U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Postsecondary Education Washington, D.C. 20202



Fiscal Year 2025

Application for Grants under the Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education – Special Projects (FIPSE-SP) Program

ALN: 84.116J

Form Approved

OMB No. 1894-0006, Expiration Date: 6/30/2027

DATED MATERIAL - OPEN IMMEDIATELY

CLOSING DATE: 12/03/2025

Table of Contents

	Page #
DEAR APPLICANT LETTER	1
COMPETITION HIGHLIGHTS	3
GRANTS.GOV SUBMISSION PROCEDURES AND TIPS FOR APPICANTS	8
APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS	13
NOTICE INVITING APPLICATIONS	15
PROGRAM AUTHORITY AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS	58
EO 12372, INTERGOVERNMENTAL REVIEW OF FEDERAL PROGRAMS	59
PEFORMANCE MEASURES	60
INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE APPLICATION	61
SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PROJECT NARRATIVE	64
APPLICATION CHECKLIST	69
PAPERWORK BURDEN STATEMENT	70



United States Department of Education

OFFICE OF POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

November 12, 2025

Dear Applicant:

Thank you for your interest in the fiscal year (FY) 2025 Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education – Special Projects (FIPSE-SP) program. This grant competition is administered by the Office of Postsecondary Education at the U.S. Department of Education (the Department). The purpose of the FIPSE-SP program is to provide grants to institutions of higher education (IHEs), combinations of such institutions, and other public and private nonprofit institutions and agencies, as the Secretary deems necessary, to support innovative projects concerning one or more areas of national need identified by the Secretary. This competition focuses on supporting four (4) areas of national need – 1) advancing the understanding and use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in postsecondary education, 2) promoting civil discourse on college and university campuses, 3) promoting accreditation reform, and 4) supporting capacity-building for high-quality short-term programs.

In order to support these four (4) crucial needs, this competition includes seven (7) absolute priorities under which applicants can apply: two (2) priorities dedicated to advancing the understanding and use of AI in education (Absolute Priorities 1 and 2), one (1) priority dedicated to promoting civil discourse on college and university campuses (Absolute Priority 3), two (2) priorities within promoting accreditation reform (Absolute Priorities 4 and 5), and two (2) priorities for capacity-building for high-quality short-term programs (Absolute Priority 6 and 7). The competition also includes two (2) competitive preference priorities in the area of promoting civil discourse on college and university campuses. The Department intends to award \$50 million to advance AI in Education, \$60 million to promote civil discourse on college and university campuses, \$7 million to support accreditation reform, and \$50 million to develop high-quality short-term programs.

For information on the absolute priorities, competitive preference priorities, selection criteria, and other program and competition details, refer to the *Federal Register* Notice Inviting Applications (NIA) published on November 12, 2025, and this application package. This application package contains the instructions and forms needed to submit a complete application for the FIPSE-SP program. Applications for FY 2025 grants under the FIPSE-SP program must be submitted electronically using Grants.gov at http://www.grants.gov. Applicants are required to follow the Revised Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs published in the Federal Register on August 29, 2025 (90 FR 42234), and available at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/08/29/2025-16571/common-instructions-and-information-for-applicants-to-department-of-education-discretionary-grant.

You are reminded that the NIA published in the *Federal Register* is the official document and you should not rely upon any information that is inconsistent with the guidance contained within the official document. We also encourage applicants to review the "Competition Highlights" found in this application package for an overview of important items.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Stacey Slijepcevic at <u>FIPSE-SP@ed.gov</u> or 202-453-6150. We appreciate your interest in the FIPSE-SP program and look forward to receiving your application.

Sincerely, /S/

David Barker Assistant Secretary for Postsecondary Education

Competition Highlights

- 1. The application deadline is 12/3/2025, at 11:59:59 p.m. Eastern Standard Time.
- 2. **Eligible Applicants:** Institutions of higher education (as defined in section 101 of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA)), consortia of such institutions, and other public and private nonprofit institutions and agencies including State higher education agencies as defined in 20 U.S.C. 1003(22). An eligible entity may submit only one (1) grant application under an area of national need as the lead applicant. An entity can be included as a partner in multiple applications.

Note: The eligible entity may apply to all four (4) areas of national need as the lead applicant but must submit a separate grant application for each area of national need.

Note: An applicant that is a nonprofit organization may, under 34 CFR 75.51, demonstrate its nonprofit status by providing:

- a. Proof that the Internal Revenue Service currently recognizes the applicant as an organization to which contributions are tax deductible under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code; (2) a statement from a State taxing body or the State attorney general certifying that the organization is a nonprofit organization operating within the State and that no part of its net earnings may lawfully benefit any private shareholder or individual;
- b. A certified copy of the applicant's certificate of incorporation or similar document if it clearly establishes the nonprofit status of the applicant; or
- c. Any item described above if that item applies to a State or national parent organization, together with a statement by the State or parent organization that the applicant is a local nonprofit affiliate. The Higher Education Opportunity Act (HEOA) of 2008 amended the authorized grant activities under Part A of Title V of the HEA (individual development grants) to include innovative and customized instruction course development; articulation agreement and student support program activities designed to facilitate student transfer from two-year to four-year institutions; activities that improve student financial and economic literacy; and activities to develop distance education technologies.
- 3. **Priorities:** The notice contains seven (7) absolute priorities under which applicants can apply: two (2) priorities (Absolute Priorities 1 and 2) dedicated to advancing the understanding and use of AI in education, one (1) priority (Absolute Priority 3) dedicated to promoting civil discourse on college and university campuses, two (2) priorities (Absolute Priorities 4 and 5) within promoting accreditation reform, and two (2) priorities (Absolute Priorities 6 and 7) for capacity-building for high-quality short-term programs. Additionally, within Absolute Priority 3 there are two (2) competitive preference priorities (CPP). Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), we will award an additional ten points to an application that meets each of these competitive preference priorities. Applicants may receive competitive preference priority points for both CPP 1 and CPP 2.

The Priorities are as follows:

- (1) Advancing the Understanding and Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education
 - a) **Absolute Priority 1:** Advancing Artificial Intelligence to Improve Educational Outcomes of Postsecondary Students
 - b) **Absolute Priority 2:** Ensuring Future Educators and Students Have Foundational Exposure to AI and Computer Science

(2) Promoting Civil Discourse on College and University Campuses

- a) **Absolute Priority 3:** Promoting Civil Discourse on College and University Campuses
 - i. **Competitive Preference Priority 1 (0 or 10 points):** Civic Institutes at Institutions of Higher Education
 - ii. Competitive Preference Priority 2 (0 or 10 points): Non-IHE Nonprofit Organizations That Educate Students to Promote Freedom and Engage in Civil Discourse

(3) Promoting Accreditation Reform

- a) Absolute Priority 4: Supporting Institutions in Changing Accrediting Agencies
- b) Absolute Priority 5: Supporting the Creation of New Accrediting Agencies

(4) Capacity-Building for High-Quality Short-Term Programs

- a) Absolute Priority 6: Creation of New High-Quality Short-Term Programs
- b) Absolute Priority 7: Expansion of Existing High-Quality Short-Term Programs
- 4. **Application Components:** This application package contains detailed instructions for every required component of your application. It also includes an Application Checklist for your convenience. Note: If all required documents are not submitted with your application, it may be deemed ineligible.
- 5. **Abstract:** Applicants must indicate in the abstract which area of national need the application addresses, how the proposed project meets the absolute priorities, and, if applicable, the competitive preference priorities. The abstract narrative should identify the partner entities the applicant will be working with, the target population (e.g., faculty, staff, students, etc.), the proposed activities to be conducted during the 4-year performance period, and the anticipated results.

6. Partner Entities

- a. Please list each partner entity in the abstract, as well as the type of institution/organization (i.e., institution of higher education (IHE), nonprofit organization, local education agency (LEA), state education agency (SEA), etc.).
- b. This competition requires a formal, signed commitment letter from each partner institution/organization. Commitment letters should briefly describe what services and resources partners will be contributing to the project.
- c. **Subgrantees:** Under 34 CFR 75.708(b) and (c), a grantee under this competition may award subgrants to directly carry out project activities described in its application to the following types of entities: IHEs and public and private nonprofit institutions and agencies including State higher education agencies as defined in 20 U.S.C. 1003(22).

- 7. **Budget Narrative:** Applicants must complete a supporting budget narrative for each line item on the ED-524 form.
- 8. **Indirect Cost Information:** This program uses the waiver authority of section 437(d)(1) of GEPA to limit a grantee's indirect cost reimbursement to 8 percent of a modified total direct cost base. We are establishing this indirect cost limit for the FY 2025 grant competition and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this competition in accordance with section 437(d)(1) of GEPA. For more information regarding indirect costs, or to obtain a negotiated indirect cost rate, please see https://www.ed.gov/about/ed-offices/ofo/indirect-cost-group-icg.
- 9. Cost Sharing or Matching: This program does not require cost sharing or matching.
- 10. **Supplement-Not-Supplant:** This program does not involve supplement-not-supplant funding requirements.
- 11. **Open Licensing Requirements:** Unless an exception applies, if you are awarded a grant under this competition, you will be required to openly license to the public grant deliverables created in whole, or in part, with Department grant funds and that constitute new copyrightable works. When the deliverable consists of modifications to pre-existing works, the license extends only to those modifications that can be separately identified and only to the extent that open licensing is permitted under the terms of any licenses or other legal restrictions on the use of pre-existing works. Additionally, a grantee or subgrantee that is awarded competitive grant funds must have a plan to disseminate these public grant deliverables. This dissemination plan can be developed and submitted after your application has been reviewed and selected for funding. For additional information on the open licensing requirements please refer to 2 CFR 3474.20.
- 12. **Selection Criteria:** The selection criteria for this competition are from 34 CFR 75.210. The Project Narrative is where the applicant provides detailed responses to each selection criterion, as the project pertains to the area of national need and Absolute Priority or Priorities the applicant is responding to, and if applicable, the responses to one or both of the Competitive Preference Priorities in Absolute Priority 3.
 - a. Applicants should address each of the selection criteria separately for each proposed activity. The selection criteria are worth a total of 100 points; the maximum score for each criterion is noted in parentheses. If an applicant responds to the Competitive Preference Priorities in Absolute Priority 3, a maximum of 10 additional points under each competitive preference priority will be awarded, for a total score of up to 120 points.
 - b. For the Project Narrative, applicants should respond to the selection criteria in the same order as presented in the NIA. Applicants should clearly label each selection criterion and separately address each of the criterion. The Project Narrative should be written in a concise and clear manner.

13. Format of Application

a. We recommend that you limit the project narrative to no more than 35 pages and use the standards outlined in the Common Instructions. The recommended 35-page limit applies only to the application narrative and does not apply to Part I, the cover sheet (SF 424 forms); Part II, the budget section, including the budget narrative justification; Part IV, the assurances, and certifications; or the one-page abstract, the resumes, the bibliography, or the letters of support.

- b. We recommend that any application addressing the competitive preference priorities within Absolute Priority 3 include no more than three additional pages for the priority, or priorities, if addressed.
- c. All attachments must be in PDF format only. Other types of files will not be accepted. If you submit your application electronically, you must upload any narrative sections and all other attachments to your application as files in a PDF (Portable Document) read-only, non-modifiable format. Do not upload an interactive or fillable PDF file. If you upload a file type other than a read-only, non-modifiable PDF or submit a password-protected file, we will not review that material. Please note that this could result in your application not being considered for funding because the material in question--for example, the application narrative--is critical to a meaningful review of your proposal. For that reason, it is important to allow yourself adequate time to upload all material as PDF files. The Department will not convert material from other formats to PDF.

14. Electronic Submission of Applications

- a. An eligible entity may submit only one (1) grant application under an area of national need as the lead applicant. An entity can be included as a partner in multiple applications.
 - i. Note: The eligible entity may apply to all four (4) areas of national need as the lead applicant but must submit a separate grant application for each area of national need.
- b. Applications must be submitted electronically through Grants.gov at: http://www.grants.gov. Applicants are required to follow the Revised Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs published in the Federal Register on August 29, 2025 (90 FR 42234), and available at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/08/29/2025-16571/common-instructions-and-information-for-applicants-to-department-of-education-discretionary-grant, which contain information on how to submit an application.
- c. The Grants.gov site does not allow applicants to "un-submit" applications. Therefore, if you discover that changes or additions are needed once your application has been accepted and validated by the Department, you must "re-submit" the application. Please know that, if the Department receives duplicate applications, we will accept and process the application with the latest "date/time received" validation.
- d. The application must be received on or before the deadline date and time. <u>Late</u> <u>applications will not be accepted.</u> We suggest that you submit your application several days before the deadline. The Department must adhere to the established deadline to ensure fairness to all applicants. No changes or additions to an application will be accepted after the deadline date.

15. Applicant Notifications

- a. **Notice to Successful Applicants:** The Department's Office of Legislation and Congressional Affairs will inform the Congress regarding applications approved for new program grants. Successful applicants will receive award notices by mail or e-mail shortly after the Congress is notified. No funding information will be released before the Congress is notified.
- b. **Notice to Unsuccessful Applicants:** Unsuccessful applicants will be notified in writing following the notice to successful applicants.

- 16. Applicants are reminded that the NIA published in the Federal Register is the official document. You should not rely upon any information that is inconsistent with the guidance contained within the official document.
- 17. Pre-application technical assistance will be provided by the program office. Additional information will be posted under the "Related Documents" tab in Grants.gov and may also be obtained by contacting Dr. Stacey Slijepcevic at FIPSE-SP@ed.gov.

IMPORTANT – PLEASE READ FIRST U.S. Department of Education Grants.gov Submission Procedures and Tips for Applicants

To facilitate your use of Grants.gov, this document includes important submission procedures you need to be aware of to ensure your application is received in a timely manner and accepted by the U.S. Department of Education (Department).

Browser Support

The latest versions of Microsoft Internet Explorer (IE), Mozilla Firefox, Google Chrome, and Apple Safari are supported for use with Grants.gov. However, these web browsers undergo frequent changes and updates, so we recommend you have the latest version when using Grants.gov. Legacy versions of these web browsers may be functional, but you may experience issues. Grants.gov no longer provides support for Microsoft Internet Explorer 9 or below.

For additional information or updates, please see the Grants.gov Browser information in the Applicant FAQs: https://www.grants.gov/applicants/applicant-faqs#browser.

ATTENTION - Workspace, Adobe Forms and PDF Files

Grants.gov applicants can apply online using <u>Workspace</u>. Workspace is a shared, online environment where members of a grant team may simultaneously access and edit different web forms within an application. For each funding opportunity announcement (FOA), you can create individual instances of a Workspace.

Below is an overview of applying on Grants.gov. For access to complete instructions on how to apply for opportunities, refer to: https://www.grants.gov/applicants/workspace-overview.html.

- 1) Create a Workspace: Creating a Workspace allows you to complete it online and route it through your organization for review before submitting.
- 2) Complete a Workspace: Add participants to the Workspace to work on the application together, complete all the required forms online or by downloading PDF versions, and check for errors before submission. The Workspace progress bar will display the state of your application process as you apply. As you apply using Workspace, you may click the blue question mark icon near the upper-right corner of each page to access context-sensitive help.
 - a. Adobe Reader: If you decide not to apply by filling out web forms you can download individual PDF forms to <u>upload in Workspace</u>. The individual PDF forms can be downloaded and saved to your local device storage, network drive(s), or external drives, then accessed through Adobe Reader.

 NOTE: Visit the Adobe Software Compatibility page on Grants.gov to download the appropriate version of the software at:

 https://www.grants.gov/applicants/adobe-software-compatibility.

- b. Mandatory Fields in Forms: Fields marked with an asterisk and have a different background color are mandatory fields. These fields must be completed to successfully submit your application.
- c. Complete the SF-424 Form First: The fields in this form are designed to prepopulate common mandatory fields across other forms, such as the applicant's name, address, and Unique Entity Identifier (UEI). Once this form is completed, the information will transfer/pre-populate to the other mandatory fields in the forms.
- 3) Submit a Workspace: An application may be submitted through Workspace by clicking the Sign and Submit button on the Manage Workspace page, under the Forms tab. Grants.gov recommends submitting your application package at least 24-48 hours prior to the close date to provide you with time to correct any potential technical issues that may disrupt the application submission.
- 4) Track a Workspace Submission: After successfully submitting a Workspace application, a Grants.gov Tracking Number (GRANTXXXXXXXX) is automatically assigned to the application. The number will be listed on the Confirmation page that is generated after submission. Using the tracking number, access the Track My Application page under the Applicants tab or the Details tab in the submitted Workspace.

For additional training resources, including video tutorials, refer to https://www.grants.gov/applicants/applicant-training.html.

Helpful Reminders

1) **REGISTER EARLY** – Grants.gov registration involves many steps including registration on the System for Award Management (<u>SAM.gov</u>), which usually takes approximately 7 to 10 business days, but can take longer depending on the completeness and accuracy of the data entered into the SAM.gov database by an applicant. You may begin working on your application while completing the registration process, but you cannot submit until all of the Registration Steps are complete.

NOTE: It will take 24-48 hours once your SAM.gov registration is active before the information becomes available in Grants.gov, and you can submit an application through Grants.gov. For detailed information on the Registration Steps, please go to: https://www.grants.gov/applicants/applicant-registration. Please note that your organization will need to update its SAM.gov registration annually.

To register in SAM.gov, click on the "Get Started" link under the "Register Your Entity..." heading in SAM.gov. Grantees, and other entities wanting to do business with the U.S. Department of Education (e.g., entities applying for a grant), that are not already registered in SAM.gov must complete the "Register Entity" registration option and NOT the "Get a Unique Entity ID" option. The "Get a Unique Entity ID" option, which is not a full registration, is only available to entities for reporting purposes. Failing to complete the "Register Entity" option may result in loss of funding, loss of applicant eligibility, and/or delays in receiving a grant award.

Information about SAM.gov is available at www.SAM.gov. To further assist you with registering in SAM.gov or updating your existing SAM.gov registration, see the Quick Start Guide for Grant Registrations and the Entity Registration Video at https://sam.gov/content/entity-registration.

2) SUBMIT EARLY – We strongly recommend that you do not wait until the last day to submit your application. Grants.gov will put a date/time stamp on your application and then process it after it is fully uploaded. The time it takes to upload an application will vary depending on several factors including the size of the application and the speed of your Internet connection, and the time it takes Grants.gov to process the application will vary as well. If Grants.gov rejects your application (see step three below), you will need to resubmit successfully to Grants.gov before 11:59:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the deadline date.

You must provide the UEI on your application that was used when you registered as an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) on Grants.gov. This UEI is assigned to your organization in SAM.gov at the time your organization registers in SAM.gov. If you do not enter the UEI assigned by SAM.gov on your application, Grants.gov will reject your application.

3) **VERIFY SUBMISSION IS OK** – You will want to verify that Grants.gov received your application submission on time and that it was validated successfully. To see the date/time your application was received, login to Grants.gov and click on the Track My Application link. For a successful submission, the date/time received should be earlier than 11:59:59 p.m. Eastern Time, on the deadline date, AND the application status should be: Validated, Received by Agency, or Agency Tracking Number Assigned. Once the Department receives your application from Grants.gov, an Agency Tracking Number (PR/award number) will be assigned to your application and will be available for viewing on Grants.gov's <u>Track My Application</u> link.

If the date/time received is later than 11:59:59 p.m. Eastern Time, on the deadline date, your application is late. If your application has a status of "Received" it is still awaiting validation by Grants.gov. Once validation is complete, the status will either change to "Validated" or "Rejected with Errors." If the status is "Rejected with Errors," your application has not been received successfully. Some of the reasons Grants.gov may reject an application can be found on the Grants.gov site: https://www.grants.gov/applicants/encountering-error-messages.html. For more detailed information on troubleshooting Adobe errors, you can review the Adobe Reader Software Tip Sheet at: https://www.grants.gov/applicants/adobe-software-compatibility.html. If you discover your application is late or has been rejected, please see the instructions below. Note: You will receive a series of confirmations both online and via e- mail about the status of your application. Please do not rely solely on e-mail to confirm whether your application has been received timely and validated successfully.

4) Submission Problems

If you have problems submitting to Grants.gov before the closing date, please contact Grants.gov Customer Support at 1-800-518-4726 or email at: support@grants.gov or access the Grants.gov Self-Service Knowledge Base web portal at: https://gditshared.servicenowservices.com/hhs grants.

a) The Department discourages paper applications, but if electronic submission is not possible (e.g., you do not have access to the internet), (1) you must provide a prior written notification that you intend to submit a paper application and (2) your paper application must be postmarked by the application deadline date. Your prior written notification may be submitted by email or by mail to the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of the competition Notice Inviting Applications (NIA). If you submit your notification by email, it must be received by the Department no later than 14 calendar days before the application deadline date. If you mail your notification to the Department, it must be postmarked no later than 14 calendar days before the application deadline date. (Refer to the NIA for detailed instructions.)

Helpful Hints When Working with Grants.gov

Please go to https://www.grants.gov/support for help with Grants.gov. For additional tips related to submitting grant applications, please refer to the Grants.gov Applicant FAQs found at this Grants.gov link: https://www.grants.gov/applicants/applicant-faqs.html.

Slow Internet Connections

When using a slow internet connection, such as a dial-up connection, to upload and submit your application, it can take significantly longer than when you are connected to the Internet with a high-speed connection, e.g., cable modem/DSL/T1. While times will vary depending upon the size of your application, it can take a few minutes to a few hours to complete your grant submission using a dial up connection. Failure to fully upload an application by the deadline date and time will result in your application being marked late in the G5 system. If you do not have access to a high-speed internet connection, you may want to consider following the instructions in the <u>Federal Register</u> notice to obtain an exception to the electronic submission requirement no later than 14 calendar days before the application deadline date. (See the NIA for detailed instructions.)

Attaching Files – Additional Tips

Please note the following tips related to attaching files to your application:

• When you submit your application electronically, you must upload any narrative sections and all other attachments to your application as files in either Portable Document Format (PDF) or Microsoft Word. Although applicants have the option of uploading any narrative sections and all other attachments to their application in either PDF or Microsoft Word, we **recommend** applicants submit all documents as

read-only flattened PDFs, meaning any fillable PDF files must be saved and submitted as non-fillable PDF files and not as interactive or fillable PDF files, to better ensure applications are processed in a more timely, accurate, and efficient manner.

- Grants.gov cannot process an application that includes two or more files that have the same name within a grant submission. Therefore, each file uploaded to your application package should have a unique file name.
- When attaching files, applicants should follow the guidelines established by Grants.gov on the size and content of file names. Uploaded file names must be fewer than 50 characters.
- And, in general, applicants should not use any special characters. However, Grants.gov does allow for the following UTF-8 characters when naming your attachments: A-Z, a-z, 0-9, underscore, hyphen, space, period, parenthesis, curly braces, square brackets, ampersand, tilde, exclamation point, comma, semi colon, apostrophe, at sign, number sign, dollar sign, percent sign, plus sign, and equal sign. Applications submitted that do not comply with the Grants.gov guidelines will be rejected at Grants.gov and not forwarded to the Department.
- Applicants should limit the size of their file attachments. Documents submitted that
 contain graphics and/or scanned material often greatly increase the size of the file
 attachments and can result in difficulties opening the files. For reference, the
 average discretionary grant application package with all attachments is less than 5
 MB. Therefore, you may want to check the total size of your package before
 submission.

Application Transmittal Instructions

ATTENTION ELECTRONIC APPLICANTS: Please note that you must follow the application procedures as described in the <u>Federal Register</u> notice announcing the grant competition.

<u>This program requires the electronic submission</u> of applications; specific requirements and waiver instructions can be found in the <u>Federal Register</u> notice.

According to the instructions found in the <u>Federal Register</u> notice, those requesting and qualifying for an exception to the electronic submission requirement may submit an application by mail, commercial carrier, or hand delivery.

If you want to apply for a grant and be considered for funding, you must meet the following deadline requirements:

Applications Submitted Electronically:

You must submit your grant application through the Internet using the software provided on the Grants.gov Web site (http://www.grants.gov) by 11:59:59 p.m. Eastern Time on or before the deadline date of December 3, 2025.

If you submit your application through the Internet via the Grants.gov Web site, you will receive an automatic acknowledgement when we receive your application.

For more information on using Grants.gov, please refer to the "Notice Inviting Applications" that was published in the <u>Federal Register</u> or visit <u>http://www.grants.gov</u>.

Submission of Paper Applications by Mail:

If you qualify for an exception to the electronic submission requirement, you may submit your application in paper format by mail (through the U.S. Postal Service or a commercial carrier). You must mail the original and two copies of your application, on or before the application deadline date, to the Department at the following address:

U.S. Department of Education
OFO/G5 Functional Application Team
Mail Stop 5C231
Attention: Assistance Listing Number (ALN) 84.116J
400 Maryland Avenue, S.W.
Washington, DC 20202-4260

You must show proof of mailing consisting of one of the following:

- (1) A legibly dated U.S. Postal Service postmark.
- (2) A legible mail receipt with the date of mailing stamped by the U.S. Postal Service.
- (3) A dated shipping label, invoice, or receipt from a commercial carrier.
- (4) Any other proof of mailing acceptable to the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education.

If you mail your application through the U.S. Postal Service, we do not accept either of the following as proof of mailing:

- (1) A private metered postmark.
- (2) A mail receipt that is not dated by the U.S. Postal Service.

If your application is postmarked after the application deadline date, we will **not** consider your application.

<u>Note</u>: The U.S. Postal Service does not uniformly provide a dated postmark. Before relying on this method, you should check with your local post office.

Note for Mail Delivery of Paper Applications:

If you mail your application to the Department—

- (1) You must indicate on the envelope—and, if not provided by the Department, in Item 11 of the SF 424—the Assistance Listing Number (ALN), including suffix letter, if any, of the competition under which you are submitting your application; and
- (2) The G5 Functional Application Team will notify you of the Department's receipt of your grant application. If you do not receive this notification within 15 business days from the application deadline date, you should contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of the competition NIA.

Late Applications:

If your application is late, we will notify you that we will not consider the application.

Notice Inviting Applications

4000-01-U

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Applications for New Awards; Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education - Special Projects (FIPSE - SP)

AGENCY: Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Education (Department) is issuing a notice inviting applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 2025 for the Fund for the Improvement of Postsecondary Education Special Projects, Assistance Listing Number 84.116J. This notice relates to the approved information collection under OMB control number 1894-0006.

DATES:

Applications Available: [INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: December 3, 2025.

Deadline for Intergovernmental Review: [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS

AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES:

For the addresses for obtaining and submitting an application, please refer to our Common Instructions for Applicants to

Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs, published in the Federal Register on August 29, 2025 (90 FR 42234), and available at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/08/29/2025-16571/common-instructions-and-information-for-applicants-to-department-of-education-discretionary-grant.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stacey Slijepcevic, U.S.

Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW. Telephone:

(202) 453-6150. Email: Stacey.Slijepcevic@ed.gov.

If you are deaf, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability and wish to access telecommunications relay services, please dial 7-1-1.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Full Text of Announcement

I. Funding Opportunity Description

<u>Purpose of Program</u>: The FIPSE Special Projects Program provides grants to institutions of higher education (IHEs), combinations of such institutions, and other public and private nonprofit institutions and agencies, as the Secretary deems necessary, to support innovative projects concerning one or more areas of national need identified by the Secretary. This competition focuses on supporting four areas of national need - 1) advancing the understanding of and use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technology in postsecondary education, 2) promoting civil discourse on college and university campuses, 3) promoting

accreditation reform, and 4) supporting capacity-building for high-quality short-term programs.

In order to support these four crucial needs, this competition includes seven absolute priorities under which applicants can apply: two priorities dedicated to advancing the understanding and use of AI in postsecondary education (Absolute Priorities 1 and 2), one priority dedicated to promoting civil discourse on college and university campuses (Absolute Priority 3), two priorities within promoting accreditation reform (Absolute Priorities 4 and 5), and two priorities for capacity-building for high-quality short-term programs (Absolute Priorities 6 and 7). The Department intends to award \$50 million to advance AI in Education, \$60 million to promote civil discourse on college and university campuses, \$7 million to support accreditation reform, and \$50 million for high-quality short-term programs. The Department may adjust these estimates based on interest and quality of applications.

<u>Background</u>: Section 744 of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA), authorizes the Secretary to make grants to institutions of higher education, or consortia thereof, and such other public agencies and nonprofit organizations as the Secretary deems necessary for innovative projects concerning one or more areas of particular national need identified by the Secretary. Section 744(c) identifies a list of minimum areas of

national need, and this is the first competition under section 744(c)(2) as revised by Higher Education Opportunity Act of 2008 (HEOA). In order to ensure timely grant awards, the Secretary has decided to forgo public comment on the priorities in accordance with section 437(d)(1) of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA).

Advancing the Understanding and Use of AI in Postsecondary Education: President Trump's Executive Order Removing Barriers to American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence, Executive Order 14179 (Jan. 23, 2025), says "[w]ith the right Government policies, we can solidify our position as the global leader in AI and secure a brighter future for all Americans." In July 2025, the Department took steps to support American Leadership in Artificial Intelligence by publishing the Proposed Priority and Definitions on Advancing Artificial Intelligence in Education (90 FR 34203). As noted in this Notice of Proposed Priority, "[AI] is rapidly reshaping the future of education, work, learning, and daily life. As AI becomes more integrated into the tools and systems that shape elementary, secondary, and postsecondary education, it is increasingly important for students to develop AI literacy. A strong foundation in AI literacy will help ensure students are prepared to navigate and contribute to a society where these technologies play a growing role in decision-making, communication, innovation, and career

readiness." In alignment with Executive Order 14179 and in recognition of the potential for AI to improve postsecondary teaching and learning, the Secretary has identified using artificial intelligence to support opportunities in postsecondary education as a particular area of national need. Additionally, President Trump's Executive Order Advancing Artificial Intelligence Education for American Youth, Executive Order 14277 (Apr. 23, 2025), highlights the role postsecondary education can play by better preparing future and current teachers to teach and use AI, noting that "[b]y establishing a strong framework that integrates early student exposure with comprehensive teacher training and other resources for workforce development, we can ensure that every American has the opportunity to learn about AI from the earliest stages of their educational journey through postsecondary education, fostering a culture of innovation and critical thinking that will solidify our Nation's leadership in the AI-driven future."

Promoting Civil Discourse on College and University Campuses: Protesters have increasingly exercised disruptive tactics, including shouting down speakers (the heckler's veto) and blocking access to campus events, on our Nation's college and university campuses. Civil discourse at America's colleges and universities has been undermined by campus takeovers, violent riots, and even a recent high-profile political assassination. The core mission of our educational institutions - the pursuit of truth - requires that individuals be able to state their views freely and fully, without fear. It requires that students and faculty accept that people will inevitably disagree on controversial issues of the utmost importance and complexity. Pursuing truth requires the recognition that students and faculty benefit from engaging with those who disagree with us with honesty, dignity, and respect. This priority supports projects that are designed to promote civil discourse on college and university campuses through activities such as seminars, speaker series, conferences, debates, workshop training events, visiting professorships and other focused learning opportunities that include and promote a range of views and embrace dialogue and understanding. For students to have access to the best learning opportunities, learning environments must welcome and engage viewpoint diversity in a manner that values thoughtful debate and freedom of speech. This funding

will provide an opportunity to support the cultivation of such environments on college and university campuses nationwide. The competitive preference priorities within this Absolute Priority are based on the Department's position that guidance and coordination from independent and interdisciplinary academic units dedicated to promoting civic thought have the potential to increase the effectiveness of these initiatives.

Promoting Accreditation Reform: Institutions of higher education must be accredited to receive title IV funding under the HEA, such as federal student loans and Pell Grants. The current accreditation process, both institutional and programmatic/specialized, is unnecessarily costly for colleges and universities, typically requiring tens of thousands of personnel hours and hundreds of thousands of dollars each year, expenses that are ultimately borne by students. For example, two universities classified as having very high research activity reported FY 2024 accreditation compliance costs of \$12 million and \$27 million, respectively.

In addition to being costly and burdensome, the accreditation process in many cases does not improve institutional or program quality. Oftentimes, institutions are required to jump through an extensive set of bureaucratic hoops

¹ Vanderbilt University & Washington University in St. Louis, (2025). "Easing the Burden: A Framework for Federal Regulatory Reform in Higher Education." White Paper. https://www.com/s/4rdzgs0lecy3tmfdedcx48q1jqd62poh

that have little to do with improving student outcomes or educational quality.² Many institutions and members of the public view the accreditation process as primarily a compliance exercise, rather than one focused on enhancing student outcomes.

Although institutions are permitted to change their accrediting agency under 34 CFR 600.11, the substantial financial burden, logistical hurdles, and heightened scrutiny involved often discourage them from do so, even when a different accreditor may better align with the institution's needs. The cost of accreditation itself is significant, encompassing staffing costs (administrator and faculty salaries and benefits), document preparation costs (professional service fees, printing, and mailing), compliance costs (meeting accreditation standards), site visits (travel, lodging, and related expenses), and direct accreditation fees (membership

_

² Woolston, P.J., (2012). The costs of institutional accreditation: A study of direct and indirect costs. Doctoral Dissertation. https://www.proquest.com/docview/1152182950?fromopenview=true&pq-origsite=gscholar&sourcetype=Dissertations%20&%20Theses.

² Burke, L., Kissel, A. Alacbay, A., & Beltramini, K., (2023). It's Time for Congress to Dismantle the Higher Education Accreditation Cartel. Washington, DC: The Heritage Foundation. https://www.heritage.org/education/report/its-time-congress-dismantle-the-higher-education-accreditation-cartel

² Senate HELP Committee, (2015). Higher Education Accreditation Concepts and Proposals. https://www.help.senate.gov/imo/media/Accreditation.pdf

³ In May 2025, the Department issued a Dear Colleague Letter eliminating unnecessary barriers for institutions seeking to change their accreditor. https://fsapartners.ed.gov/knowledge-center/library/dear-colleague-letters/2025-04-30/changes-approval-process-changing-accrediting-agencies

charges). Changing accrediting agencies often entails
maintaining dual accreditation expenses for a considerable
period, as institutions generally cannot allow accreditation to
lapse without risking critical benefits, including eligibility
for Federal financial aid and professional licensure pathways.

States and nonprofit organizations also incur substantial costs in launching new, separate and independent accreditors. These burdens inhibit new accreditors from entering the marketplace efficiently. Recent reporting suggests that the ongoing work in North Carolina and Florida to establish a new accrediting agency has been a costly enterprise, which could deter other entities from launching similar reform initiatives. A lack of accreditor options hampers innovation in the higher education marketplace that could improve student outcomes, increase return on investment to families and taxpayers, and improve institutional accountability.

Collectively, these enumerated challenges make it difficult for institutions to change accreditors, either because the costs are prohibitive or there is a lack of alternatives. This funding

_

⁴ Moody, J., (2023). Florida's Accreditation Shuffle Begins. *InsideHigherEd*. https://www.insidehighered.com/news/governance/accreditation/2023/08/30/flas-accreditation-shuffle-begins-one-college-gets-us

⁴ Gretzinger, E., (2025). How UNC Led a First-of-Its-Kind Plan to Shake Up College Accreditation. The Chronicle of Higher Education. https://www.chronicle.com/article/how-unc-led-a-first-of-its-kind-plan-to-shake-up-college-accreditation

opportunity will support institutions seeking to change accreditors, as well as emerging organizations working to become recognized accrediting agencies.

Capacity-Building for High-Quality Short-Term Programs: Not all workers need a traditional college degree to succeed in today's economy. Rather, many individuals are best served by high-value, short-term postsecondary programs closely aligned to workforce demand. These programs - which include microcredentials, and workforce certificates - can quickly provide individuals with the skillsets they need to pursue new and expanded career opportunities or advance through a Registered Apprenticeship program.

However, short-term programs can be costly for colleges to create and administer, particularly given the need to codesign short-term postsecondary programs with employers. These costs may prevent higher education institutions from offering, creating, or expanding the size of existing short-term programs. To address these issues, this competitive grant program will provide funding to institutions of higher education to expand their capacity to offer high-value, short-term postsecondary programs.

In July 2025, the President's One Big Beautiful Bill Act,
Pub. L. 119-21, established Workforce Pell Grants, a new program
to help students pay for high-quality, short-term programs. For

the award year beginning on July 1, 2026, eligible students enrolled in accredited programs at accredited postsecondary institutions that are a minimum of 8 weeks but less than 15 weeks; that are aligned to high-skill, high-wage, or in-demand industry sectors or occupations; that are portable and articulable to credit to support stackability and have strong completion rates, job placement rates, and earnings outcomes will receive Federal title IV grant funding. The Workforce Pell Grants program is designed to help support students gain immediate entry into the workforce. Yet, despite this new funding stream, some students may not be able to access highquality, short-term programs that qualify for Workforce Pell Grants because of a lack of program supply. Colleges and universities, especially those with limited resources, may struggle to offer high-quality, short-term programs at the scale that students demand, and even when they do, strict class-size caps can restrict enrollment. Developing and expanding Workforce Pell-eligible high-quality, short-term programs can be costly for institutions, as it often requires hiring additional faculty and staff as well and investing in machinery, technology, production supplies, and equipment. These costs are especially high in advanced manufacturing, healthcare, and engineering fields, where programs usually require expensive equipment that are not easily scalable. Additionally, institutions often

dedicate time and resources to develop and maintain close partnerships with employers and industry organizations in order to ensure the programs are aligned with the hiring requirements of businesses and keep pace with the evolving skill demands of industry. As a result, many students who want to enroll in a short-term program may not have a nearby institution offering an eligible option.

To address these challenges, this funding opportunity will allow institutions to develop and expand high-quality, short-term programs. These funds can be utilized on activities that are directly related to developing or modifying high-quality, short-term programs that meet the requirements for Workforce Pell Grants outlined in the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, as well as building capacity in existing short-term programs. Projects must be designed and executed in close collaboration with employers, to ensure that the resulting programs are responsive to industry demand.

Priorities: This notice contains seven absolute priorities across the four areas of national need established by the Secretary within this notice and two competitive preference priorities, in accordance with 34 CFR 75.105(b)(2)(vi). We are establishing these priorities for the fiscal year 2025 grant competition and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this competition, in

accordance with section 437(d)(1) of GEPA, 20 U.S.C. 1232(d)(1).

Absolute Priorities: For FY 2025 and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this competition, these priorities are absolute priorities.

Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(3), we consider only applications that meet one of these priorities.

These priorities are:

Absolute Priority 1: Advancing Artificial Intelligence to Improve Educational Outcomes of Postsecondary Students.

Priority: Projects or proposals to improve academic instruction and student learning, including efforts designed to assess the learning gains made by postsecondary students (section 744(c)(2)) of the HEA), through one or more of the following:

(a) Supporting the integration of AI literacy skills and concepts into teaching and learning practices to improve educational outcomes for students, including instruction about how to use AI responsibly, and how to detect AI generated disinformation or misinformation online; and

- (b) Partnering with State Educational Agencies (SEAs) or Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) to do one or more of the following:
- (i) use AI technology to provide high-quality instructional resources, high-impact tutoring, and college and career pathway exploration, advising, and navigation to improve educational

outcomes.

(ii) integrate AI-driven tools into classrooms to personalize learning, improve student outcomes, and support differentiated instruction. This integration may include, but is not limited to, adaptive learning technologies, virtual teaching assistants, tutoring, and data analytics tools to support student progress.

(iii) utilize AI in the classroom and/or for school operation efficiency, including but not limited to: improving teacher training and evaluation, reducing time-intensive administrative tasks, or improving instruction or services for students with disabilities.

Absolute Priority 2: Ensuring Future Educators and Students Have
Foundational Exposure to AI and Computer Science.

Priority: Projects or proposals to leverage AI to improve teacher preparation by doing one or more of the following:

- (a) Deliver AI and computer science credentials in rural communities;
- (b) Embed AI and computer science into an institution of higher education's general preservice or in-service teacher professional development or teacher preparation programs;
- (c) Provide additional support for teacher preparation programs

that are preparing future computer science educators in K-12 education;

- (d) Expand offerings of AI and computer science courses as part of an institution of higher education's general education and/or core curriculum;
- (e) Provide resources and support for the use of AI in teacher preparation programs;
- (f) Partner with SEAs and/or LEAs to provide resources to K-12 students in foundational computer science and AI literacy, including through professional development for educators; and
- (g) Partner with SEAs and/or LEAs to encourage the provision of dual-enrollment course opportunities so that students can earn postsecondary credentials and industry-recognized credentials in AI coursework concurrent with their high school education.

Absolute Priority 3: Promoting Civil Discourse on College and University Campuses

Priority: Projects that are designed to promote civil discourse on college and university campuses through activities such as seminars, speaker series, conferences, debates, workshops training events, and other focused learning opportunities that include a range of views and embrace dialogue and understanding. These projects may include visiting faculty

specifically supporting the development and delivery of these activities and contributing to the viewpoint diversity of the broader campus intellectual environment.

Competitive Preference Priorities: Within this absolute priority, we give competitive preference to applications that address the following priorities. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i), we award an additional ten points to an application that meets each of these priorities.

Competitive Preference Priority 1: Civic Institutes at Institutions of Higher Education. (0 or 10 points).

Priority: Projects implemented by, or in partnership with, institutions of higher education that have established independent academic units dedicated to civic thought, constitutional studies, American history, and economic liberty. These institutes should demonstrate a sustained commitment to robust civil discourse, the liberal arts, and the study of American history and politics through primary documents.

Competitive Preference Priority 2: Non-IHE Nonprofit
Organizations That Educate Students to Promote Freedom and Engage in Civil Discourse. (0 or 10 points).

Priority: Projects implemented by, or in partnership with, private nonprofit organizations that do not meet the HEA definition of an institution of higher education and that educate students to promote freedom and engage in civil

discourse. These entities must demonstrate experience working with higher education institutions on matters of civil discourse.

Absolute Priority 4: Supporting Institutions in Changing Accrediting Agencies.

Priority: Activities that directly support college and university efforts to change their current accrediting agency. These activities may include, but are not limited to, staffing costs necessary to support a change in accreditors, document preparation costs, site-visit costs, and direct accreditation fees (limited to the initial term of accreditation up to 5 years).

Absolute Priority 5: Supporting the Creation of New Accrediting Agencies.

Priority: Projects that support the development and launch of new accrediting agencies seeking, or intending to seek, recognition from the Department under 20 U.S.C. 1099b. Eligible activities may include convenings, development of accreditation standards and review processes, stakeholder and expert consultations, meeting and travel costs, technology and data system development, personnel costs, administrative expenses, and other costs directly related to establishing and operating a recognized accrediting agency.

Absolute Priority 6: Creation of New High-Quality Short-Term

Programs.

Priority: Activities that directly support the development of new high-quality, short-term programs at institutions of higher education, including engaging employers, developing talent marketplaces, and integrating work-based learning components into short-term postsecondary programs. High-quality, short-term programs are defined as programs that meet the eligibility requirements of the Workforce Pell Grants program in Section 83002(b) of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act, including program length requirements and alignment with high-skill, highwage, or in-demand industry sectors or occupations, as determined by the Governor in the State in which the institution is located. This funding opportunity may be used to cover institutional costs associated with hiring program faculty and staff; purchasing equipment, machinery, production supplies and technology; coordinating with employers, State Workforce Development Boards, and other stakeholders, including convenings, meetings, and travel costs; improving classrooms, laboratories, and other instructional facilities; developing or enhancing partnerships with employers to facilitate industry alignment; improving data collection and reporting capabilities to support Workforce Pell eligibility determinations; and meeting administrative expenses related to the design and development of new programs, including expenses related to data

collection and validation.

Activities must be designed and executed in close collaboration with employers, to ensure that the resulting programs are responsive to industry demand.

Absolute Priority 7: Expansion of Existing High-Quality Short-Term Programs.

Priority: Activities that directly support the expansion of existing high-quality, short-term programs, including reforms to existing programs to meet Workforce Pell Grants eligibility requirements in the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. Such activities should also include engaging employers, developing talent marketplaces, and integrating work-based learning components into short-term postsecondary programs. This funding opportunity may be used to cover institutional costs associated with hiring additional faculty and staff to increase program capacity; purchasing additional equipment, machinery, production supplies, and technology; enhancing partnerships with employers to facilitate industry alignment; improving data collection and reporting capabilities to support Workforce Pell eligibility determinations; expanding the frequency of new student cohorts; or programmatic reforms needed to meet program requirements under the One Big Beautiful Bill Act.

Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking: Under the Administrative
Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553), the Department generally offers

interested parties the opportunity to comment on proposed priorities. Section 437(d)(1) of GEPA, however, allows the Secretary to exempt from rulemaking requirements regulations governing the first grant competition under a new or substantially revised program authority.

This is the first grant competition for this specific program (FIPSE Special Projects) under section 744(c)(2) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended by the HEOA and, therefore, this competition qualifies for this exemption. In order to ensure timely grant awards, the Secretary has decided to forgo public comment on the priorities in accordance with section 437(d)(1) of GEPA. These priorities will apply to grants awarded under this competition in FY 2025 and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this competition.

<u>Definitions</u>: The terms "baseline," "continuous improvement,"
"evaluation," "evidence-building," "nonprofit," "performance
measure," "performance target," "quality data," "relevant
outcome" are defined in 34 CFR 77.1. Institution of higher
education has the meaning as defined in section 101 of the HEA.
"Artificial intelligence" or "AI" has the meaning set forth in
15 U.S.C. 9401(3). "Work-based learning" has the meaning set
forth in 20 U.S.C. 2302(55). In accordance with section
437(d)(1) of GEPA, we are establishing a definition for

"Computer Science" and "Talent Marketplace."

Artificial intelligence (AI) literacy means the technical knowledge, durable skills, and future ready attitudes required to thrive in a world influenced by AI. It enables learners to engage, create with, manage, and design AI, while critically evaluating its benefits, risks, and implications.

Baseline means the starting point from which performance is measured and targets are set.

Computer science means the study of computers and algorithmic processes, including their principles, their hardware and software designs, theories, computational thinking, coding, analytics, applications, machine learning, and Artificial Intelligence (AI). Computer science often includes computer programming or coding as a tool to create software, including applications, games, websites, and tools to manage or manipulate data; or development and management of computer hardware and the other electronics related to sharing, securing, and using digital information. In addition to coding, the expanding field of computer science emphasizes computational thinking and interdisciplinary problem-solving to equip students with the skills and abilities necessary to apply computation to the digital world. Computer science does not involve using computers for everyday tasks, such as browsing the internet or using tools like word processors, spreadsheets, or presentation software.

Instead, it focuses on creating and developing technology, not just utilizing it.

Continuous improvement means using plans for collecting and analyzing data about a project component's implementation and outcomes (including the pace and extent to which project outcomes are being met) to inform necessary changes throughout the project. These plans may include strategies to gather ongoing feedback from participants and stakeholders on the implementation of the project component.

Evaluation means an assessment using systematic data collection and analysis of one or more programs, policies, practices, and organizations intended to assess their implementation, outcomes, effectiveness, or efficiency.

Evidence-building means a systematic plan for identifying and answering questions relevant to programs and policies through performance measurement, exploratory studies, or program evaluation.

In-demand Industry Sector or Occupation, as defined in section 3(23) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), means (i) an industry sector that has a substantial current or potential impact (including through jobs that lead to economic self-sufficiency and opportunities for advancement) on the State, regional, or local economy, as appropriate, and that contributes to the growth or stability of other supporting

businesses, or the growth of other industry sectors; or (ii) an occupation that currently has or is projected to have a number of positions (including positions that lead to economic self-sufficiency and opportunities for advancement) in an industry sector so as to have a significant impact on the State, regional, or local economy, as appropriate.

Nonprofit, as applied to an agency, organization, or institution, means that it is owned and operated by one or more corporations or associations whose net earnings do not benefit, and cannot lawfully benefit, any private shareholder or entity.

Performance measure means any quantitative indicator, statistic, or metric used to gauge program or project performance.

Performance target means a level of performance that an applicant would seek to meet during the course of a project or as a result of a project.

Quality data encompasses utility, objectivity, and integrity of the information. "Utility" refers to how the data will be used, either for its intended use or other uses. "Objectivity" refers to data being accurate, complete, reliable, and unbiased. "Integrity" refers to the protection of data from being manipulated.

Relevant outcome means the student outcome(s) or other outcome(s) the key project component is designed to improve, consistent with the specific goals of the program.

Talent marketplace means a digital, interconnected system of technologies maintained by a State or State Workforce Agency, as defined at 29 U.S.C. 3225a(a)(8), that

- (a) is publicly available;
- (b) includes an integrated:
- (i) Learning and Employment Record;
- (ii) Credential Registry; and
- (iii) Skill-Based Job Description generator;
- (c) utilizes artificial intelligence to enable students and jobseekers, employers, and education and training providers to transform, transcribe, and transact earned learning assertions, job descriptions, and degree and non-degree credentials into discrete competency statements; and
- (d) may be curated into interoperable individual records of achievement and learning and employment recommendations Work-based learning is used in accordance with 20 U.S.C.

 2302(55), to mean sustained interactions with industry or community professionals in real workplace settings, to the extent practicable, or simulated environments at an educational institution that foster in-depth, firsthand engagement with the tasks required in a given career field, that are aligned to curriculum and instruction.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1138; 20 U.S.C. 1138c.

Note: Projects will be awarded and must be operated in a manner

consistent with the nondiscrimination requirements contained in the Federal civil rights laws.

Applicable Regulations: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations in 34 CFR parts 75, 77, 79, 82, 84, 86, 97, 98, and 99. (b) The Office of Management and Budget Guidelines to Agencies on Governmentwide Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement) in 2 CFR part 180, as adopted and amended as regulations of the Department in 2 CFR part 3485. (c) The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards in 2 CFR part 200, as adopted and amended as regulations of the Department in 2 CFR part 3474.

II. Award Information

Type of Award: Discretionary grants.

Estimated Available Funds: \$167,000,000.

Of this amount, we estimate allocating across the areas of national need and absolute priorities as follows:

- Advancing AI in Education (Absolute Priorities 1 and 2) -- \$50,000,000, including \$25,000,000 under Absolute Priority 1 and \$25,000,000 under Absolute Priority 2.
- Promoting Civil Discourse (Absolute Priority 3) -- \$60,000,000
- Promoting Accreditation Reform (Absolute Priorities 4 and 5) \$7,000,000, including \$3,500,000 under Absolute Priority 4

and \$3,500,000 under Absolute Priority 5.

• Capacity-building for high-quality, short-term programs

(Absolute Priorities 6 and 7) -- \$50,000,000, including

\$25,000,000 under Absolute Priority 6 and \$25,000,000 under

Absolute Priority 7.

Contingent upon the availability of funds and the quality of applications, we may make additional awards in subsequent years from the list of unfunded applications from this competition.

Estimated Range of Awards (for the 48-month project period):

Absolute Priorities 1 and 2: \$1,000,000 - \$4,000,000

Absolute Priority 3: \$1,000,000 - \$4,000,000

Absolute Priorities 4 and 5: \$600,000 - \$1,000,000

Absolute Priorities 6 and 7: \$1,000,000 - \$4,000,000

Estimated Average Size of Awards (for the 48-month project period):

Absolute Priorities 1 and 2: \$2,000,000

Absolute Priority 3: \$2,000,000

Absolute Priorities 4 and 5: \$800,000

Absolute Priorities 6 and 7: \$2,000,000

<u>Maximum Award</u>: We will not make an award exceeding the following amounts for each of these priorities for the entire project period of 48 months:

Absolute Priorities 1 and 2: \$4,000,000

Absolute Priority 3: \$4,000,000

Absolute Priorities 4 and 5: \$1,000,000

Absolute Priorities 6 and 7: \$4,000,000

Estimated Number of Awards:

Absolute Priorities 1 and 2: 25

Absolute Priority 3: 30

Absolute Priorities 4 and 5: 9

Absolute Priorities 6 and 7: 25.

Note: The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice.

Project Period: Up to 48 months.

III. Eligibility Information

1. Eligible Applicants: Institutions of higher education (as defined in section 101 of the HEA), consortia of such institutions, and other public and private nonprofit institutions and agencies including State higher education agencies as defined in 20 U.S.C. 1003(22). An eligible entity may submit only one (1) grant application under an area of national need as the lead applicant. An entity can be included as a partner in multiple applications.

Note: The eligible entity may apply to all four (4) areas of national need as the lead applicant but must submit a separate grant application for each area of national need.

Note: An applicant that is a nonprofit organization may, under 34 CFR 75.51, demonstrate its nonprofit status by providing: (1) proof that the Internal Revenue Service currently recognizes the applicant as an organization to which contributions are tax deductible under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code; (2) a statement from a State taxing body or the State attorney general certifying that the organization is a nonprofit organization operating within the State and that no part of its net earnings may lawfully benefit any private shareholder or individual; (3) a certified copy of the applicant's certificate of incorporation or similar document if it clearly establishes the nonprofit status of the applicant; or (4) any item described above if that item applies to a State or national parent organization, together with a statement by the State or parent organization that the applicant is a local nonprofit affiliate.

- 2. a. <u>Cost Sharing or Matching</u>: This program does not require cost sharing or matching
- b. <u>Supplement-Not-Supplant</u>: This program does not involve supplement-not-supplant funding requirements.
- c. <u>Indirect Cost Rate Information</u>: This program uses the waiver authority of <u>section 437(d)(1)</u> of GEPA to limit a grantee's indirect cost reimbursement to 8 percent of a modified total direct cost base. We are establishing this indirect cost

limit for the FY 2025 grant competition and any subsequent year in which we make awards from the list of unfunded applications from this competition in accordance with section 437(d)(1) of GEPA. For more information regarding indirect costs, or to obtain a negotiated indirect cost rate, please see www.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocfo/intro.html.

- d. Administrative Cost Limitation: This program does not include any program-specific limitation on administrative expenses. All administrative expenses must be reasonable and necessary and conform to Cost Principles described in 2 CFR part 200 subpart E of the Uniform Guidance.
- 3. <u>Subgrantees</u>: Under 34 CFR 75.708(b) and (c), a grantee under this competition may award subgrants to directly carry out project activities described in its application to the following types of entities: IHEs and public and private nonprofit institutions and agencies including State higher education agencies as defined in 20 U.S.C. 1003(22).
- IV. Application and Submission Information
 - 1. Application Submission Instructions:

Applicants are required to follow the Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs, published in the **Federal Register** on August 29, 2025 (90 FR 42234), and available at https://www.federalregister.gov/

documents/2025/08/29/2025-16571/common-instructions-and-information-for-applicants-to-department-of-education-discretionary-grant, which contain requirements and information on how to submit an application.

- 2. Intergovernmental Review: This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. Information about Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs under Executive Order 12372 is in the application package for this program. Please note that, under 34 CFR 79.8(a), we have shortened the standard 60-day intergovernmental review period in order to make awards by the end of the period of availability of the funds on December 31, 2025.
- 3. <u>Funding Restrictions</u>: We reference regulations outlining funding restrictions in the <u>Applicable Regulations</u> section of this notice.
- 4. Recommended Page Limit: The application narrative is where you, the applicant, address the selection criteria that reviewers use to evaluate your application. We recommend that you (1) limit the application narrative to no more than 35 pages (2) use the Standards outlined in the Common Instructions.
- <u>Note</u>: The Budget Information-Non-Construction Programs
 Form (ED 524) Sections A-C are not the same as the narrative
 response to the Budget section of the selection criteria.

5. Program Profile: Applicants must indicate in the abstract which area of national need the application addresses, how the proposed project meets the absolute priorities, and, if applicable, the competitive preference priorities. The abstract narrative should identify the partner entities the applicant will be working with, the target population (e.g., faculty, staff, students, etc.), the proposed activities to be conducted during the 4-year performance period, and the anticipated results.

V. Application Review Information

- 1. <u>Selection Criteria</u>: The selection criteria for this competition are from 34 CFR 75.210. Applicants should address each of the selection criteria separately for each proposed activity. The selection criteria are worth a total of 100 points; the maximum score for each criterion is noted in parentheses.
- (a) Significance. (Maximum 30 points)
- (1) The Secretary considers the significance of the proposed project.
- (2) In determining the significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:
- (i) The extent to which the proposed project is innovative and likely to be more effective compared to other efforts to address a similar problem. (Up to 15 points)

- (ii) The importance or magnitude of the results or outcomes likely to be attained by the proposed project, especially contributions toward improving teaching practice and student learning and achievement. (Up to 15 points)
- (b) Quality of the project design. (Maximum 45 points)

 The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project. In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:
- (1) The extent to which the proposed project will integrate with or build on similar or related efforts to improve relevant outcomes (as defined in this notice), using existing funding streams from other programs or policies supported by community, State, and Federal resources. (up to 15 points)
- (2) The extent to which the design for implementing and evaluating the proposed project will result in information to guide possible replication of project activities or strategies, including valid and reliable information about the effectiveness of the approach or strategies employed by the project. (up to 15 points)
- (3) The extent to which the proposed development efforts include adequate quality controls, continuous improvement efforts, and as appropriate, repeated testing of products. (up to 15 points)
- (c) Quality of the management plan. (Maximum to 10 points)

The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project. In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks.

- (d) Quality of the project evaluation or other evidence building. (Maximum to 15 points)
- The Secretary considers the quality of the evaluation to be conducted of the proposed project. In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers the following factors:
- (1) The extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project. (up to 5 points)
- (2) The extent to which the methods of evaluation or other evidence-building will provide performance feedback and provide formative, diagnostic, or interim data that is a periodic assessment of progress toward achieving intended outcomes. (up to 5 points)
- (3) The extent to which the evaluation will provide guidance about effective strategies suitable for replication or testing and potential implementation in other settings. (up to 5 points).

- 2. Review and Selection Process: We remind potential applicants that in reviewing applications in any discretionary grant competition, the Secretary may consider, under 34 CFR 75.217, information outside the rank order of applications, including: the information in each application; and any other information—
- (1) Relevant to a criterion, priority, or other requirement that applies to the selection of applications for new grants;
- (2) Concerning the applicant's performance and use of funds under a previous award under any Department program; and
- (3) Concerning the applicant's failure under any Department program to submit a performance report or its submission of a performance report of unacceptable quality.

Before making awards, Department staff will screen applications submitted in accordance with the requirements in this notice to determine whether applications have met eligibility and other requirements, including whether an application may fail to meet the "General Terms and Conditions" applicable to awarded funds referenced elsewhere within this notice. This screening process may occur at various stages of the review and selection process. Applicants that are determined to be ineligible will not receive a grant, regardless of the whether the application was included in the peer review process. Applications not selected for funding will be informed of the

Secretary's decision in accordance with 34 CFR 75.218.

Peer reviewers will read, prepare a written evaluation of, and score the assigned applications, using the selection criteria provided in this notice.

In the event there are two or more applications with the same final score within the same Absolute Priority, and there are insufficient funds to fully support each of these applications, the Department will apply the following procedure to determine which application or applications will receive an award:

First Tiebreaker: The first tiebreaker will be the highest average score for the selection criterion "Quality of the Project Design". If a tie remains, the second tiebreaker will be utilized.

Second Tiebreaker: The second tiebreaker will be the highest average score for the selection criterion "Significance." If a tie remains, the third tiebreaker will be utilized.

Third Tiebreaker: The third tiebreaker will be the applicant that promotes equitable geographic distribution of FIPSE-SP grantees.

3. Risk Assessment and Specific Conditions: Consistent with 2 CFR 200.206, before awarding grants under this competition the Department conducts a review of the risks posed by applicants.

Under 2 CFR 200.208, the Secretary may impose specific conditions and, under 2 CFR 3474.10, in appropriate circumstances, high-risk conditions on a grant if the applicant or grantee is not financially stable; has a history of unsatisfactory performance; has a financial or other management system that does not meet the standards in 2 CFR part 200, subpart D; has not fulfilled the conditions of a prior grant; or is otherwise not responsible.

4. Integrity and Performance System: If you are selected under this competition to receive an award that over the course of the project period may exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (currently \$350,000), under 2 CFR 200.206(a)(2) we must make a judgment about your integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards—that is, the risk posed by you as an applicant—before we make an award. In doing so, we must consider any information about you that is in the integrity and performance system (currently referred to as the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS)), accessible through the System for Award Management. You may review and comment on any information about yourself that a Federal agency previously entered and that is currently in FAPIIS.

Please note that, if the total value of your currently active grants, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts

from the Federal Government exceeds \$10,000,000, the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 200, Appendix XII, require you to report certain integrity information to FAPIIS semiannually. Please review the requirements in 2 CFR part 200, Appendix XII, if this grant plus all the other Federal funds you receive exceed \$10,000,000.

- 5. <u>In General</u>: In accordance with the Office of Management and Budget's guidance located at 2 CFR part 200, all applicable Federal laws, and relevant Executive guidance, the Department will review and consider applications for funding pursuant to this notice inviting applications in accordance with:
- (a) Selecting recipients most likely to be successful in delivering results based on the program objectives through an objective process of evaluating Federal award applications (2 CFR 200.205);
- (b) Prohibiting the purchase of certain telecommunication and video surveillance services or equipment in alignment with section 889 of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2019 (Pub. L. No. 115-232) (2 CFR 200.216);
- (c) Providing a preference, to the extent permitted by law, to maximize use of goods, products, and materials produced in the United States (2 CFR 200.322); and
- (d) Terminating agreements in whole or in part to the greatest extent authorized by law if an award no longer

effectuates the program goals or agency priorities (2 CFR 200.340).

VI. Award Administration Information

1. General terms and conditions: If you are awarded a grant under this competition, you must ensure and may be required to demonstrate that Federal funds will not be used under this project in any manner that violates the United States Constitution, Title VI or Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq. or 42 U.S.C. 2000e et seq.), Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.), section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. 794), the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. 6101 et seq.), Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12131 et seq.), the Boy Scouts of America Equal Access Act of 2001 (20 U.S.C. 7905), section 117 of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (20 U.S.C. 1011f), or other applicable Federal law. To the extent that a grantee uses grant funds for such unallowable activities, the Department may take appropriate enforcement action including under section 451 of GEPA, including the potential recovery of funds under section 452 of GEPA, or may pursue termination under 2 CFR 200.340. The Grant Award Notification document accompanying your award may contain further terms and conditions, as necessary to ensure grantee compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and administrative priorities.

2. Award Notices: If your application is successful, we notify your U.S. Representative and U.S. Senators and send you a Grant Award Notification (GAN); or we may send you an email containing a link to access an electronic version of your GAN. We may also notify you informally.

If your application is not evaluated or not selected for funding, we notify you.

3. Open Licensing Requirements: Unless an exception applies, if you are awarded a grant under this competition, you will be required to openly license to the public grant deliverables created in whole, or in part, with Department grant funds and that constitute new copyrightable works. When the deliverable consists of modifications to pre-existing works, the license extends only to those modifications that can be separately identified and only to the extent that open licensing is permitted under the terms of any licenses or other legal restrictions on the use of pre-existing works. Additionally, a grantee or subgrantee that is awarded competitive grant funds must have a plan to disseminate these public grant deliverables. This dissemination plan can be developed and submitted after your application has been reviewed and selected for funding. For additional information on the open licensing requirements please refer to 2 CFR 3474.20.

- 4. Reporting: (a) If you apply for a grant under this competition, you must ensure that you have in place the necessary processes and systems to comply with the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 170 should you receive funding under the competition. See the standards in 2 CFR 170.105 to determine whether you are covered by 2 CFR part 170.
- (b) At the end of your project period, you must submit a final performance report, including financial information, as directed by the Secretary. If you receive a multiyear award, you must submit an annual performance report that provides the most current performance and financial expenditure information as directed by the Secretary under 34 CFR 75.118. The Secretary may also require more frequent performance reports under 34 CFR 75.720(c). For specific requirements on reporting, please go to www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms.html.
- 5. <u>Performance Measures</u>: For the purpose of Department reporting under 34 CFR 75.110, the Department has established a set of performance measures for this competition:

Project-Specific Performance Measures: Applicants must propose project-specific performance measures and performance targets (both as defined in this notice) consistent with the objectives of the proposed project. Applications must provide the following information as directed under 34 CFR 75.110(b) and (c):

- (1) Project-specific performance measures. How each proposed project-specific performance measure would: accurately measure the performance of the project; and be used to inform continuous improvement of the project.
- (2) Baseline (as defined in this notice) data. (i) Why each proposed baseline is valid and reliable, including an assessment of the quality data used to establish the baseline; or (ii) if the applicant has determined that there are no established baseline data for a particular performance measure, an explanation of why there is no established baseline and of how and when, during the project period, the applicant would establish a valid baseline for the performance measure.
- (3) Performance targets. Why each proposed performance target is ambitious yet achievable compared to the baseline for the performance measure and when, during the project period, the applicant would meet the performance target(s).

All grantees must submit an annual performance report with information that is responsive to these performance measures.

6. Continuation Awards: In making a continuation award under 34 CFR 75.253, the Secretary considers, among other things: whether a grantee has made substantial progress in achieving the goals and objectives of the project; whether the grantee has expended funds in a manner that is consistent with its approved application and budget; and, if the Secretary has

established performance measurement requirements, whether the grantee has made substantial progress in achieving the performance targets in the grantee's approved application, or whether the continuation of the project is in the best interest of the Federal Government.

In making a continuation award, the Secretary also considers whether the grantee is operating in compliance with the assurances in its approved application, including those applicable to Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department (34 CFR 100.4, 104.5, 106.4, 108.8, and 110.23).

VII. Other Information

Accessible Format: On request to the program contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT, individuals with disabilities can obtain this document and a copy of the application package in an accessible format. The Department will provide the requestor with an accessible format that may include Rich Text Format (RTF) or text format (txt), a thumb drive, an MP3 file, braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc, or other accessible format.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. You may access the official edition of the Federal Register and the

Code of Federal Regulations at www.govinfo.gov. You may also access documents of the Department published in the Federal Register by using the article search feature at www.federalregister.gov.

David Barker, Assistant Secretary, Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education

Program Authority and Applicable Regulations

The program authority is 20 U.S.C. 1138; 20 U.S.C. 1138c.

Note: Projects will be awarded and must be operated in a manner consistent with the nondiscrimination requirements contained in the Federal civil rights laws.

Applicable Regulations: (a) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations in 34 CFR parts 75, 77, 79, 82, 84, 86, 97, 98, and 99. (b) The Office of Management and Budget Guidelines to Agencies on Governmentwide Debarment and Suspension (Nonprocurement) in 2 CFR part 180, as adopted and amended as regulations of the Department in 2 CFR part 3485. (c) The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards in 2 CFR part 200, as adopted and amended as regulations of the Department in 2 CFR part 3474.

Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs)

This program falls under the rubric of Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs) and the regulations in 34 CFR Part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive order is to strengthen federalism—or the distribution of responsibility between localities, States, and the Federal government—by fostering intergovernmental partnerships. This idea includes supporting processes that State or local governments have devised for coordinating and reviewing proposed Federal financial grant applications.

The process for doing this requires grant applicants to contact State Single Points of Contact for information on how this works. Multi-state applicants should follow procedures specific to each state.

Further information about the State Single Point of Contact process and a list of names by State can be found at:

https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/SPOC-list-as-of-2023.pdf.

Absent specific State review programs, applicants may submit comments directly to the Department. All recommendations and comments must be mailed or hand-delivered by the date indicated in the actual application notice to the following address: The Secretary, EO 12372—ALN# 84.116J, U.S. Department of Education, room 7E200, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20202.

Proof of mailing will be determined on the same basis as applications (see 34 CFR 75.102). Recommendations or comments may be hand-delivered until 4:30 p.m. (Eastern Time) on the closing date indicated in this notice.

<u>Important note</u>: The above address is not the same address as the one to which the applicant submits its completed applications. <u>Do not send applications to the above address.</u>

Performance Measures

For the purpose of Department reporting under 34 CFR 75.110, the Department has established a set of performance measures for this competition:

- a) **Project-Specific Performance Measures:** Applicants must propose project-specific performance measures and performance targets (both as defined in the Notice Inviting Applications) consistent with the objectives of the proposed project. Applications must provide the following information as directed under 34 CFR 75.110(b) and (c):
 - Project-specific performance measures: How each proposed project-specific
 performance measure would accurately measure the performance of the project;
 and be used to inform continuous improvement of the project.
 - O Baseline (as defined in the notice) data. (i) Why each proposed baseline is valid and reliable, including an assessment of the quality data used to establish the baseline; or (ii) if the applicant has determined that there are no established baseline data for a particular performance measure, an explanation of why there is no established baseline and of how and when, during the project period, the applicant would establish a valid baseline for the performance measure.
 - o **Performance targets:** Why each proposed performance target is ambitious yet achievable compared to the baseline for the performance measure and when, during the project period, the applicant would meet the performance target(s).

How does the Department of Education determine whether performance goals have been met?

- a) All grantees must submit an annual performance report with information that is responsive to these performance measures.
- b) If you apply for a grant under this competition, you must ensure that you have in place the necessary processes and systems to comply with the reporting requirements in 2 CFR part 170 should you receive funding under the competition. See the standards in 2 CFR 170.105 to determine whether you are covered by 2 CFR part 170.
- c) At the end of your project period, you must submit a final performance report, including financial information, as directed by the Secretary. If you receive a multiyear award, you must submit an annual performance report that provides the most current performance and financial expenditure information as directed by the Secretary under 34 CFR 75.118. The Secretary may also require more frequent performance reports under 34 CFR 75.720(c). For specific requirements on reporting, please go to www.ed.gov/fund/grant/apply/appforms/appforms.html.

Instructions for Completing the Application

The FIPSE-SP program application within Grants.gov consists of standard forms and assurances, along with forms that allow you to upload attachments. All attachments must be in .pdf format. Although Grants.gov allows various file types to be uploaded, you must only upload .pdf files when submitting applications to the Department of Education. This is due to functionality constraints within the Department's grants system, which interfaces with Grants.gov to receive applications.

To obtain instructions for standard forms included in this application package, please visit https://www.ed.gov/grants-and-programs/apply-grant/grant-application-and-other-forms.

The forms are as follows:

<u>Part I: SF 424 Forms</u> – Complete all required fields.

- Application for Federal Assistance (SF 424)
- Department of Education Supplemental Information Form for SF 424

Note:

- Applicants must complete the Standard Form (SF) 424 first because some of the information provided here is automatically inserted into other sections of the Grants.gov application package.
- Do not attach any narratives, supporting files, or application components to the SF 424. Although the form accepts attachments, the Department of Education will only review materials/files attached to the forms listed below.

<u>Part II: ED Form 524 & Budget Narrative Forms</u> – Complete all required fields.

- Department of Education Budget Summary Form (ED 524) Sections A, B & C
- Budget Narrative Attachment Form

Budget Narrative Form: Applicants should upload a detailed supporting narrative explaining the proposed costs for each year of the performance period. Total costs per year should mimic the costs stated on the ED-524 form. Include the level of effort (time commitment) per year for key personnel. You must upload the supporting narrative in .pdf format.

Note:

- This program does not require cost sharing or matching. However, you may include non-federal funds that will be supplied by the institution/organization, if applicable, in Section B.
- Applicants are required to prepare a detailed and comprehensive Budget Narrative for all proposed line items listed in ED Form 524 (Sections A and B). This narrative should be attached as the "Budget Narrative Attachment Form."
- The detailed Budget Narrative is for each year of the budget over the 4-year performance period.
- Refer to "Instructions for ED 524 Budget Summary Form, Section C" for additional instructions on the Budget Narrative.

Part III: Abstract, Project Narrative, and Other Attachments Forms – Complete all required fields.

- ED Abstract Form
- Project Narrative Attachment Form
- Other Attachments Form
- GEPA Section 427 Form

ED Abstract Form: Applicants must indicate in the abstract which area of national need the application addresses, how the proposed project meets the absolute priorities, and, if applicable, the competitive preference priorities. The abstract narrative should identify the partner entities the applicant will be working with, the target population (e.g., faculty, staff, students, etc.), the proposed activities to be conducted during the 4-year performance period, and the anticipated results

The abstract should serve as a quick reference guide to your application. Include the items below in the order listed and use the same number format. To complete the information accurately, you'll need to provide the following details:

- 1. Lead Applicant Institution: Name the institution that is the primary applicant.
- 2. **Partner Entities:** List partner entities involved in the project, mentioning their type (e.g., institution of higher education (IHE), nonprofit organization, local education agency (LEA), state education agency (SEA), etc.).
- 3. **Project Title:** Provide the title of the project.
- 4. Area of National Need: Specify which national need the application addresses.
- 5. **Absolute Priority/ies Addressed:** Identify the Absolute Priority/ies addressed by number (e.g., Absolute Priority 3) and briefly describe how it is/they are addressed.
- 6. **Competitive Preference Priority/ies Addressed:** If applicable under Absolute Priority 3, identify the Competitive Preference Priority/ies addressed by number (e.g., CPP 1 and/or CPP 2) and briefly describe how it is/they are addressed.
- 7. **Target Population:** Define the target population (e.g., faculty, staff, students, etc.).
- 8. **Proposed Activities:** Outline the activities proposed in the project.
- 9. **Anticipated Results:** Describe the anticipated results, such as learning outcomes.

Please ensure all relevant information is included for a comprehensive application.

Note:

- The abstract should clearly identify the partner entities that the applicant is proposing to partner with for the purpose of meeting the priorities. List each partner, as well as the type of institution/organization (i.e., institution of higher education (IHE), nonprofit organization, local education agency (LEA), state education agency (SEA), etc.).
- On the "ED Abstract Form" the abstract should clearly indicate a response to each of the absolute priorities, and, if applicable, the competitive preference priorities the applicant is responding.

Project Narrative Attachment Form: This includes the narrative sections addressing the program selection criteria that will be used to evaluate applications submitted for this competition. A Table of

Contents should be included as the first page of the Project Narrative. The recommended page limit is 35 pages. Responses to the Absolute Priorities should be included in this section. Additionally, for those responding to the Competitive Preference Priorities under Absolute Priority 3, the responses should be clearly marked as Competitive Preference Priority 1 and/or Competitive Preference Priority 2. These priorities should also be included as part of the project narrative.

Other Attachments Form: This includes supporting documents, such as the curriculum vitae (CV) of project personnel/participants, letters of commitment and support from project partners, business information that you consider proprietary, and the bibliography, if applicable.

Note:

- It is recommended that the CV be no more than three (3) pages. Attach all CVs as a single document.
- Attach all letters of commitment and support as a single document. Commitment letters should briefly describe what services and resources partners will be contributing to the project.
- Attach the bibliography as a single document.

GEPA Section 427 Form: Where applicants may identify any barriers that may impede equitable access and participation in the proposed project or activity, including, but not limited to, barriers based on economic disadvantage, gender, race, ethnicity, color, national origin, disability, age, language, migrant status, rural status, homeless status or housing insecurity, pregnancy, parenting, or caregiving status, and sexual orientation.

Note:

- This form is now integrated into the grants.gov environment and will not require a separate PDF submission or upload.
- We encourage applicants to take the computer-based training, Ensuring Equitable Opportunities
 Under the GEPA Section 427 on the ED.gov website at <u>Grants Training and Management</u>
 <u>Resources Online Grants Training Courses</u> and to visit the <u>Department of Education Equity</u>
 Action Plan website

<u>Part IV: Assurances and Certifications</u> – Complete all required fields.

- Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL) (Optional)
- Grants.gov Lobbying Form (ED form 80-0013)

Grants.gov Uploading Requirements:

You must upload any narrative sections and all other attachments to your application as files in a PDF (Portable Document) read-only, non-modifiable format. Do not upload an interactive or fillable PDF file. If you upload a file type other than a read-only, non-modifiable PDF or submit a password-protected file, we will not review that material.

Supplemental Instructions for the Project Narrative

<u>Project Narrative Attachment Form</u> includes the narrative sections addressing the program selection criteria that will be used to evaluate applications submitted for this competition.

• Before preparing the Project Narrative, applicants should review the program statute, program regulations, Common Instructions, and the Federal Register Notice Inviting Applications for specific guidance and requirements.

Structuring the Project Narrative

- The Secretary evaluates an application according to the program specific criteria in 34 CFR 75.210. The Project Narrative is where the applicant provides detailed responses to each selection criterion, as the project pertains to the area of national need and Absolute Priority or Priorities the applicant is responding to, and if applicable, the responses to one or both of the Competitive Preference Priorities in Absolute Priority 3.
- Applicants should respond to the selection in the same order as presented in the NIA when developing the Project Narrative. The Project Narrative should be written in a concise and clear manner and be consecutively ordered as reflected in the NIA. Clearly label each selection criteria and separately address each of the criterion.
- **Recommended Page Limit:** We recommend that you limit the application narrative to no more than **35 pages** and use the following standards:
 - o A "page" is 8.5" x 11", on one side only, with 1" margins at the top, bottom, and both sides.
 - O Double space all text in the application narrative, including titles, headings, footnotes, quotations, references, and captions.
 - o Use a readable 12-point font such as Times New Roman, Courier, Courier New, or Arial.
 - The recommended 35-page limit applies only to the application narrative and does not apply to the cover sheet and table of contents, the budget section, including the narrative budget justification, the assurances and certifications, or the one-page abstract, the resumes, the bibliography, or the letters of support.

Project Narrative Tips

• Significance

- o Identify and analyze potential gaps, challenges, and issues to be addressed.
- Describe how the proposed project is innovative and will be more effective compared to similar efforts.
- O Discuss the impact of the proposed project and outcomes, particularly the improvements in teaching practices and student learning and achievement.

• Project Design

- o Identify the goals of the proposed project and design activities and services that directly address the identified gaps, challenges, and issues.
- o Be realistic and straightforward about every aspect of the proposed project design. Ensure project activities and services are attainable, meaningful, and measurable.
- o Discuss the potential of the project to build on similar or related efforts.
- o Determine procedures for quality control and continuous improvement of the project.
- o Work towards sustainability of the project.

• Management Plan

- o Forecast and create an implementation and management plan that is realistic.
- O Dedicate adequate resources and time to the project components, including clearly defining the roles and responsibilities of the project's key personnel and partners.
- o Carefully estimate the budget and ensure that all costs are allowable, allocable, and reasonable.

• Evaluation Plan

- o Identify project-specific measures to build the project evaluation assessments.
- o Choose metrics and evaluation methods that align to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the project, and that will produce evidence about the project's effectiveness.
- o Discuss the potential for replication of the project and implementation in other settings.

Selection Criteria

The selection criteria for this competition are from 34 CFR 75.210. Applicants should address each of the selection criteria separately for each proposed activity. **The selection criteria are worth a total of 100 points;** the maximum score for each criterion is noted in parentheses.

If an applicant is responding to the Competitive Preference Priorities in Absolute Priority 3, a maximum of 10 additional points under each competitive preference priority will be awarded, for a total score of up to 120 points.

If an applicant is responding to <u>Absolute Priority 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, or 7</u>, all applications will be evaluated based on the selection criteria as follows:

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINT VALUES
(a) Significance	Maximum 30 points
(b) Quality of the Project Design	Maximum 45 points

(c) Quality of the Management Plan	Maximum 10 points
(d) Quality of the Project Evaluation or other Evidence Building	Maximum 15 points
Total Maximum Score	Maximum 100 Points

If an applicant is responding to <u>Absolute Priority 3</u>, and the associated Competitive Preference <u>Priorities</u>, all applications will be evaluated based on the selection criteria as follows:

SELECTION CRITERIA	POINT VALUES
(a) Significance	Maximum 30 points
(b) Quality of the Project Design	Maximum 45 points
(c) Quality of the Management Plan	Maximum 10 points
(d) Quality of the Project Evaluation or other Evidence Building	Maximum 15 points
Total Maximum Score	Maximum 100 Points
Total score for Competitive Preference Priority #1	0 or 10 points
Total score for Competitive Preference Priority #2	0 or 10 points
TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE PER APPLICATION	Maximum 120 Points

(a) Significance (Maximum 30 points)

The Secretary considers the significance of the proposed project. In determining the significance of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

- 1. The extent to which the proposed project is innovative and likely to be more effective compared to other efforts to address a similar problem. (up to 15 points)
- 2. The importance or magnitude of the results or outcomes likely to be attained by the proposed project, especially contributions toward improving teaching practice and student learning and achievement. (up to 15 points)

(b) Quality of the Project Design (Maximum 45 points)

The Secretary considers the quality of the design of the proposed project. In determining the quality of the design of the proposed project, the Secretary considers the following factors:

1. The extent to which the proposed project will integrate with or build on similar or related efforts to improve relevant outcomes (as defined in this notice), using existing funding streams from other programs or policies supported by community, State, and Federal resources. (up to 15 points)

- 2. The extent to which the design for implementing and evaluating the proposed project will result in information to guide possible replication of project activities or strategies, including valid and reliable information about the effectiveness of the approach or strategies employed by the project. (up to 15 points)
- 3. The extent to which the proposed development efforts include adequate quality controls, continuous improvement efforts, and as appropriate, repeated testing of products. (up to 15 points)

(c) Quality of the Management Plan (Maximum 10 points)

The Secretary considers the quality of the management plan for the proposed project. In determining the quality of the management plan for the proposed project, the Secretary considers the adequacy of the management plan to achieve the objectives of the proposed project on time and within budget, including clearly defined responsibilities, timelines, and milestones for accomplishing project tasks.

(d) Quality of the Project Evaluation or other Evidence Building (Maximum 15 points)

The Secretary considers the quality of the evaluation to be conducted of the proposed project. In determining the quality of the evaluation, the Secretary considers the following factors:

- 1. The extent to which the methods of evaluation are thorough, feasible, and appropriate to the goals, objectives, and outcomes of the proposed project. (up to 5 points)
- 2. The extent to which the methods of evaluation or other evidence-building will provide performance feedback and provide formative, diagnostic, or interim data that is a periodic assessment of progress toward achieving intended outcomes. (up to 5 points)
- 3. The extent to which the evaluation will provide guidance about effective strategies suitable for replication or testing and potential implementation in other settings. (up to 5 points)

EVALUATION PLAN

A strong evaluation plan should be included in the application narrative and should be used, as appropriate, to shape the development and implementation of the project from the beginning of the grant period to the end. The plan should include benchmarks to monitor progress toward specific project objectives and outcome measures to assess the impact of the grant-funded activities. More specifically, the plan should respond to the factors listed in the evaluation plan section of the selection criteria.

Tiebreaker:

In the event there are two or more applications with the same final score within the same Absolute Priority, and there are insufficient funds to fully support each of these applications, the Department will apply the following procedure to determine which application, or applications, will receive an award:

- **First Tiebreaker:** The first tiebreaker will be the highest average score for the selection criterion "Quality of the Project Design." If a tie remains, the second tiebreaker will be utilized.
- **Second Tiebreaker:** The second tiebreaker will be the highest average score for the selection criterion "Significance." If a tie remains, the third tiebreaker will be utilized.

• **Third Tiebreaker:** The third tiebreaker will be the applicant that promotes equitable geographic distribution of FIPSE-SP grantees.

Grants.gov Uploading Requirements:

You must upload any narrative sections and all other attachments to your application as files in a PDF (Portable Document) read-only, non-modifiable format. Do not upload an interactive or fillable PDF file. If you upload a file type other than a read-only, non-modifiable PDF or submit a password-protected file, we will not review that material.

Application Checklist

<u>Use This Checklist While Preparing Your Application Package</u>: **All items listed on this checklist are required.**

Part I:

- Application for Federal Assistance (SF 424)
- o Department of Education Supplemental Information for SF 424

Part II

- o Department of Education Budget Information Non-Construction Programs Form (ED 524)
- o Budget Narrative Attached to the "Budget Narrative Attachment Form" in Grants.gov

Part III:

- o Project Abstract Attached to the "ED Abstract Form" in Grants.gov
- o Project Narrative Attached to the "Project Narrative Attachment Form" in Grants.gov
- Additional Appendices, if applicable Attached to the "Other Attachments Form" in Grants.gov
- o GEPA Section 427 Attached to the "GEPA Section 427 Form" in Grants.gov

Part IV:

- ➤ Assurances and Certifications found in Grants.gov
 - Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL)
 - o Grants.gov Lobbying Form (ED 80-0013)

<u>NOTE</u>: Please do not attach any miscellaneous narratives, supporting files, or application components to the standard forms (SF Forms) within Grants.gov. Although the forms accept optional attachments, please do not upload attachments there. If you deem it necessary, you may upload miscellaneous attachments to "Other Attachments Form," but be mindful that uploaded information that is not required in the Notice may not be reviewed.

Paperwork Burden Statement

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1894-0006. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The obligation to respond to this collection is required to obtain or retain benefit (Section 744 of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended). If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this application, please contact the U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave., SW, Washington, D.C. 20202 directly. [Note: Please do not return the completed application to this address.]