

Questions and Answers for
INL Projects Study to Improve Police Professionalization
OFOPO001100
Date: June 23, 2023

Question #1:

How many states and municipalities have been beneficiaries of INL's programming on strengthening of internal affairs, CALEA accreditations, and gender-based violence (GBV)? This information will guide how we structure our proposed analysis (and the accompanying budget).

INL Response #1:

CALEA Accreditation Program: 27 States, 5 Municipalities

Internal Affairs Program: 25 States, 1 Municipality

Gender-based violence (GBV): 32 states and 27 municipalities have received technical assistance in different levels. 21 states have received full assistance.

Question #2:

Would it be possible to know the number of police departments that received technical assistance on each of the fields mentioned above?

INL Response #2:

CALEA: 26 State and Municipal Police Departments

Internal Affairs: 25 States, 1 Municipality

GBV: Not available since it not only includes PD Agencies. From 2014 to date, 19,105 participants have received assistance.

Question #3:

What is the nature and scope of GBV programming in targeted municipalities?

INL Response #3:

GBV: Strengthen institutional capacities and improve the coordination of public institutions with Women's Justice Centers, through the training of police officers and emergency call operators in providing comprehensive assistance to victims of gender violence.

Question #4:

Has INL developed a statistical baseline comprising criminal information in the municipalities and states where INL provided technical assistance?

INL Response #4:

No.

Question #5:

What is the number of states and/or municipalities to be evaluated in this program?

INL Response #5:

CALEA Accreditation Program: 27 States 5 Municipalities

Internal Affairs Program: 25 States, 1 Municipality

Gender-based violence (GBV): 32 states and 27 municipalities have received technical assistance in different levels. 21 states have received full assistance.

Question #6:

Which states and municipalities will be evaluated?

INL Response #6:

CALEA Accreditation:

Guanajuato, Sonora, Morelos, Queretaro, CDMX (Aux + Unipol + Bank & IP), Veracruz, Puebla, Tamaulipas, Tabasco, Michoacan, Nuevo Leon, Estado de Mex, Baja California Sur, Hidalgo, Chiapas, San Luis Potosi, Tlaxcala, Coahuila, Yucatan, Jalisco, Durango, Zacatecas, Quintana Roo, Baja California, Oaxaca, Aguascalientes, Chihuahua

Municipalities: Puebla, Gral Escobedo (NL), Mexicali (BC).

Internal Affairs:

Aguascalientes, Baja California (AG), Campeche, Chiapas, Chihuahua, CDMX, Durango, Estado de Mexico, Guanajuato, Hidalgo, Michoacan, Morelos, Nayarit, Nuevo Leon, Oaxaca, Puebla, Queretaro, Quintana Roo, Sinaloa, Tabasco, Tamaulipas, Veracruz, Yucatan, Zacatecas.
Municipality: Puebla

GBV: 32 states.

Question #7:

What are the names of the programs or initiatives to be evaluated?

INL Response #7:

Gender Based Violence Program

Internal Affairs

CALEA Accreditation

Question #8:

What is the number of programs or initiatives to be evaluated?

INL Response #8:

Three (GBV, IA, CALEA)

Question #9:

Could the work team have access to the funding invested in each one of the programs or initiatives?

INL Response #9:

Not relevant to the purpose of the study.

Question #10:

Could the work team have the state of progress of each one of the programs to be evaluated?

INL Response #10:

Yes.

Question #11:

Could the work team have the information regarding the implementation of the projects to be evaluated?

INL Response #11:

Yes.

Question #12:

The evaluation will be done through primary data (field research), or secondary data (provided by you), or the selection of the method will be discretionary of the work team?

INL Response #12:

Both, primary and secondary data. Decision will be made based on what is projected in the proposal.

Question #13:

Does the project consider evaluating the implementation of confidence examinations for police forces?

INL Response #13:

Not by itself but maybe as a crossed reference regarding agencies state of force VS. CUP issued, people trained by INL, etc.

Question #14:

The SF424 format requests an Applicant Identifier Number. At what point does the Federal agency give us an Applicant Identifier number? What is the process to obtain it?

INL Response #14:

You can leave sections 4. Applicant Identifier, 5a. Federal Entity Identifier, and 5b. Federal Award Identifier blank.

Question #15:

Could you specify which are the Geographical Areas Affected by the Project? Is it necessary to attach any additional file in this project for SF424 format?

INL Response #15:

Mexico. No need to add additional files to the Standard Form 424.

Question #16:

Is there a specific date contemplated to finish the project?

INL Response #16:

Six months after the award date (estimated project start date is September 2023).

Question #17:

Is the application subject to the State intergovernmental review process under Executive Order 12372 Process? How is this information obtained?

INL Response #17

The Application is not subject to the State intergovernmental review process.

Question #18:

How will we know if we are subject to a Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement?

INL Response #18

NICRAs are obtained by negotiating with the Federal agency that provides the majority of an organization's federal assistance funding ("cognizant agency"). NICRAs are not mandatory.

If an organization does not have a NICRA, the applicant can elect to charge a de minimis rate of 10% of modified total direct costs (MTDC).

Note that an organization can apply for a NICRA at any time. However, once the 10% de minimis is elected, it must be used for the entire period of performance. The NICRA can be applied to new awards only.

Question #19:

Could you provide more specific information on budget registration and administration by sub-recipients?

INL Response #19

All subawards must comply with the requirements of 2 CFR §200.332—Requirements for pass-through entities. The applicant must submit the proposed subaward budget as part of the application package.

Question #20:

Is the investigation carried out in all the states and municipalities in which INL has aided, in internal affairs, CALEA accreditations and gender-based violence?

INL Response #20

Yes.

Question #21:

What are the federal entities, municipalities, and the years in which INL assistance has been provided? It is desirable to consider the years in which the assistance was provided, to consider the change of administration (times of local governments) and if the INL assistance transcends the administrations.

INL Response #21

Answered in Question #6. Time frame will be 2018 – to date.

Question #22:

Could you provide us more information about the professionalization that Internal Affairs Units have received in Mexico, specifically about the courses on EC1152 Investigation of Possible Transgressions to the disciplinary regime in Internal Affairs? Could you provide at least a general training index, objectives, and topics? Or, if applicable, could you provide the model that guides the professionalization of internal affairs units?

INL Response #22

Yes. Information will be provided once the proposal and applicant are selected.

Question #23:

Is it possible to have access to the index, objectives, and general issues of assistance that INL has provided in internal affairs, CALEA accreditation and Gender Based Violence GBV? The above with the objective to search bibliography for the realization of the instruments of diagnosis.

INL Response #23

Yes. Information will be provided once the proposal and applicant are selected.

Question #24:

What are the priority states for the application of the project? Is there a specific number that we should look at? Or, if applicable, should we cover all the states impacted by the three priority programs?

INL Response #24

All states should be considered. States that align the three programs could be ranked as “relevant” but each of those should be considered in their own nature.

Question #25:

To make a more precise diagnosis of the intervention to be performed. Could you provide us with more information on the methodology or methodologies used in each of the interventions? (CALEA, GBV, Internal Affairs)?

INL Response #25

Independent of the methodologies employed by the programs, objective of this study is to measure in any possible way the impact and transcendence of each initiative in the Government of Mexico agencies, units, people.

Question #26:

In the case of interventions on gender-based violence, could you provide us with more information about the projects carried out, the years of intervention and the states where they were applied?

INL Response #26

Refer to answers to Questions #6 and #21. Assistance from the INL office consists of trainings, study tours with USG agencies/representatives, national and international conferences, online trainings, etc.

Question #27:

In the case of the CALEA program. The evaluation is designed for the three types of accreditations, that is: the accreditation of academies, the accreditation of public order organizations and the accreditation of communications?

INL Response #27

Yes.

Question #27:

Are there anonymity policies to collect the information of the beneficiaries?

INL Response #27

No.

Question #28:

Should the project address the results of the three priority programs of INL or it can focus on just one?

INL Response #28

The three priority programs.

Question #29:

Could you provide more specific information about Bicentennial Framework goals of supporting safer communities and reducing homicides and high impact crimes?

INL Response #29

Information is available on <https://www.gob.mx/sre/documentos/hoja-informativa-entendimiento-bicentenario>.

Question #30:

As part of the vision of the project, the perception of Mexican people regarding the role of the police and high-impact crimes is contemplated. Given this, is it necessary to collect information on the perception of trust in the police and high-impact crimes during the project?

INL Response #30

Yes. This will be considered if included in the proposal.