

Annex 1- Partnership for Youth Program- Questions and answers

1. Page 30 Section 8 issues instructions on source, origin and component of goods. “Origin” as a concept has been eliminated by the new 22 CFR 228, so is there revised language that needs to be substituted here?

Following the issuance of the RFA, ADS 303 was revised to include among other revisions the revised pre award certifications and Assurances. Please follow the link below to find these revised documents:

<http://transition.usaid.gov/policy/ads/300/303mav.pdf>

2. Are fees allowed under sub-contracts to prime awards and if so, under what conditions?

Please refer to OMB Circular A-122 for information associated with allowable and unallowable costs under the RFA.

3. On Page 38-39, the text under heading (vii) Past Performance discusses information required to demonstrate applicants’ past performance. Does USAID expect this information to be included in both the narrative within the 20 page limit of the technical application and Appendix A or only within Appendix A?

Applicants are required to include information on Past Performance under the technical application. Additional information that is relevant to Past Performance should be included under Appendix A.

4. Sub-objective #6 on p. 8 refers to improved facilities for youth activities at the local and national levels. Does the Mission expect a portion of the program budget to be dedicated to facilities upgrades? If so, does the Mission have a percentage of the budget in mind to be dedicated to infrastructure improvements?

USAID does not expect a portion of the Partnerships for Youth budget to go towards facilities upgrades. USAID will put aside separate funds through a separate program for renovation and construction of youth clubs.

5. The indicators provided on page 18 of the RFA are labeled “Illustrative Indicators.” Should all of these indicators be included in the applicants PMP, or is there room to modify, add, or eliminate some of these illustrative indicators?

The indicators provided on page 18 are only illustrative. The applicant can propose different indicators should the applicant feel that other indicators would more appropriately measure their proposed program.

6. Is a performance or impact evaluation conducted by a separate contractor expected for the Partnerships for Youth project.

Through a separate contract, USAID will conduct a mid-term and final performance evaluation for the program. A performance or impact evaluation is not expected to be funded through this project.

7. Page 39 of the RFA states that applicants must submit a list of the five references, as well as similar information for major partners. Can you confirm that applicants can submit 5 references for the prime and 5 additional references for each major partner?

Yes.