NATIONAL PARK SERVICE
FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE: NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD

This announcement is not a request for applications.

This announcement is to provide public notice of the National Park Service's intention to award financial assistance for the following project activities. *Refer to Section 2. Grants.gov Posting Determination.*

ABSTRACT	
Grants.gov Opportunity Number	P19AS00489
Project Title	Prepare National Register of Historic Places Documentation
Recipient	Public Archaeology Laboratory
Anticipated Federal Funding	$59,685.00
Non-Federal Cost Share	$0.00
Total Anticipated Award Amount	$59,685.00
Award Instrument	☑ Task Agreement ☐ Cooperative Agreement ☐ Grant Agreement
Anticipated Period of Performance	October 1, 2019 - September 30, 2020
Legal Authority	54 USC §101702(a)
CFDA # and Title	15.946 - Cultural Resources Management
Single Source Justification Criteria	
National Park Service Point of Contact	Alison Smith, Grants Management Specialist, Tel: 404.507.5754

Section 1. Project Summary

PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

This project will produce national register documentation for Charles Pinckney National Historic Site to identify values and characteristics of properties that make them significant enough to warrant preservation. Some property within the site was listed in the register before the establishment of the park. This project will result in the listing of all property within the park's boundary.

Goal: To complete National Register documentation for resources within the Charles Pinckney NHS boundary. The National Register documentation will provide critical inventory and analysis of historic resources at Charles Pinckney NHS.

Objectives:

STATEMENT OF PUBLIC PURPOSE

This project is with a partner non-profit organization whose purpose is to actively promote stewardship of cultural resources that engage the public and raise awareness about the value of our nation's cultural heritage. The National Register documentation will be made available to the public via the official NPS.gov website. The project builds resource stewardship ethics in its participants. Furthermore, it will provide information for local historians, educators, and the public about the history of the site and region as well as the history of NPS sites, contributing to an overall body of scholarship, and producing a valuable resource for partners and stakeholders.

STATEMENT OF WORK SUMMARY

Project tasks:

Prepare National Register Documentation

New National Registry of Historic Places (HRHP) documentation shall:

- be submitted on a National Register Form 10-900
- Includes revised descriptions which takes into account changing conditions of previously listed properties; considering new areas and periods of significance;
- consider appropriate historic contexts
- consider archeological sites, and classify resources park-wide as contributing and non-contributing;
- Include a Collections Statement pertaining to site-specific museum collections;
- Evaluate all resources, whether they relate to the primary significance of Charles Pinckney NHS or independently meet National Register criteria;
- Describe all resources and features listed in NPS List of Classified Structures (LCS) and Cultural Landscape Inventories (CLI) as contributing, whether or not countable for purposes of the National Register.
- Include photographic views representing each resource. Views must include the buildings and structures and important landscape

RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PARTIES

A. The recipient shall be responsible for the following items under this agreement:

1) Produce National Register of Historic Places documentation for Charles Pinckney NHS that evaluates the significance and integrity of historic resources associated with the national historical park

2) Ensure that the documentation is prepared as a scholarly report meeting the standards of the historical profession and the NPS through project management, including coordination of agency review comments and provision of editorial services

B. The NPS Responsibilities:

☑ A task agreement is proposed;

☐ A cooperative agreement is proposed;

therefore substantial involvement by NPS is anticipated during the period of performance of this agreement. In support of this agreement NPS will:

1) Collaborate with the recipient in selecting the scholar(s) to conduct the research;
2) Provide the scholar(s) with access to park records, reports and databases; familiarize the scholar with the park's resources and facilities; and work with the scholar to select oral history interviewees as needed;
3) Assist scholar(s) in applying the national register criteria, including establishing areas of significance and periods of significance;
4) Prior to and during the project, provide project specific guidance to the scholar(s) on how to follow the instructions for completing form 10-900 contained in the National Register bulletins
5) Review the progress of the research to ensure relevance and quality;
6) Coordinate reviews of the draft nominations by South Carolina State Historic Preservation Office staff and NPS National Register Office staff and then work with the scholar(s) to address comments from those offices;
7) Distribute the national register documentation and make the research available to park managers and the general public for use in management and planning;
8) Publicly share and promote the completed National Register documentation with educators, historians, interested

☐ A grant agreement is proposed, therefore no substantial involvement on the part of the NPS is anticipated for the successful completion of the statement of work. It is anticipated that involvement will be limited to actions related to monitoring project performance and technical assistance at the request of the recipient.

Section 2. Grants.gov Posting Determination

☑ The National Park Service intends to award a task agreement under a previously competed or single source justified award.

☐ The National Park Service intends to award a single source justified cooperative agreement. *Refer to Section 3 Single Source Justification.*

☐ The National Park Service intends to award a single source justified grant agreement. *Refer to Section 3 Single Source Justification.*

Section 3. Single Source Justification

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE
SINGLE SOURCE POLICY REQUIREMENTS

National Park Service Policy FAP&P 1443-2015-06 requires a written justification which explains why competition is not practicable. The justification must address one of the following criteria.

In order for an assistance award to be made without competition, the award must satisfy one or more of the following criteria:

(1) Unsolicited Proposal – The proposed award is the result of an unsolicited assistance application which represents a unique or innovative idea, method, or approach which is not the subject of a current or planned contract or assistance award, but which is deemed advantageous to the program objectives. The unsolicited proposal must demonstrate an innovative capability or concept not currently available to the government, and prepared without Government involvement. ;

(2) Continuation – The activity to be funded is necessary to the satisfactory completion of, or is a continuation of, an activity presently being funded, for which competition would have a significant adverse effect on the continuity or completion of the activity;

(3) Legislative intent – The language in the applicable authorizing legislation or legislative history clearly indicates Congress' intent to restrict the award to a particular recipient of purpose;

(4) Unique Qualifications – The applicant is uniquely qualified to perform the activity based upon a variety of demonstrable factors such as location, property ownership, voluntary support capacity, technical expertise, or other such unique qualifications. Successful past performance, familiarity with existing requirements, capabilities that can be obtained or matched by a competitor, or a positive reputation, are not considered to be unique qualifications.

(5) Emergencies – Program/award where there is insufficient time available (due to a compelling and unusual urgency, or substantial danger to health or safety) for adequate competitive procedures to be followed.

NPS did not solicit full and open competition for this award based the following criteria:

☑ Task Agreement issued under previously competed or single source master cooperative agreement per Section 2 above. Single Source Justification not applicable.

☐ Unsolicited Proposal ☐ Continuation ☐ Emergency

☐ Legislative Intent ☐ Unique Qualifications

Explanation of the proposed recipient's qualifications or the criteria of the proposed project which requires use of the single source criteria cited.

Section 4. Department of the Interior Secretary's Priorities

This award will support the following DOI Secretary priority(ies):

Other Key Initiatives:

- ☐ Employment of veterans.
- ☑ Supporting DOI Infrastructure needs.
- ☐ Creating jobs in the American economy.
- ☐ Access to outdoor recreation opportunities.

Priority 1: Creating a conservation stewardship legacy second only to Teddy Roosevelt

- ☐ Utilize science to identify best practices to manage land and water resources and adapt to changes in the environment.
- ☐ Examine land use planning process and land use designations that govern public use and access.
- ☐ Revise and streamline the environmental and regulatory review process while maintaining environmental standards.
- ☐ Review DOI water storage, transportation, and distribution systems to identify opportunities to resolve conflicts and expand capacity.
- ☐ Foster relationships with conservation organizations advocating for balanced stewardship and use of public lands.
- ☐ Identify and implement initiatives to expand access to DOI lands for hunting and fishing.
- ☐ Shift the balance towards providing greater public access to public lands over restrictions to access.

Priority 2: Utilizing our natural resources

- ☐ Ensure American energy is available to meet our security and economic needs.
- ☐ Ensure access to mineral resources, especially the critical and rare earth minerals.
- ☐ Refocus timber programs to embrace the entire 'healthy forests' lifecycle.
- ☐ Manage competition for grazing resources.

Priority 3: Restoring trust with local communities

- ☐ Be a better neighbor with those closest to our resources by improving dialogue and relationships with persons and entities bordering our lands.
- ☐ Expand the lines of communication with Governors, state natural resource offices, Fish and Wildlife offices, water authorities, county commissioners, Tribes, and local communities.

Priority 4: Ensuring sovereignty means something

- [ ] Support tribal self-determination, self-governance, and sovereignty.
- [ ] Solidify mutual interests between the U.S. and the freely associated states and territories.

Priority 5: Generating additional revenues to support DOI & National interests

- [ ] Ensure that the public receives the full market value for the natural resources produced on Federal lands.
- [ ] Ensure that fees or costs levied for DOI services are reasonable and targeted to achieve cost recovery.
- [ ] Consider the impact of DOI decisions on economic development and job creation.

Priority 6: Protecting our people and the border

- [ ] Actively support efforts to secure our southern border.
- [ ] Ensure DOI law enforcement staffing addresses public safety risks anticipated on DOI land.
- [ ] Promote a "public service" demeanor within our law enforcement community.

Priority 7: Striking a regulatory balance

- [ ] Reduce the administrative and regulatory burden imposed on U.S. industry and the public.
- [ ] Ensure that Endangered Species Act decisions are based on strong science and thorough analysis.

Priority 8: Modernizing our infrastructure

- [ ] Support the White House Public/Private Partnership Initiative to modernize U.S. infrastructure.
- [ ] Remove impediments to infrastructure development and facilitate private sector efforts to construct infrastructure projects serving American needs.
- [ ] Prioritize DOI infrastructure needs to highlight:
    1. Construction of infrastructure;
    2. Cyclical maintenance;
    3. Deferred maintenance.

Priority 9: Reorganizing for the next 100 years

☐ Improve alignment and integration of the DOI organizational structure. Redistribute organizational resources (people and funding) to enhance mission achievement and improved public service.

☐ Improve organizational alignment with Executive Branch counterparts with major land management assets or influence.

Priority 10: Achieving our goals and leading our team forward

☐ Senior executives are expected to provide leadership in achieving goals of the President and the Secretary.

☐ The Management Team is expected to:

1. Ensure cost-effective operations and quality service to the public;
2. Facilitate organizational cooperation and conflict resolution;
3. Ensure the workplace environment is conducive to employee productivity and safety;
4. Hold individuals accountable for actions that violate DOI policies and requirements.

The proposed project does not support any of the DOI Secretary priorities, but the project is being funded for the following reasons: