.

6) Subagreements. The recipient must include this reporting requirement in all applicable subgrants and other subagreements.

Section VII. Agency Contacts

We encourage inquiries concerning this funding opportunity and welcome the opportunity to answer questions from potential applicants.

Application Submission Contacts

Grants.gov Customer Support (Questions regarding Grants.gov registration and submission, downloading or navigating forms)
Contact Center Phone: 800-518-4726
Email: support@grants.gov
Hours: 24 hours a day, 7 days a week; closed on Federal holidays

eRA Commons Help Desk (Questions regarding eRA Commons registration, tracking application status, post submission issues, FFR submission)
Phone: 301-402-7469 or 866-504-9552 (Toll Free)
TTY: 301-451-5939
Email: commons@od.nih.gov
Hours: Monday - Friday, 7am - 8pm U.S. Eastern Time

Agency Contacts:

Scientific/Research Contact

Jocelyn Patterson Mosley, MPH, MA, LPC
Extramural Research Program Office
Office of the Associate Director of Science
National Center for HIV, Viral Hepatitis, STD and TB Prevention
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
1600 Clifton Road, MS US8-1
Atlanta, GA 30333
Telephone: 404-639-6437
Email: jpatterson@cdc.gov

Peer Review Contact

Gregory Anderson, MPH, MS
Extramural Research Program Office
Office of the Associate Director of Science
National Center for HIV, Viral Hepatitis, STD and TB Prevention
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
1600 Clifton Road, MS US8-1
Atlanta, GA 30333
Telephone: 404-718-8833
Email: GAnderson@cdc.gov

Financial/Grants Management Contact

Sharon Cassell
Office of Financial Services/Office of Grants Services
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
1600 Clifton Road, MS TV-2
Atlanta, GA 30341
Telephone: 770-488-2703
Email: scassell@cdc.gov

Section VIII. Other Information

Other CDC Notices of Funding Opportunities can be found at www.grants.gov.

All awards are subject to the terms and conditions, cost principles, and other considerations described in the HHS Grants Policy Statement.

Authority and Regulations

Awards are made under the authorization of Sections of the Public Health Service Act as amended and under the Code of Federal Regulations.

Public Health Service Act, Section 317(k)(2) [42 USC 247b(k)(2)], Section 318 [42 USC 247(c)], and Section 301(a) [42 U.S.C. 241(a)], as amended.